Colin Rees

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/12035482/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

516710 454955 1,707 34 16 30 h-index citations g-index papers 34 34 34 2125 citing authors docs citations times ranked all docs

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Performance measures for lower gastrointestinal endoscopy: a European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Quality Improvement Initiative. Endoscopy, 2017, 49, 378-397.	1.8	533
2	Evaluation of a Minimally Invasive Cell Sampling Device Coupled with Assessment of Trefoil Factor 3 Expression for Diagnosing Barrett's Esophagus: A Multi-Center Case–Control Study. PLoS Medicine, 2015, 12, e1001780.	8.4	212
3	Risk factors for adverse events related to polypectomy in the English Bowel Cancer Screening Programme. Endoscopy, 2014, 46, 90-97.	1.8	164
4	Cytosponge-trefoil factor 3 versus usual care to identify Barrett's oesophagus in a primary care setting: a multicentre, pragmatic, randomised controlled trial. Lancet, The, 2020, 396, 333-344.	13.7	143
5	Colonoscopic factors associated with adenoma detection in a national colorectal cancer screening program. Endoscopy, 2014, 46, 203-211.	1.8	93
6	Risk stratification of Barrett's oesophagus using a non-endoscopic sampling method coupled with a biomarker panel: a cohort study. The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2017, 2, 23-31.	8.1	87
7	Development and validation of a nurse-assessed patient comfort score for colonoscopy. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2013, 77, 255-261.	1.0	63
8	The European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Quality Improvement Initiative: developing performance measures. Endoscopy, 2015, 48, 81-89.	1.8	59
9	Requirements and standards facilitating quality improvement for reporting systems in gastrointestinal endoscopy: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Position Statement. Endoscopy, 2016, 48, 291-294.	1.8	55
10	A multicenter pragmatic study of an evidence-based intervention to improve adenoma detection: the Quality Improvement in Colonoscopy (QIC) study. Endoscopy, 2015, 47, 217-224.	1.8	42
11	Patients' experience of colonoscopy in the English Bowel Cancer Screening Programme. Endoscopy, 2016, 48, 232-240.	1.8	40
12	Quality in gastrointestinal endoscopy. Endoscopy, 2014, 46, 526-528.	1.8	38
13	The European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Quality Improvement Initiative: developing performance measures. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2016, 4, 30-41.	3 . 8	31
14	Impact of a new distal attachment on colonoscopy performance in an academic screening center. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2018, 87, 280-287.	1.0	27
15	Improving uptake of flexible sigmoidoscopy screening: a randomized trial of nonparticipant reminders in the English Screening Programme. Endoscopy, 2017, 49, 35-43.	1.8	20
16	Patient barriers and facilitators of colonoscopy use: A rapid systematic review and thematic synthesis of the qualitative literature. Preventive Medicine, 2021, 145, 106413.	3.4	18
17	Implementing a national flexible sigmoidoscopy screening program: results of the English early pilot. Endoscopy, 2015, 47, 225-231.	1.8	17
18	Can technology increase adenoma detection rate?. Therapeutic Advances in Gastroenterology, 2018, 11, 1756283X1774631.	3.2	13

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	The ADENOMA Study. Accuracy of Detection using Endocuff Visionâ,,¢ Optimization of Mucosal Abnormalities: study protocol for randomized controlled trial. Endoscopy International Open, 2016, 04, E205-E212.	1.8	11
20	Barriers and facilitators to colonoscopy following fecal immunochemical test screening for colorectal cancer: A key informant interview study. Patient Education and Counseling, 2022, 105, 1652-1662.	2.2	9
21	Use of intravenous hyoscine butylbromide (Buscopan) during gastrointestinal endoscopy. Frontline Gastroenterology, 2018, 9, 183-184.	1.8	7
22	Evaluation of colonoscopy performance based on post-procedure bleeding complications: application of procedure complexity-adjusted model. Endoscopy, 2015, 47, 910-916.	1.8	5
23	Quantitative and qualitative approaches to research. British Journal of Midwifery, 1996, 4, 374-377.	0.4	4
24	NREG: old banger or new vehicle for research?. Frontline Gastroenterology, 2010, 1, 59-62.	1.8	4
25	Using Specialist Screening Practitioners (SSPs) to increase uptake of the Bowel Scope (Flexible) Tj ETQq1 1 0.784 Pilot and Feasibility Studies, 2016, 2, 54.	1.2	Overlock 10 4
26	Temporal trends and variability of colonoscopy performance in gastroenterology practice. Endoscopy, 2016, 48, 213-214.	1.8	3
27	Demonstrating that colonoscopy is high quality. Endoscopy International Open, 2015, 03, E634-E635.	1.8	2
28	Developing a clinical research network: The Northern Region Endoscopy Group experience. Clinical Medicine, 2014, 14, 107-112.	1.9	1
29	The B-ADENOMA Study: Bowelscope – Accuracy of Detection using Endocuff Optimisation of Mucosal Abnormalities: Study Protocol for randomised controlled trial. Endoscopy International Open, 2018, 06, E872-E877.	1.8	1
30	Using specialist screening practitioners (SSPs) to increase uptake of bowel scope (flexible) Tj ETQq0 0 0 rgBT /Ov 9, e023801.	erlock 10 7 1.9	rf 50 307 Td 1
31	A model for collaborative endoscopy research. Gastrointestinal Nursing, 2011, 9, 23-27.	0.1	O
32	Using primary care-based paper and telephone interventions to increase uptake of bowel scope screening in Yorkshire: a protocol of a randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e024616.	1.9	0
33	Surveillance in inflammatory bowel disease: an overview. Gastrointestinal Nursing, 2019, 17, 32-37.	0.1	O
34	Postcolonoscopy colorectal cancer: how low can we go?. Frontline Gastroenterology, 0, , flgastro-2022-102136.	1.8	0