Hyunjin Song

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1317966/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

		687363	552781
30	1,088	13	26
papers	citations	h-index	g-index
32	32	32	990
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	If It's Difficult to Pronounce, It Must Be Risky. Psychological Science, 2009, 20, 135-138.	3.3	344
2	If It's Hard to Read, It's Hard to Do. Psychological Science, 2008, 19, 986-988.	3.3	260
3	The Incidental Pundit: Who Talks Politics with Whom, and Why?. American Journal of Political Science, 2020, 64, 135-151.	4.5	51
4	Dynamic Spirals Put to Test: An Agent-Based Model of Reinforcing Spirals Between Selective Exposure, Interpersonal Networks, and Attitude Polarization. Journal of Communication, 2017, 67, 256-281.	3.7	43
5	Social Media News Use and Political Cynicism: Differential Pathways Through "News Finds Me― Perception. Mass Communication and Society, 2020, 23, 47-70.	2.1	43
6	The Structure of Communication Networks Matters: How Network Diversity, Centrality, and Context Influence Political Ambivalence, Participation, and Knowledge. Political Communication, 2015, 32, 83-108.	3.9	41
7	In Validations We Trust? The Impact of Imperfect Human Annotations as a Gold Standard on the Quality of Validation of Automated Content Analysis. Political Communication, 2020, 37, 550-572.	3.9	40
8	Why Do People (Sometimes) Become Selective About News? The Role of Emotions and Partisan Differences in Selective Approach and Avoidance. Mass Communication and Society, 2017, 20, 47-67.	2.1	33
9	Uncovering the Structural Underpinnings of Political Discussion Networks: Evidence From an Exponential Random Graph Model. Journal of Communication, 2015, 65, 146-169.	3.7	27
10	Less Fragmented Than We Thought? Toward Clarification of a Subdisciplinary Linkage in Communication Science, 2010–2019. Journal of Communication, 2020, 70, 310-334.	3.7	24
11	Metacognitive Model of Ambivalence: The Role of Multiple Beliefs and Metacognitions in Creating Attitude Ambivalence. Communication Theory, 2015, 25, 23-45.	3.2	19
12	"That Looks Hard!― Effects of Objective and Perceived Textual Complexity on Factual and Structural Political Knowledge. Political Communication, 2019, 36, 609-628.	3.9	19
13	The Dynamics of Message Selection in Online Political Discussion Forums: Self-Segregation or Diverse Exposure?. Communication Research, 2020, 47, 125-152.	5.9	18
14	Source magnification of cyberhate: affective and cognitive effects of multiple-source hate messages on target group members. Media Psychology, 2020, 23, 603-624.	3.6	14
15	A Network Model of Negative Campaigning: The Structure and Determinants of Negative Campaigning in Multiparty Systems. Communication Research, 2019, 46, 273-294.	5.9	12
16	Trusting on the shoulders of open giants? Open science increases trust in science for the public and academics. Journal of Communication, 2022, 72, 497-510.	3.7	12
17	An Exploration of Motivations for Two Screen Viewing, Social Interaction Behaviors, and Factors that Influence Viewing Intentions. Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 2015, 18, 158-164.	3.9	11
18	Cultural Variations in the Relationships Among Network Political Agreement, Political Discussion Frequency, and Voting Turnout. International Journal of Public Opinion Research, 0, , edv007.	1.3	10

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	"To the Bottle I Go to Drain My Strain― Communication Research, 2017, 44, 388-415.	5.9	10
20	Why People Post News on Social Networking Sites. Electronic News, 2017, 11, 59-79.	0.7	10
21	Social Comparisons and Need Fulfillment: Interpreting Video Game Enjoyment in the Context of Leaderboards. Communication Research Reports, 2018, 35, 424-433.	1.8	10
22	A Primer on Multilevel Mediation Models for Egocentric Social Network Data. Communication Methods and Measures, 2018, 12, 1-24.	4.7	8
23	Media Use and Its Effects in aÂCross-National Perspective. Kolner Zeitschrift Fur Soziologie Und Sozialpsychologie, 2019, 71, 545-571.	1.1	8
24	Not Being Accurate Is Not Quite the Same as Being Inaccurate: Variations in Reported (in)Accuracy of Perceptions of Political Views of Network Members Due to Uncertainty. Communication Methods and Measures, 2019, 13, 305-311.	4.7	5
25	If You Have Choices, Why Not Choose (and Share) All of Them? A Multiverse Approach to Understanding News Engagement on Social Media. Digital Journalism, 2023, 11, 255-275.	4.2	4
26	Assessing (In)accuracy and Biases in Self-reported Measures of Exposure to Disagreement: Evidence from Linkage Analysis Using Digital Trace Data. Communication Methods and Measures, 2021, 15, 190-210.	4.7	2
27	Tracing the Adoption and Effects of Open Science in Communication Research*. Journal of Communication, 0, , .	3.7	2
28	Disproportionality in media representations of campaign negativity. Political Science Research and Methods, 2020, , $1\text{-}13$.	2.3	1
29	What 'Don't Know' Causes Us to Not Know About Accuracy in Political Perceptions in Network Data. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
30	What you expect is (not) what you get: the impact of initial and post-hoc judgments of message characteristics on political information recall. Media Psychology, 2022, 25, 128-154.	3.6	0