
Gopinath Panda

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1317546/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Equilibrium and Socially Optimal Balking Strategies in Markovian Queues with Vacations and Sequential Abandonment. Asia-Pacific Journal of Operational Research, 2016, 33, 1650036.	1.3	10
2	Equilibrium Joining Strategies of Positive Customers in a Markovian Queue with Negative Arrivals and Working Vacations. Methodology and Computing in Applied Probability, 0, , 1.	1.2	8
3	Equilibrium balking strategies in renewal input queue with Bernoulli-schedule controlled vacation and vacation interruption. Journal of Industrial and Management Optimization, 2015, 12, 851-878.	1.3	8
4	Equilibrium behaviour and social optimization in Markovian queues with impatient customers and variant of working vacations. RAIRO - Operations Research, 2017, 51, 685-707.	1.8	7
5	Stationary Analysis and Optimal Control Under Multiple Working Vacation Policy in a GI/M(a,b)/1 Queue. Journal of Systems Science and Complexity, 2018, 31, 1003-1023.	2.8	6
6	Mixed equilibrium and social joining strategies in Markovian queues with Bernoulli-schedule-controlled vacation and vacation interruption. Quality Technology and Quantitative Management, 2019, 16, 531-559.	1.9	4
7	STRATEGIC CUSTOMERS IN MARKOVIAN QUEUES WITH VACATIONS AND SYNCHRONIZED ABANDONMENT. ANZIAM Journal, 2020, 62, 89-120.	0.2	3
8	Multimedia content delivery services in the cloud with partial sleep and abandonment. Journal of Supercomputing, 2022, 78, 17178-17201.	3.6	3
9	Performance analysis of renewal input queues with multiple vacations and synchronized abandonment. International Journal of Management Science and Engineering Management, 2021, 16, 229-241.	3.1	2
10	Inverting the Transforms Arising in the \$\$GI/M/1\$\$ G I / M / 1 Risk Process Using Roots. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics, 2014, , 297-312.	0.2	1
11	Computational Analysis of the GI/G/1 Risk Process Using Roots. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics, 2018, , 75-90.	0.2	ο