

# Brian Dillon

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/12063760/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

25  
papers

806  
citations

840776

11  
h-index

677142

22  
g-index

27  
all docs

27  
docs citations

27  
times ranked

384  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Contrasting intrusion profiles for agreement and anaphora: Experimental and modeling evidence. <i>Journal of Memory and Language</i> , 2013, 69, 85-103.	2.1	220
2	Illusory licensing effects across dependency types: ERP evidence. <i>Brain and Language</i> , 2009, 108, 40-55.	1.6	168
3	The role of feature-number and feature-type in processing Hindi verb agreement violations. <i>Brain Research</i> , 2007, 1164, 81-94.	2.2	123
4	The grammaticality asymmetry in agreement attraction reflects response bias: Experimental and modeling evidence. <i>Cognitive Psychology</i> , 2019, 110, 70-104.	2.2	59
5	A Single-Stage Approach to Learning Phonological Categories: Insights From Inuktitut. <i>Cognitive Science</i> , 2013, 37, 344-377.	1.7	30
6	Syntactic Memory in the Comprehension of Reflexive Dependencies: an Overview. <i>Language and Linguistics Compass</i> , 2014, 8, 171-187.	2.3	27
7	The structure-sensitivity of memory access: evidence from Mandarin Chinese. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2014, 5, 1025.	2.1	26
8	Pushed aside: parentheticals, memory and processing. <i>Language, Cognition and Neuroscience</i> , 2014, 29, 483-498.	1.2	21
9	The Matrix Verb as a Source of Comprehension Difficulty in Object Relative Sentences. <i>Cognitive Science</i> , 2017, 41, 1353-1376.	1.7	18
10	A note on interpreting <i>damn</i> expressives: transferring the blame. <i>Language and Cognition</i> , 2015, 7, 291-304.	0.6	15
11	Syntactic and semantic predictors of tense in Hindi: An ERP investigation. <i>Language and Cognitive Processes</i> , 2012, 27, 313-344.	2.2	13
12	Which noun phrases is the verb supposed to agree with?: Object agreement in American English. <i>Language</i> , 2017, 93, 65-96.	0.6	13
13	The Relationship Between Anaphor Features and Antecedent Retrieval: Comparing Mandarin Ziji and Ta-Ziji. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2015, 6, 1966.	2.1	10
14	Principle B constrains the processing of cataphora: Evidence for syntactic and discourse predictions. <i>Journal of Memory and Language</i> , 2021, 120, 104254.	2.1	10
15	Appositives and their aftermath: Interference depends on at-issue vs. not-at-issue status. <i>Journal of Memory and Language</i> , 2017, 96, 93-109.	2.1	8
16	Together They Stand: Interpreting Not-At-Issue Content. <i>Language and Speech</i> , 2018, 61, 199-226.	1.1	7
17	Processing ambiguities in attachment and pronominal reference. <i>Glossa</i> , 2020, 5, .	0.5	7
18	Processing agreement in Hindi: When agreement feeds attraction. <i>Journal of Memory and Language</i> , 2022, 125, 104322.	2.1	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	A new argument for co-active parses during language comprehension.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2019, 45, 1271-1286.	0.9	4
20	Rebels without a clause: Processing reflexives in fronted wh-predicates. Journal of Memory and Language, 2019, 107, 80-94.	2.1	2
21	Processing of Norwegian complex verbs: Evidence for early decomposition. Memory and Cognition, 2019, 47, 335-350.	1.6	1
22	Approaching Gradience in Acceptability with the Tools of Signal Detection Theory. , 2021, , 62-96.		1
23	Person-based prominence guides incremental interpretation: Evidence from obviation in Ojibwe. Cognition, 2022, 225, 105122.	2.2	1
24	Lyn Frazier's Contributions to Psycholinguistics: An Appreciation. Studies in Theoretical Psycholinguistics, 2019, , 1-10.	0.3	0
25	Attachment and Concord of Temporal Adverbs: Evidence From Eye Movements. Frontiers in Psychology, 2019, 10, 983.	2.1	0