

# Hyunjin Song

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1317966/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

30  
papers

1,088  
citations

687363

13  
h-index

552781

26  
g-index

32  
all docs

32  
docs citations

32  
times ranked

990  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	If You Have Choices, Why Not Choose (and Share) All of Them? A Multiverse Approach to Understanding News Engagement on Social Media. <i>Digital Journalism</i> , 2023, 11, 255-275.	4.2	4
2	What you expect is (not) what you get: the impact of initial and post-hoc judgments of message characteristics on political information recall. <i>Media Psychology</i> , 2022, 25, 128-154.	3.6	0
3	Trusting on the shoulders of open giants? Open science increases trust in science for the public and academics. <i>Journal of Communication</i> , 2022, 72, 497-510.	3.7	12
4	Assessing (In)accuracy and Biases in Self-reported Measures of Exposure to Disagreement: Evidence from Linkage Analysis Using Digital Trace Data. <i>Communication Methods and Measures</i> , 2021, 15, 190-210.	4.7	2
5	Source magnification of cyberhate: affective and cognitive effects of multiple-source hate messages on target group members. <i>Media Psychology</i> , 2020, 23, 603-624.	3.6	14
6	The Dynamics of Message Selection in Online Political Discussion Forums: Self-Segregation or Diverse Exposure?. <i>Communication Research</i> , 2020, 47, 125-152.	5.9	18
7	The Incidental Pundit: Who Talks Politics with Whom, and Why?. <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> , 2020, 64, 135-151.	4.5	51
8	Social Media News Use and Political Cynicism: Differential Pathways Through "News Finds Me" Perception. <i>Mass Communication and Society</i> , 2020, 23, 47-70.	2.1	43
9	Less Fragmented Than We Thought? Toward Clarification of a Subdisciplinary Linkage in Communication Science, 2010-2019. <i>Journal of Communication</i> , 2020, 70, 310-334.	3.7	24
10	In Validations We Trust? The Impact of Imperfect Human Annotations as a Gold Standard on the Quality of Validation of Automated Content Analysis. <i>Political Communication</i> , 2020, 37, 550-572.	3.9	40
11	Disproportionality in media representations of campaign negativity. <i>Political Science Research and Methods</i> , 2020, , 1-13.	2.3	1
12	"That Looks Hard!" Effects of Objective and Perceived Textual Complexity on Factual and Structural Political Knowledge. <i>Political Communication</i> , 2019, 36, 609-628.	3.9	19
13	Media Use and Its Effects in a Cross-National Perspective. <i>Kolner Zeitschrift Fur Soziologie Und Sozialpsychologie</i> , 2019, 71, 545-571.	1.1	8
14	Not Being Accurate Is Not Quite the Same as Being Inaccurate: Variations in Reported (in)Accuracy of Perceptions of Political Views of Network Members Due to Uncertainty. <i>Communication Methods and Measures</i> , 2019, 13, 305-311.	4.7	5
15	A Network Model of Negative Campaigning: The Structure and Determinants of Negative Campaigning in Multiparty Systems. <i>Communication Research</i> , 2019, 46, 273-294.	5.9	12
16	A Primer on Multilevel Mediation Models for Egocentric Social Network Data. <i>Communication Methods and Measures</i> , 2018, 12, 1-24.	4.7	8
17	Social Comparisons and Need Fulfillment: Interpreting Video Game Enjoyment in the Context of Leaderboards. <i>Communication Research Reports</i> , 2018, 35, 424-433.	1.8	10
18	"To the Bottle I Go . . . to Drain My Strain" <i>Communication Research</i> , 2017, 44, 388-415.	5.9	10

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Why Do People (Sometimes) Become Selective About News? The Role of Emotions and Partisan Differences in Selective Approach and Avoidance. <i>Mass Communication and Society</i> , 2017, 20, 47-67.	2.1	33
20	Dynamic Spirals Put to Test: An Agent-Based Model of Reinforcing Spirals Between Selective Exposure, Interpersonal Networks, and Attitude Polarization. <i>Journal of Communication</i> , 2017, 67, 256-281.	3.7	43
21	Why People Post News on Social Networking Sites. <i>Electronic News</i> , 2017, 11, 59-79.	0.7	10
22	Uncovering the Structural Underpinnings of Political Discussion Networks: Evidence From an Exponential Random Graph Model. <i>Journal of Communication</i> , 2015, 65, 146-169.	3.7	27
23	Metacognitive Model of Ambivalence: The Role of Multiple Beliefs and Metacognitions in Creating Attitude Ambivalence. <i>Communication Theory</i> , 2015, 25, 23-45.	3.2	19
24	The Structure of Communication Networks Matters: How Network Diversity, Centrality, and Context Influence Political Ambivalence, Participation, and Knowledge. <i>Political Communication</i> , 2015, 32, 83-108.	3.9	41
25	An Exploration of Motivations for Two Screen Viewing, Social Interaction Behaviors, and Factors that Influence Viewing Intentions. <i>Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking</i> , 2015, 18, 158-164.	3.9	11
26	If It's Difficult to Pronounce, It Must Be Risky. <i>Psychological Science</i> , 2009, 20, 135-138.	3.3	344
27	If It's Hard to Read, It's Hard to Do. <i>Psychological Science</i> , 2008, 19, 986-988.	3.3	260
28	What 'Don't Know' Causes Us to Not Know About Accuracy in Political Perceptions in Network Data. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	0
29	Cultural Variations in the Relationships Among Network Political Agreement, Political Discussion Frequency, and Voting Turnout. <i>International Journal of Public Opinion Research</i> , 0, , edv007.	1.3	10
30	Tracing the Adoption and Effects of Open Science in Communication Research*. <i>Journal of Communication</i> , 0, , .	3.7	2