Esther W De Bekker-Grob

List of Publications by Citations

87 papers	3,115 citations	27 h-index	55 g-index
94 ext. papers	4,414 ext. citations	3.5 avg, IF	5.65 L-index

#	Paper	IF	Citations
87	Discrete choice experiments in health economics: a review of the literature. *Health Economics (United Kingdom)*, **2012**, 21, 145-72	2.4	696
86	Discrete choice experiments in health economics: a review of the literature. *Pharmacoeconomics*, **2014**, 32, 883-902	4.4	401
85	Sample Size Requirements for Discrete-Choice Experiments in Healthcare: a Practical Guide. *Patient* , **2015**, 8, 373-84	3.7	297
84	Discrete Choice Experiments in Health Economics: Past, Present and Future. *Pharmacoeconomics*, **2019**, 37, 201-226	4.4	181
83	Labeled versus unlabeled discrete choice experiments in health economics: an application to colorectal cancer screening. *Value in Health*, **2010**, 13, 315-23	3.3	120
82	The effect of including an opt-out option in discrete choice experiments. *PLoS ONE*, **2014**, 9, e111805	3.7	83
81	The added value of percentage of free to total prostate-specific antigen, PCA3, and a kallikrein panel to the ERSPC risk calculator for prostate cancer in prescreened men. *European Urology*, **2014**, 66, 1109-15	10.2	67
80	Acceptance of vaccinations in pandemic outbreaks: a discrete choice experiment. *PLoS ONE*, **2014**, 9, e102505	3.7	65
79	Factors affecting food choices of older adults from high and low socioeconomic groups: a discrete choice experiment. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, **2015**, 101, 768-74	7	58
78	What determines individuals' preferences for colorectal cancer screening programmes? A discrete choice experiment. *European Journal of Cancer*, **2010**, 46, 150-9	7.5	55
77	COVID-19 Contact Tracing Apps: Predicted Uptake in the Netherlands Based on a Discrete Choice Experiment. *JMIR MHealth and UHealth*, **2020**, 8, e20741	5.5	52
76	Discrete Choice Experiment Response Rates: A Meta-analysis. *Health Economics (United Kingdom)*, **2017**, 26, 810-817	2.4	41
75	Factors and situations influencing the value of patient preference studies along the medical product lifecycle: a literature review. *Drug Discovery Today*, **2019**, 24, 57-68	8.8	38
74	Methods for exploring and eliciting patient preferences in the medical product lifecycle: a literature review. *Drug Discovery Today*, **2019**, 24, 1324-1331	8.8	37
73	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) 2022 Explanation and Elaboration: A Report of the ISPOR CHEERS II Good Practices Task Force.. *Value in Health*, **2022**, 25, 10-31	3.3	35
72	The impact of vaccination and patient characteristics on influenza vaccination uptake of elderly people: A discrete choice experiment. *Vaccine*, **2018**, 36, 1467-1476	4.1	34
71	Risk prediction scores for recurrence and progression of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer: an international validation in primary tumours. *PLoS ONE*, **2014**, 9, e96849	3.7	34

70	Impact of Survey Administration Mode on the Results of a Health-Related Discrete Choice Experiment: Online and Paper Comparison. *Value in Health*, **2017**, 20, 953-960	3.3	30
69	Effect of Level Overlap and Color Coding on Attribute Non-Attendance in Discrete Choice Experiments. *Value in Health*, **2018**, 21, 767-771	3.3	30
68	Patients' Preferences for Treatment for Dupuytren's Disease: A Discrete Choice Experiment. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, **2016**, 137, 165-173	2.7	30
67	Cost-effectiveness of routine screening for Lynch syndrome in endometrial cancer patients up to 70years of age. *Gynecologic Oncology*, **2016**, 143, 453-459	4.9	29
66	Non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer surveillance for which cystoscopy is partly replaced by microsatellite analysis of urine: a cost-effective alternative?. *BJU International*, **2009**, 104, 41-7	5.6	29
65	Understanding Patients' Preferences: A Systematic Review of Psychological Instruments Used in Patients' Preference and Decision Studies. *Value in Health*, **2019**, 22, 491-501	3.3	28
64	Cost-effectiveness of routine screening for Lynch syndrome in colorectal cancer patients up to 70 years of age. *Genetics in Medicine*, **2016**, 18, 966-73	8.1	28
63	Are Healthcare Choices Predictable? The Impact of Discrete Choice Experiment Designs and Models. *Value in Health*, **2019**, 22, 1050-1062	3.3	27
62	Patients' preferences for scoliosis brace treatment: a discrete choice experiment. *Spine*, **2010**, 35, 57-63	3.3	27
61	Random regret-based discrete-choice modelling: an application to healthcare. *Pharmacoeconomics*, **2013**, 31, 623-34	4.4	25
60	Salpingotomy or salpingectomy in tubal ectopic pregnancy: what do women prefer?. *Reproductive BioMedicine Online*, **2010**, 21, 687-93	4	25
59	Can healthcare choice be predicted using stated preference data?. *Social Science and Medicine*, **2020**, 246, 112736	5.1	24
58	Preferences for Health Interventions: Improving Uptake, Adherence, and Efficiency. *Patient*, **2017**, 10, 511-514	3.7	23
57	Public preferences for health care facilities in rural China: A discrete choice experiment. *Social Science and Medicine*, **2019**, 237, 112396	5.1	23
56	Attribute level overlap (and color coding) can reduce task complexity, improve choice consistency, and decrease the dropout rate in discrete choice experiments. *Health Economics (United Kingdom)*, **2019**, 28, 350-363	2.4	23
55	Design, Conduct, and Use of Patient Preference Studies in the Medical Product Life Cycle: A Multi-Method Study. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, **2019**, 10, 1395	5.6	22
54	Future pandemics and vaccination: Public opinion and attitudes across three European countries. *Vaccine*, **2016**, 34, 803-8	4.1	20
53	Advocating a Paradigm Shift in Health-State Valuations: The Estimation of Time-Preference Corrected QALY Tariffs. *Value in Health*, **2018**, 21, 993-1001	3.3	18

52	Have preferences of girls changed almost 3 years after the much debated start of the HPV vaccination program in The Netherlands? A discrete choice experiment. *PLoS ONE*, **2014**, 9, e104772	3.7	18
51	Exploring how individuals complete the choice tasks in a discrete choice experiment: an interview study. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, **2016**, 16, 45	4.7	16
50	Liquid-based cervical cytology using ThinPrep technology: weighing the pros and cons in a cost-effectiveness analysis. *Cancer Causes and Control*, **2012**, 23, 1323-31	2.8	16
49	What Is Next for Patient Preferences in Health Technology Assessment? A Systematic Review of the Challenges. *Value in Health*, **2019**, 22, 1318-1328	3.3	15
48	Patients' Preferences for Surgical Management of Esophageal Cancer: A Discrete Choice Experiment. *World Journal of Surgery*, **2015**, 39, 2492-9	3.3	15
47	What health plans do people prefer? The trade-off between premium and provider choice. *Social Science and Medicine*, **2016**, 165, 10-18	5.1	15
46	A closer look at decision and analyst error by including nonlinearities in discrete choice models: implications on willingness-to-pay estimates derived from discrete choice data in healthcare. *Pharmacoeconomics*, **2013**, 31, 1169-83	4.4	14
45	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) Statement: Updated Reporting Guidance for Health Economic Evaluations.. *Value in Health*, **2022**, 25, 3-9	3.3	14
44	Patient Preferences in the Medical Product Life Cycle: What do Stakeholders Think? Semi-Structured Qualitative Interviews in Europe and the USA. *Patient*, **2019**, 12, 513-526	3.7	13
43	Personal health records in the Netherlands: potential user preferences quantified by a discrete choice experiment. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA*, **2017**, 24, 529-536	8.6	11
42	Summarizing Patient Preferences for the Competitive Landscape of Multiple Sclerosis Treatment Options. *Medical Decision Making*, **2020**, 40, 198-211	2.5	11
41	Opportunities and challenges for the inclusion of patient preferences in the medical product life cycle: a systematic review. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, **2019**, 19, 189	3.6	11
40	Women's preferences for alternative financial incentive schemes for breastfeeding: A discrete choice experiment. *PLoS ONE*, **2018**, 13, e0194231	3.7	10
39	Towards a comprehensive estimate of national spending on prevention. *BMC Public Health*, **2007**, 7, 252	4.1	10
38	Designing Unforced Choice Experiments to Inform Health Care Decision Making: Implications of Using Opt-Out, Neither, or Status Quo Alternatives in Discrete Choice Experiments. *Medical Decision Making*, **2019**, 39, 681-692	2.5	9
37	An overview of critical decision-points in the medical product lifecycle: Where to include patient preference information in the decision-making process?. *Health Policy*, **2020**, 124, 1325-1332	3.2	9
36	Factors and Situations Affecting the Value of Patient Preference Studies: Semi-Structured Interviews in Europe and the US. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, **2019**, 10, 1009	5.6	8
35	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations.. *BMJ, The*, **2022**, 376, e067975	5.9	8

34	Attributes influencing parental decision-making to receive the Tdap vaccine to reduce the risk of pertussis transmission to their newborn - outcome of a cross-sectional conjoint experiment in Spain and Italy. *Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics*, **2019**, 15, 1080-1091	4.4	7
33	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations.. *BMC Medicine*, **2022**, 20, 23	11.4	6
32	The relative importance of the domains of work functioning: evaluations of health-impaired employees, healthy employees, and employers. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine* , **2015**, 57, 361-6	2	5
31	Consolidated health economic evaluation reporting standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations.. *International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care*, **2022**, 38, e13	1.8	5
30	Protective Behaviour of Citizens to Transport Accidents Involving Hazardous Materials: A Discrete Choice Experiment Applied to Populated Areas nearby Waterways. *PLoS ONE*, **2015**, 10, e0142507	3.7	5
29	COVID-19 Contact Tracing Apps: Predicted Uptake in the Netherlands Based on a Discrete Choice Experiment (Preprint)		5
28	Preferences of patients and clinicians for treatment of Graves' disease: a discrete choice experiment. *European Journal of Endocrinology*, **2021**, 184, 803-812	6.5	5
27	Calculating Preference Weights for the Labor and Delivery Index: A Discrete Choice Experiment on Women's Birth Experiences. *Value in Health*, **2015**, 18, 856-64	3.3	4
26	Patient Preferences in the Medical Product Lifecycle. *Patient*, **2020**, 13, 7-10	3.7	4
25	Mimicking Real-Life Decision Making in Health: Allowing Respondents Time to Think in a Discrete Choice Experiment. *Value in Health*, **2020**, 23, 945-952	3.3	4
24	Patients', healthcare providers', and insurance company employees' preferences for knee and hip osteoarthritis care: a discrete choice experiment. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*, **2020**, 28, 1316-1324	6.2	3
23	Important components for Dutch in-home care based on qualitative interviews with persons with dementia and informal caregivers. *Health Expectations*, **2020**, 23, 1412-1419	3.7	3
22	What Factors Influence Non-Participation Most in Colorectal Cancer Screening? A Discrete Choice Experiment. *Patient*, **2021**, 14, 269-281	3.7	3
21	Appraising patient preference methods for decision-making in the medical product lifecycle: an empirical comparison. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, **2020**, 20, 114	3.6	2
20	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations.. *BMC Public Health*, **2022**, 22, 179	4.1	2
19	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations.. *BMC Health Services Research*, **2022**, 22, 114	2.9	2
18	Clinicians' overestimation of febrile child risk assessment. *European Journal of Pediatrics*, **2016**, 175, 563-72	4.2	1
17	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) Statement: Updated Reporting Guidance for Health Economic Evaluations.. *Applied Health Economics and Health Policy*, **2022**, 20, 213	3.4	1

16	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations.. *European Journal of Health Economics*, **2022**, 1	3.6	1
15	A study protocol for quantifying patient preferences in neuromuscular disorders: a case study of the IMI PREFER Project. *Wellcome Open Research*, **2020**, 5, 253	4.8	1
14	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) Statement: Updated Reporting Guidance for Health Economic Evaluations.. *Journal of Medical Economics*, **2022**, 25, 1-7	2.4	1
13	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) Statement: Updated Reporting Guidance for Health Economic Evaluations.. *Pharmacoeconomics*, **2022**, 1	4.4	1
12	Surgeons preference for lumbar disk surgery: a discrete choice experiment. *European Spine Journal*, **2021**, 1	2.7	1
11	Patient Preferences in Rare Diseases: A Qualitative Study in Neuromuscular Disorders to Inform a Quantitative Preference Study. *Patient*, **2021**, 14, 601-612	3.7	1
10	Patient preferences for treatment of lumbar disc herniation: a discrete choice experiment. *Journal of Neurosurgery: Spine*, **2021**, 1-9	2.8	1
9	Efficacy, cost-minimization, and budget impact of a personalized discharge letter for basal cell carcinoma patients to reduce low-value follow-up care.. *PLoS ONE*, **2022**, 17, e0260978	3.7	0
8	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations.. *Journal of Managed Care & Specialty Pharmacy*, **2022**, 28, 146-155	1.9	0
7	A Guide to Observable Differences in Stated Preference Evidence. *Patient*, **2021**, 1	3.7	0
6	What do patients and dermatologists prefer regarding low-risk basal cell carcinoma follow-up care? A discrete choice experiment. *PLoS ONE*, **2021**, 16, e0249298	3.7	0
5	An implantable device to treat multiple sclerosis: A discrete choice experiment on patient preferences in three European countries. *Journal of the Neurological Sciences*, **2021**, 428, 117587	3.2	0
4	Case 2 best-worst scaling: For good or for bad but not for both. *Journal of Choice Modelling*, **2021**, 41, 100325	3.8	0
3	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations.. *Journal of Managed Care & Specialty Pharmacy*, **2022**, 1-10	1.9	
2	Towards Successful Implementation of Pharmacokinetic-Guided Prophylactic Dosing of Clotting Factor Concentrate in Hemophilia; The Do's and Don'ts after Discrete Choice Experiment Analysis. *Blood*, **2014**, 124, 5038-5038	2.2	
1	Persons with dementia and informal caregivers prioritizing care: A mixed-methods study. *Alzheimer's and Dementia: Translational Research and Clinical Interventions*, **2021**, 7, e12193	6	