## Joerg Ebbing

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1193374/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

159585 233421 2,735 114 30 45 citations g-index h-index papers 153 153 153 1857 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Styles of extension offshore midâ€Norway and implications for mechanisms of crustal thinning at passive margins. Tectonics, 2008, 27, .	2.8	136
2	Global Crustal Thickness and Velocity Structure From Geostatistical Analysis of Seismic Data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 2019, 124, 1626-1652.	3.4	86
3	Avoidable Euler Errors – the use and abuse of Euler deconvolution applied to potential fields. Geophysical Prospecting, 2014, 62, 1162-1168.	1.9	85
4	Inverse modelling of elastic thickness by convolution method – the eastern Alps as a case example. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 2002, 202, 387-404.	4.4	83
5	An improved tectonic model for the Eocene opening of the Norwegian–Greenland Sea: Use of modern magnetic data. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 2007, 24, 53-66.	3.3	72
6	A global reference model of the lithosphere and upper mantle from joint inversion and analysis of multiple data sets. Geophysical Journal International, 2019, 217, 1602-1628.	2.4	72
7	GOCE gravity gradient data for lithospheric modeling. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 2015, 35, 16-30.	2.8	69
8	The mid-Norwegian margin: a discussion of crustal lineaments, mafic intrusions, and remnants of the Caledonian root by 3D density modelling and structural interpretation. Journal of the Geological Society, 2006, 163, 47-59.	2.1	63
9	New aeromagnetic and gravity compilations from Norway and adjacent areas: methods and applications. Petroleum Geology Conference Proceedings, 2010, 7, 559-586.	0.7	62
10	Forward and inverse modelling of gravity revealing insight into crustal structures of the Eastern Alps. Tectonophysics, 2001, 337, 191-208.	2.2	58
11	Anatomy of the Dead Sea Transform from lithospheric to microscopic scale. Reviews of Geophysics, 2009, 47, .	23.0	56
12	Offshore prolongation of Caledonian structures and basement characterisation in the western Barents Sea from geophysical modelling. Tectonophysics, 2009, 470, 71-88.	2.2	54
13	The deep structure of the Scandes and its relation to tectonic history and present-day topography. Tectonophysics, 2013, 602, 15-37.	2.2	54
14	Geophysical insights and early spreading history in the vicinity of the Jan Mayen Fracture Zone, Norwegian–Greenland Sea. Tectonophysics, 2009, 468, 185-205.	2.2	53
15	Structure of the Scandes lithosphere from surface to depth. Tectonophysics, 2012, 536-537, 1-24.	2.2	51
16	Satellite gravity gradient grids for geophysics. Scientific Reports, 2016, 6, 21050.	3.3	51
17	Earth tectonics as seen by GOCE - Enhanced satellite gravity gradient imaging. Scientific Reports, 2018, 8, 16356.	3.3	49
18	Insights into the lithospheric structure and tectonic setting of the Barents Sea region from isostatic considerations. Geophysical Journal International, 2007, 171, 1390-1403.	2.4	48

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	New insights into the basement structure of the West Siberian Basin from forward and inverse modeling of GRACE satellite gravity data. Journal of Geophysical Research, 2009, 114, .	3.3	48
20	The enigmatic Chad lineament revisited with global gravity and gravity-gradient fields. Geological Society Special Publication, 2011, 357, 329-341.	1.3	46
21	The lithospheric density structure of the Eastern Alps. Tectonophysics, 2006, 414, 145-155.	2.2	45
22	The Northern and Southern Scandes $\hat{a}\in$ " structural differences revealed by an analysis of gravity anomalies, the geoid and regional isostasy. Tectonophysics, 2005, 411, 73-87.	2.2	42
23	Geothermal Heat Flux in Antarctica: Assessing Models and Observations by Bayesian Inversion. Frontiers in Earth Science, 2020, 8, .	1.8	40
24	Basement inhomogeneities and crustal setting in the Barents Sea from a combined 3D gravity and magnetic model. Geophysical Journal International, 2013, 193, 557-584.	2.4	39
25	Moho Depths of Antarctica: Comparison of Seismic, Gravity, and Isostatic Results. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 2019, 20, 1629-1645.	2.5	39
26	Crustal structure of central Norway and Sweden from integrated modelling of teleseismic receiver functions and the gravity anomaly. Geophysical Journal International, 2012, 191, 1-11.	2.4	36
27	3D gravity modelling of the Chicxulub impact structure. Planetary and Space Science, 2001, 49, 599-609.	1.7	35
28	Importance of far-field topographic and isostatic corrections for regional density modelling. Geophysical Journal International, 2016, 207, 274-287.	2.4	33
29	Predicting Geothermal Heat Flow in Antarctica With a Machine Learning Approach. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 2021, 126, e2020JB021499.	3.4	33
30	Integrated geophysical modelling of a lateral transition zone in the lithospheric mantle under Norway and Sweden. Geophysical Journal International, 2013, 194, 1358-1373.	2.4	32
31	Reference frame transformation of satellite gravity gradients and topographic mass reduction. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 2013, 118, 759-774.	3.4	32
32	3-D density and magnetic crustal characterization of the southwestern Barents Shelf: implications for the offshore prolongation of the Norwegian Caledonides. Geophysical Journal International, 2011, 184, 1147-1166.	2.4	30
33	Advancements in satellite gravity gradient data for crustal studies. The Leading Edge, 2013, 32, 900-906.	0.7	30
34	Glacial isostatic adjustment in the static gravity field of Fennoscandia. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 2015, 120, 503-518.	3.4	29
35	New gravity maps of the Eastern Alps and significance for the crustal structures. Tectonophysics, 2006, 414, 127-143.	2.2	28
36	Stochastic velocity inversion of seismic reflection/refraction traveltime data for rift structure of the southwest Barents Sea. Tectonophysics, 2013, 593, 135-150.	2.2	28

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	On the use of global potential field models for regional interpretation of the West and Central African Rift System. Tectonophysics, 2010, 492, 25-39.	2.2	27
38	Integrated 3D density modelling and segmentation of the Dead Sea Transform. International Journal of Earth Sciences, 2007, 96, 289-302.	1.8	26
39	A discussion of structural and thermal control of magnetic anomalies on the midâ€Norwegian margin. Geophysical Prospecting, 2009, 57, 665-681.	1.9	26
40	Magnetotelluric array data analysis from north-west Fennoscandia. Tectonophysics, 2015, 653, 1-19.	2.2	26
41	Towards a 4D topographic view of the Norwegian sea margin. Global and Planetary Change, 2007, 58, 382-410.	3.5	25
42	The East Greenland Caledonidesâ€"teleseismic signature, gravity and isostasy. Geophysical Journal International, 2015, 203, 1400-1418.	2.4	25
43	Modeling Satellite Gravity Gradient Data to Derive Density, Temperature, and Viscosity Structure of the Antarctic Lithosphere. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 2019, 124, 12053-12076.	3.4	25
44	The Lithospheric Structure of the Saharan Metacraton From 3â€D Integrated Geophysicalâ€Petrological Modeling. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 2020, 125, e2019JB018747.	3.4	25
45	Inverse and 3D forward gravity modelling for the estimation of the crustal thickness of Egypt. Tectonophysics, 2019, 752, 52-67.	2.2	24
46	The GRACEâ€satellite gravity and geoid fields in analysing largeâ€scale, cratonic or intracratonic basins. Geophysical Prospecting, 2009, 57, 559-571.	1.9	23
47	Forward modeling magnetic fields of induced and remanent magnetization in the lithosphere using tesseroids. Computers and Geosciences, 2016, 96, 124-135.	4.2	23
48	Is there evidence for magmatic underplating beneath the Oslo Rift?. Terra Nova, 2005, 17, 129-134.	2.1	22
49	New compilation of top basement and basement thickness for the Norwegian continental shelf reveals the segmentation of the passive margin system. Petroleum Geology Conference Proceedings, 2010, 7, 885-897.	0.7	22
50	Onshore–offshore potential field analysis of the Møre–Trøndelag Fault Complex and adjacent structures of Mid Norway. Tectonophysics, 2012, 518-521, 17-28.	2.2	22
51	Properties and distribution of lower crustal bodies on the mid-Norwegian margin. Petroleum Geology Conference Proceedings, 2010, 7, 843-854.	0.7	21
52	The crustal structure of the Eastern Alps from a combination of 3D gravity modelling and isostatic investigations. Tectonophysics, 2004, 380, 89-104.	2.2	20
53	Comment on  A crustal thickness map of Africa derived from a global gravity field model using Euler deconvolution' by Getachew E. Tedla, M. van der Meijde, A. A. Nyblade and F. D. van der Meer. Geophysical Journal International, 2012, 189, 1217-1222.	2.4	20
54	Moho depth model for the Central Asian Orogenic Belt from satellite gravity gradients. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 2017, 122, 7388-7407.	3.4	20

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	East Antarctica magnetically linked to its ancient neighbours in Gondwana. Scientific Reports, 2021, 11, 5513.	3.3	20
56	Joint Gravity and Isostatic Analysis for Basement Studies – A Novel Tool. , 2007, , .		20
57	Magnetic basement study in the Barents Sea from inversion and forward modelling. Tectonophysics, 2010, 493, 153-171.	2.2	19
58	Crustal structure across the MÃ,re margin, mid-Norway, from wide-angle seismic and gravity data. Tectonophysics, 2014, 626, 21-40.	2.2	19
59	NEW DEPTH MAPS OF THE MAIN KAROO BASIN, USED TO EXPLORE THE CAPE ISOSTATIC ANOMALY, SOUTH AFRICA. South African Journal of Geology, 2015, 118, 225-248.	1.2	19
60	A 3D regional crustal model of the NE Atlantic based on seismic and gravity data. Geological Society Special Publication, 2017, 447, 233-247.	1.3	19
61	Insights into the magmatic architecture of the Oslo Graben by petrophysically constrained analysis of the gravity and magnetic field. Journal of Geophysical Research, 2007, 112, .	3.3	18
62	Mismatch of geophysical datasets in distal rifted margin studies. Terra Nova, 2016, 28, 340-347.	2.1	18
63	Basement characterization and crustal structure beneath the Arabia–Eurasia collision (Iran): A combined gravity and magnetic study. Tectonophysics, 2018, 731-732, 155-171.	2.2	18
64	GEOPHYSICALLY PLUMBING THE MAIN KAROO BASIN, SOUTH AFRICA. South African Journal of Geology, 2014, 117, 275-300.	1.2	16
65	An integrated geophysical study of the Beattie Magnetic Anomaly, South Africa. Tectonophysics, 2014, 636, 228-243.	2.2	16
66	Geophysical-petrological modelling of the East Greenland Caledonides – Isostatic support from crust and upper mantle. Tectonophysics, 2016, 692, 44-57.	2,2	16
67	Electrical conductivity structure of north-west Fennoscandia from three-dimensional inversion of magnetotelluric data. Tectonophysics, 2015, 653, 20-32.	2.2	14
68	LITHOSPHERIC STRUCTURE OF THE WEST AND CENTRAL AFRICAN RIFT SYSTEM FROM REGIONAL THREE-DIMENSIONAL GRAVITY MODELLING. South African Journal of Geology, 2015, 118, 285-298.	1.2	14
69	Density distribution across the Alpine lithosphere constrained by 3-D gravity modelling and relation to seismicity and deformation. Solid Earth, 2019, 10, 2073-2088.	2.8	13
70	Large-scale gravity anomaly in northern Norway: tectonic implications of shallow or deep source depth and a possible conjugate in northeast Greenland. Geophysical Journal International, 2015, 203, 2070-2088.	2.4	12
71	The first pan-Alpine surface-gravity database, a modern compilation that crosses frontiers. Earth System Science Data, 2021, 13, 2165-2209.	9.9	12
72	Gravity Spectra from the Density Distribution of Earth's Uppermost 435Âkm. Surveys in Geophysics, 2018, 39, 227-244.	4.6	10

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Sensitivity analysis of gravity gradient inversion of the Moho depthâ€"a case example for the Amazonian Craton. Geophysical Journal International, 2020, 221, 1896-1912.	2.4	10
74	A regional background model for the Arabian Peninsula from modeling satellite gravity gradients and their invariants. Tectonophysics, 2016, 692, 86-94.	2.2	9
75	Greenland Geothermal Heat Flow Database and Map (Version 1). Earth System Science Data, 2022, 14, 2209-2238.	9.9	9
76	Sensitivity of GOCE Gravity Gradients to Crustal Thickness and Density Variations: Case Study for the Northeast Atlantic Region. International Association of Geodesy Symposia, 2014, , 291-298.	0.4	8
77	Comparing gravity-based to seismic-derived lithosphere densities: a case study of the British Isles and surrounding areas. Geophysical Journal International, 0, , ggw483.	2.4	8
78	A fast equivalent source method for airborne gravity gradient data. Geophysics, 2019, 84, G75-G82.	2.6	8
79	Regional Gravity Field Model of Egypt Based on Satellite and Terrestrial Data. Pure and Applied Geophysics, 2019, 176, 767-786.	1.9	8
80	New magnetic anomaly map for the Red Sea reveals transtensional structures associated with rotational rifting. Scientific Reports, 2022, 12, 5757.	3.3	8
81	The use of potential field data in revealing the basement structure in subâ€basaltic settings: an example from the Møre margin, offshore Norway. Geophysical Prospecting, 2009, 57, 753-771.	1.9	7
82	Geophysical characterisation of two segments of the MÃ,re-TrÃ,ndelag Fault Complex, Mid Norway. Solid Earth, 2011, 2, 125-134.	2.8	7
83	Gravity, magnetics and geothermal heat flow of the Antarctic lithospheric crust and mantle. Geological Society Memoir, 2023, 56, 213-229.	1.7	7
84	Small-scale gravity modeling of upper crustal structures in the Araba Valley along the Dead Sea Transform. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 2006, 7, n/a-n/a.	2.5	6
85	Global High-Resolution Magnetic Field Inversion Using Spherical Harmonic Representation of Tesseroids as Individual Sources. Geosciences (Switzerland), 2020, 10, 147.	2.2	6
86	Extension, hyperextension and mantle exhumation offshore Norway: a discussion based on 6 crustal transects. Norwegian Journal of Geology, 0, , .	0.5	6
87	Antarctica 3-D crustal structure investigation by means of the Bayesian gravity inversion: the Wilkes Land case study. Geophysical Journal International, 2022, 229, 2147-2161.	2.4	6
88	Isostasy as a tool to validate interpretations of regional geophysical datasets – application to the mid-Norwegian continental margin. Geological Society Special Publication, 2017, 447, 279-297.	1.3	5
89	A Multiple 1D Earth Approach (M1DEA) to account for lateral viscosity variations in solutions of the sea level equation: An application for glacial isostatic adjustment by Antarctic deglaciation. Journal of Geodynamics, 2020, 135, 101695.	1.6	5
90	Two-step Gravity Inversion Reveals Variable Architecture of African Cratons. Frontiers in Earth Science, 2021, 9, .	1.8	5

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	Chapter 11 Structural interpretation of the Barents and Kara Seas from gravity and magnetic data. Geological Society Memoir, 2011, 35, 197-208.	1.7	4
92	Hotspot–ridge interaction and its influence on Icelandic crust formation and dynamics. Tectonophysics, 2008, 447, 1-4.	2.2	3
93	Basement Characterisation by Regional Isostatic Methods in the Barents Sea. , 2006, , .		3
94	Crustal structure of the Volgo–Uralian subcraton revealed by inverse and forward gravity modelling. Solid Earth, 2022, 13, 431-448.	2.8	3
95	Spectral consistency of satellite and airborne data: Application of an equivalent dipole layer for combining satellite and aeromagnetic data sets. Geophysics, 2022, 87, G71-G81.	2.6	3
96	Linearized Bayesian estimation of magnetization and depth to magnetic bottom from satellite data. Geophysical Journal International, 2022, 230, 1508-1533.	2.4	3
97	The use of gravity gradients and invariants for geophysical modelling - Example from airborne and satellite data. , $2015, $ , .		2
98	An isostatic study of the Karoo basin and underlying lithosphere in 3-D. Geophysical Journal International, 2016, 206, 774-791.	2.4	2
99	Spherical magnetic field gradients and lithospheric magnetization (Part 1): finite difference calculation and depth sensitivity to lithospheric magnetization. Geophysical Journal International, 2018, 215, 1747-1765.	2.4	2
100	Deep structure of the northern North Sea and southwestern Norway based on 3D density and magnetic modelling. Norwegian Journal of Geology, 0, , .	0.5	2
101	Correction to "Anatomy of the Dead Sea Transform from lithospheric to microscopic scale― Reviews of Geophysics, 2010, 48, .	23.0	1
102	3D gravity inversion constrained by stereotomography., 2011,,.		1
103	Joint inversion project for improved subâ€salt and subâ€basalt imaging. , 2011, , .		1
104	Gravity effect of Alpine slab segments based on geophysical and petrological modelling. Solid Earth, 2021, 12, 691-711.	2.8	1
105	The deep geothermal potential of the radiogenic Løvstakken Granite in western Norway. Norwegian Journal of Geology, 0, , .	0.5	1
106	Using Geophysical Methods to Characterize a Fault Zone – A Case Study from the Møre-Trøndelag Fault Complex, Mid-Norway. , 2010, , .		1
107	Feasibility study of electromagnetic, gravimetric and aeromagnetic methods in sub-basaltic settings. , 2008, , .		1
108	Use of GOCE Satellite Gradient Gravity Data for Forward and Inverse Modeling of the NE Atlantic Margin. , 2012, , .		1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	Integrated interpretation of potential field and seismic data for shale gas potential in the Karoo Basin, South Africa. , 2013, , .		O
110	A new noise reduction method for airborne gravity gradient data. Exploration Geophysics, 2016, 47, 296-301.	1.1	0
111	From crustal seismology to geodynamics – Contributions from the Rolf-Meissner-Symposium. Tectonophysics, 2016, 692, 1-2.	2.2	O
112	11892 Heterogeneous gravity data combination for geophysical exploration research: Applications for basin and petroleum system analysis in the Arabian Peninsula. Geoarabia, 2012, 17, 181-239.	1.6	0
113	Increased density of large low-velocity provinces recovered by seismologically constrained gravity inversion. Solid Earth, 2020, 11, 1551-1569.	2.8	O
114	Satellite magnetic anomalies with a smooth spectral transition to long wavelengths. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 2022, 324, 106843.	1.9	0