Jonathan P Tennant

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1338179/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

41 papers

1,646

16 h-index 28 g-index

88 all docs 88 docs citations

88 times ranked 1733 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The academic, economic and societal impacts of Open Access: an evidence-based review. F1000Research, 2016, 5, 632.	1.6	284
2	The academic, economic and societal impacts of Open Access: an evidence-based review. F1000Research, 2016, 5, 632.	1.6	141
3	Climate constrains the evolutionary history and biodiversity of crocodylians. Nature Communications, 2015, 6, 8438.	12.8	136
4	A multi-disciplinary perspective on emergent and future innovations in peer review. F1000Research, 2017, 6, 1151.	1.6	134
5	The limitations to our understanding of peer review. Research Integrity and Peer Review, 2020, 5, 6.	5.2	121
6	Biotic and environmental dynamics through the <scp>L</scp> ate <scp>J</scp> urassic– <scp>E</scp> arly <scp>C</scp> retaceous transition: evidence for protracted faunal and ecological turnover. Biological Reviews, 2017, 92, 776-814.	10.4	87
7	The state of the art in peer review. FEMS Microbiology Letters, 2018, 365, .	1.8	77
8	Ten Hot Topics around Scholarly Publishing. Publications, 2019, 7, 34.	3.8	77
9	A multi-disciplinary perspective on emergent and future innovations in peer review. F1000Research, 2017, 6, 1151.	1.6	62
10	Ten simple rules for innovative dissemination of research. PLoS Computational Biology, 2020, 16, e1007704.	3.2	58
11	Sea level regulated tetrapod diversity dynamics through the Jurassic/Cretaceous interval. Nature Communications, 2016, 7, 12737.	12.8	51
12	Environmental drivers of crocodyliform extinction across the Jurassic/Cretaceous transition. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2016, 283, 20152840.	2.6	44
13	Evolutionary relationships and systematics of Atoposauridae (Crocodylomorpha: Neosuchia): implications for the rise of Eusuchia. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2016, 177, 854-936.	2.3	41
14	Web of Science and Scopus are not global databases of knowledge. European Science Editing, 0, 46, .	0.0	30
15	The first definitive Middle Jurassic atoposaurid (Crocodylomorpha, Neosuchia), and a discussion on the genus <i>TheriosuchusHeriosuchus</i>	2.3	28
16	Revision of the Late Jurassic crocodyliform <i>Alligatorellus</i> , and evidence for allopatric speciation driving high diversity in western European atoposaurids. PeerJ, 2014, 2, e599.	2.0	21
17	The academic, economic and societal impacts of Open Access: an evidence-based review. F1000Research, 0, 5, 632.	1.6	17
18	How has our knowledge of dinosaur diversity through geologic time changed through research history?. PeerJ, 2018, 6, e4417.	2.0	16

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Editorial: <i>Geoscience Communication </i> & Lamp; #8211; Building bridges, not walls. Geoscience Communication, 2018, 1, 1-7.	0.9	15
20	Open up: a survey on open and non-anonymized peer reviewing. Research Integrity and Peer Review, 2020, 5, 8.	5.2	15
21	Marine tethysuchian crocodyliform from the ?Aptian-Albian (Lower Cretaceous) of the Isle of Wight, UK. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2014, 113, 854-871.	1.6	14
22	Preprints help journalism, not hinder it. Nature, 2018, 560, 553-553.	27.8	14
23	A multi-disciplinary perspective on emergent and future innovations in peer review. F1000Research, 0, 6, 1151.	1.6	14
24	Snout Shape in Extant Ruminants. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e112035.	2.5	12
25	An unusual small-bodied crocodyliform from the Middle Jurassic of Scotland, UK, and potential evidence for an early diversification of advanced neosuchians. Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, 2016, 107, 1-12.	0.3	11
26	Comments on "Factors affecting global flow of scientific knowledge in environmental sciences―by Sonne et al. (2020). Science of the Total Environment, 2020, 721, 136454.	8.0	7
27	The growth of open access publishing in geochemistry. Results in Geochemistry, 2020, 1, 100001.	0.8	7
28	The Dark Side of Peer Review. Editorial Office News, 2017, 10, 2-4.	0.0	6
29	Introducing Massively Open Online Papers (MOOPs). KULA Knowledge Creation Dissemination and Preservation Studies, 0, 4, 1.	0.7	6
30	Editorial: Geoscience in a time of pandemics. Geoscience Communication, 2020, 3, 71-72.	0.9	4
31	ContentMine/Hypothes.is Proposal. Research Ideas and Outcomes, 0, 2, e8424.	1.0	4
32	The Future of Open Science. Open Science Talk, 2020, , .	0.1	4
33	Time to stop the exploitation of free academic labour. European Science Editing, 0, 46, .	0.0	4
34	On the Potential of Preprints in Geochemistry: The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly. Sustainability, 2020, 12, 3360.	3.2	3
35	International disparities in open access practices in the Earth Sciences. European Science Editing, 0, 47,	0.0	3
36	The need for a new set of measures to assess the impact of research in earth sciences in Indonesia. European Science Editing, 0, 47, .	0.0	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	AAAS misses opportunity to advance open access. The Winnower, 2014, , .	0.0	O
38	Open letter to the Society for Neuroscience. The Winnower, 2014, , .	0.0	0
39	Open Letter to The American Association for the Advancement of Science. The Winnower, 2014, , .	0.0	0
40	Who should own public science? Preprints, power, and publishers. Septentrio Conference Series, 2018,	0.0	0
41	Editorial: Geoscience in a time of pandemics. , 0, , .		0