I Quintus Molenaar

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/11904993/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Preoperative predictors for early and very early disease recurrence in patients undergoing resection of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Hpb, 2022, 24, 535-546.	0.3	9
2	Biliopancreatic and biliary leak after pancreatoduodenectomy treated by percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage. Hpb, 2022, 24, 489-497.	0.3	8
3	The impact of cancer treatment on quality of life in patients with pancreatic and periampullary cancer: a propensity score matched analysis. Hpb, 2022, 24, 443-451.	0.3	5
4	Impact of Complications After Pancreatoduodenectomy on Mortality, Organ Failure, Hospital Stay, and Readmission. Annals of Surgery, 2022, 275, e222-e228.	4.2	38
5	Incidence and impact of postoperative pancreatic fistula after minimally invasive and open distal pancreatectomy. Surgery, 2022, 171, 1658-1664.	1.9	12
6	Routine abdominal drainage after distal pancreatectomy: meta-analysis. British Journal of Surgery, 2022, 109, 486-488.	0.3	6
7	Risk factors for complications after surgery for pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Surgery, 2022, 172, 127-136.	1.9	5
8	Online adaptive MR-guided stereotactic radiotherapy for unresectable malignancies in the upper abdomen using a 1.5T MR-linac. Acta Oncológica, 2022, 61, 111-115.	1.8	26
9	ASO Author Reflections: The Need for Improvement of the 8th American Joint Committee on Cancer TNM Staging System for Resected Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, , 1.	1.5	0
10	Nationwide Validation of the 8th American Joint Committee on Cancer TNM Staging System and Five Proposed Modifications for Resected Pancreatic Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 5988-5999.	1.5	11
11	ASO Visual Abstract: Nationwide Validation of the 8th American Joint Committee on Cancer TNM Staging System and Five Proposed Modifications for Resected Pancreatic Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, , .	1.5	0
12	Algorithm-based care versus usual care for the early recognition and management of complications after pancreatic resection in the Netherlands: an open-label, nationwide, stepped-wedge cluster-randomised trial. Lancet, The, 2022, 399, 1867-1875.	13.7	59
13	Short- and Long-Term Outcomes of Pancreatic Cancer Resection in Elderly Patients: A Nationwide Analysis. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 6031-6042.	1.5	8
14	Perioperative Outcomes of Robotic Pancreaticoduodenectomy: a Propensity-Matched Analysis to Open and Laparoscopic Pancreaticoduodenectomy. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2021, 25, 1795-1804.	1.7	43
15	Pancreatic resection in the pediatric, adolescent and young adult population: nationwide analysis on complications. Hpb, 2021, 23, 1175-1184.	0.3	3
16	Nationwide practice and outcomes of endoscopic biliary drainage in resectable pancreatic head and periampullary cancer. Hpb, 2021, 23, 270-278.	0.3	10
17	Treatment strategies and clinical outcomes in consecutive patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer: A multicenter prospective cohort. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 47, 699-707.	1.0	18

18 Patterns of Recurrence After Surgery for Pancreatic Cancer. , 2021, , 1153-1168.

1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Axial slicing versus bivalving in the pathological examination of pancreatoduodenectomy specimens (APOLLO): a multicentre randomized controlled trial. Hpb, 2021, 23, 1349-1359.	0.3	6
20	The Role of CT in Assessment of Extraregional Lymph Node Involvement in Pancreatic and Periampullary Cancer: A Diagnostic Accuracy Study. Radiology Imaging Cancer, 2021, 3, e200014.	1.6	4
21	The fear of cancer progression and recurrence in patients with pancreatic cancer Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2021, 39, 4132-4132.	1.6	Ο
22	Preoperative serum ADAM12 levels as a stromal marker for overall survival and benefit of adjuvant therapy in patients with resected pancreatic and periampullary cancer. Hpb, 2021, 23, 1886-1896.	0.3	3
23	Predicting overall survival and resection in patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer treated with FOLFIRINOX: Development and internal validation of two nomograms. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 124, 589-597.	1.7	6
24	Surgical outcomes of laparoscopic and open resection of benign liver tumours in the Netherlands: a nationwide analysis. Hpb, 2021, 23, 1230-1243.	0.3	8
25	Robotic distal pancreatectomy for a neuroendocrine tumor in an 11-year-old child. Surgical Oncology, 2021, 38, 101600.	1.6	1
26	Preoperative misdiagnosis of pancreatic and periampullary cancer in patients undergoing pancreatoduodenectomy: A multicentre retrospective cohort study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 47, 2525-2532.	1.0	21
27	Early recognition of clinically relevant postoperative pancreatic fistula: a systematic review. Hpb, 2020, 22, 1-11.	0.3	32
28	The risk of not receiving adjuvant chemotherapy after resection of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: a nationwide analysis. Hpb, 2020, 22, 233-240.	0.3	66
29	Textbook Outcome. Annals of Surgery, 2020, 271, 155-162.	4.2	137
30	Prophylactic total pancreatectomy in individuals at high risk of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PROPAN): systematic review and shared decisionâ€making programme using decision tables. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2020, 8, 865-877.	3.8	11
31	Reply to: combination of postoperative C-reactive protein value and computed tomography imaging can predict severe pancreatic fistula after pancreatoduodenectomy. Hpb, 2020, 22, 635.	0.3	0
32	Cachexia, dietetic consultation, and survival in patients with pancreatic and periampullary cancer: A multicenter cohort study. Cancer Medicine, 2020, 9, 9385-9395.	2.8	12
33	Nationwide compliance with a multidisciplinary guideline on pancreatic cancer during 6-year follow-up. Pancreatology, 2020, 20, 1723-1731.	1.1	9
34	Care after pancreatic resection according to an algorithm for early detection and minimally invasive management of pancreatic fistula versus current practice (PORSCH-trial): design and rationale of a nationwide stepped-wedge cluster-randomized trial. Trials, 2020, 21, 389.	1.6	21
35	Establishing and Coordinating a Nationwide Multidisciplinary Study Group: Lessons Learned by the Dutch Pancreatic Cancer Group. Annals of Surgery, 2020, 271, e102-e104.	4.2	43
36	How to Approach Para-Aortic Lymph Node Metastases During Exploration for Suspected Periampullary Carcinoma: Resection or Bypass?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 2949-2958.	1.5	10

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Conditional Survival After Resection for Pancreatic Cancer: A Population-Based Study and Prediction Model. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 2516-2524.	1.5	36
38	The Miami International Evidence-based Guidelines on Minimally Invasive Pancreas Resection. Annals of Surgery, 2020, 271, 1-14.	4.2	294
39	External Validity of the Multicenter Randomized PREOPANC Trial on Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy in Pancreatic Cancer. Annals of Surgery, 2020, Publish Ahead of Print, .	4.2	4
40	Relationship Between Quality of Life and Survival in Patients With Pancreatic and Periampullary Cancer: A Multicenter Cohort Analysis. Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network: JNCCN, 2020, 18, 1354-1363.	4.9	11
41	Diagnosis and management of postpancreatectomy hemorrhage: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Hpb, 2019, 21, 953-961.	0.3	58
42	Locally Advanced Pancreatic Cancer: Work-Up, Staging, and Local Intervention Strategies. Cancers, 2019, 11, 976.	3.7	63
43	Robotic right hepatectomy for a central liver tumor- A video of the surgical technique Surgical Oncology, 2019, 30, 108.	1.6	2
44	Outcome and long-term quality of life after total pancreatectomy (PANORAMA): a nationwide cohort study. Surgery, 2019, 166, 1017-1026.	1.9	43
45	Laparoscopic versus open pancreatoduodenectomy for pancreatic or periampullary tumours (LEOPARD-2): a multicentre, patient-blinded, randomised controlled phase 2/3 trial. The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2019, 4, 199-207.	8.1	393
46	Safety of radiofrequency ablation in patients with locally advanced, unresectable pancreatic cancer: A phase II study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 2166-2172.	1.0	17
47	Circulating Tumor DNA as a Clinical Test in Resected Pancreatic Cancer. Clinical Cancer Research, 2019, 25, 4973-4984.	7.0	118
48	Added value of intra-operative ultrasound to determine the resectability of locally advanced pancreatic cancer following FOLFIRINOX chemotherapy (IMAGE): a prospective multicenter study. Hpb, 2019, 21, 1385-1392.	0.3	16
49	Postponed or immediate drainage of infected necrotizing pancreatitis (POINTER trial): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials, 2019, 20, 239.	1.6	39
50	Isolated pulmonary recurrence after resection of pancreatic cancer: the effect of patient factors and treatment modalities on survival. Hpb, 2019, 21, 998-1008.	0.3	21
51	Recurrence after neoadjuvant therapy and resection of borderline resectable and locally advanced pancreatic cancer. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 1674-1683.	1.0	62
52	Superiority of Step-up Approach vs Open Necrosectomy in Long-term Follow-up of Patients With Necrotizing Pancreatitis. Gastroenterology, 2019, 156, 1016-1026.	1.3	145
53	Predicting Successful Catheter Drainage in Patients With Pancreatic Fistula After Pancreatoduodenectomy. Pancreas, 2019, 48, 811-816.	1.1	4
54	Robotic Versus Open Minor Liver Resections of the Posterosuperior Segments: A Multinational, Propensity Score-Matched Study. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 26, 583-590.	1.5	54

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Alternative Fistula Risk Score for Pancreatoduodenectomy (a-FRS). Annals of Surgery, 2019, 269, 937-943.	4.2	257
56	Defining and Predicting Early Recurrence in 957 Patients With Resected Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. Annals of Surgery, 2019, 269, 1154-1162.	4.2	222
57	Feasibility of a national expert panel to determine resectability in patients with initially unresectable colorectal cancer liver metastases (CRLM) Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2019, 37, 3562-3562.	1.6	0
58	The Dutch Pancreas Biobank Within the Parelsnoer Institute. Pancreas, 2018, 47, 495-501.	1.1	8
59	Systematic review on the role of serum tumor markers in the detection of recurrent pancreatic cancer. Hpb, 2018, 20, 297-304.	0.3	46
60	Systematic review on the impact of pancreatoduodenectomy on quality of life in patients with pancreatic cancer. Hpb, 2018, 20, 204-215.	0.3	50
61	Use of imaging during symptomatic follow-up after resection of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Journal of Surgical Research, 2018, 221, 152-160.	1.6	27
62	Nonoperative Management of Pancreatic Fistula—Reply. JAMA Surgery, 2018, 153, 94.	4.3	0
63	Long-term health-related quality of life after pancreatic resection for malignancy in patients with and without severe postoperative complications. Hpb, 2018, 20, 188-195.	0.3	38
64	A web-based overview, systematic review and meta-analysis of pancreatic anastomosis techniques following pancreatoduodenectomy. Hpb, 2018, 20, 777-785.	0.3	42
65	Management of postoperative pancreatic fistula after pancreatoduodenectomy: high mortality after completion pancreatectomy. Hpb, 2018, 20, 1223.	0.3	1
66	MRI guided stereotactic radiotherapy for locally advanced pancreatic cancer. British Journal of Radiology, 2018, 91, 20170563.	2.2	33
67	The diagnostic performance of CT versus FDG PET-CT for the detection of recurrent pancreatic cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. European Journal of Radiology, 2018, 106, 128-136.	2.6	53
68	Minimally invasive versus open pancreatoduodenectomy (LEOPARD-2): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials, 2018, 19, 1.	1.6	107
69	Robotic pancreatoduodenectomy for a solid pseudopapillary tumor in a ten-year-old child. Surgical Oncology, 2018, 27, 635-636.	1.6	6
70	Implications of the Pattern of Disease Recurrence on Survival Following Pancreatectomy for Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 25, 2475-2483.	1.5	77
71	Systematic review on the treatment of isolated local recurrence of pancreatic cancer after surgery; re-resection, chemoradiotherapy and SBRT. Hpb, 2017, 19, 83-92.	0.3	74
72	Management of Severe Pancreatic Fistula After Pancreatoduodenectomy. JAMA Surgery, 2017, 152, 540.	4.3	96

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Minimally invasive versus open distal pancreatectomy (LEOPARD): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials, 2017, 18, 166.	1.6	40
74	Current Strategies for Detection and Treatment of Recurrence of Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma After Resection. Pancreas, 2017, 46, e73-e75.	1.1	18
75	Nationwide prospective audit of pancreatic surgery: design, accuracy, and outcomes of the Dutch Pancreatic Cancer Audit. Hpb, 2017, 19, 919-926.	0.3	97
76	Irreversible Electroporation of the Pancreas Using Parallel Plate Electrodes in a Porcine Model: A Feasibility Study. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0169396.	2.5	4
77	Developing a robotic pancreas program: the Dutch experience. Journal of Visualized Surgery, 2017, 3, 106-106.	0.2	31
78	Rare Case of an Epithelial Cyst in an Intrapancreatic Accessory Spleen Treated by Robot-Assisted Spleen Preserving Distal Pancreatectomy. Case Reports in Gastrointestinal Medicine, 2016, 2016, 1-6.	0.3	6
79	Robot-assisted spleen preserving pancreatic surgery in MEN1 patients. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 114, 456-461.	1.7	19
80	Impact of a Nationwide Training Program in Minimally Invasive Distal Pancreatectomy (LAELAPS). Annals of Surgery, 2016, 264, 754-762.	4.2	99
81	Volume–outcome relationships in pancreatoduodenectomy for cancer. Hpb, 2016, 18, 317-324.	0.3	112
82	Pancreatoduodenectomy with colon resection for cancer: A nationwide retrospective analysis. Surgery, 2016, 160, 145-152.	1.9	12
83	Surgical and Oncologic Outcomes After Major Liver Surgery and Extended Hemihepatectomy for Colorectal Liver Metastases. Clinical Colorectal Cancer, 2016, 15, e193-e198.	2.3	16
84	Robotic liver resection including the posterosuperior segments: initial experience. Journal of Surgical Research, 2016, 206, 133-138.	1.6	25
85	Systematic Review of Resection Rates and Clinical Outcomes After FOLFIRINOX-Based Treatment in Patients with Locally Advanced Pancreatic Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 23, 4352-4360.	1.5	122
86	Pancreatic Exocrine Insufficiency in Patients With Pancreatic or Periampullary Cancer. Pancreas, 2016, 45, 325-330.	1.1	73
87	Electromagnetic-Guided Bedside Placement of Nasoenteral Feeding Tubes by Nurses Is Non-Inferior to Endoscopic Placement by Gastroenterologists: A Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial. American Journal of Gastroenterology, 2016, 111, 1123-1132.	0.4	16
88	Preoperative radiochemotherapy versus immediate surgery for resectable and borderline resectable pancreatic cancer (PREOPANC trial): study protocol for a multicentre randomized controlled trial. Trials, 2016, 17, 127.	1.6	131
89	Outcomes of Distal Pancreatectomy for Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma in the Netherlands: A Nationwide Retrospective Analysis. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 23, 585-591.	1.5	48
90	Developing a core set of patient-reported outcomes in pancreatic cancer: A Delphi survey. European Journal of Cancer, 2016, 57, 68-77.	2.8	33

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Pancreatic cancer surgery in elderly patients: Balancing between short-term harm and long-term benefit. A population-based study in the Netherlands. Acta Oncológica, 2016, 55, 278-285.	1.8	55
92	Systematic review of resection rates and clinical outcomes after FOLFIRINOX-based treatment in patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2016, 34, 4115-4115.	1.6	0
93	Impact of centralization of pancreatoduodenectomy on reported radical resections rates in a nationwide pathology database. Hpb, 2015, 17, 736-742.	0.3	34
94	Systematic review on the use of matrix bound sealants in pancreatic resection. Hpb, 2015, 17, 1033-1039.	0.3	15
95	Diagnostic value of a pancreatic mass on computed tomography in patients undergoing pancreatoduodenectomy for presumed pancreatic cancer. Surgery, 2015, 158, 173-182.	1.9	7
96	Organoid Models of Human and Mouse Ductal Pancreatic Cancer. Cell, 2015, 160, 324-338.	28.9	1,584
97	A Nationwide Comparison of Laparoscopic and Open Distal Pancreatectomy for Benign and Malignant Disease. Journal of the American College of Surgeons, 2015, 220, 263-270e1.	0.5	78
98	Preoperative endoscopic versus percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage in potentially resectable perihilar cholangiocarcinoma (DRAINAGE trial): design and rationale of a randomized controlled trial. BMC Gastroenterology, 2015, 15, 20.	2.0	36
99	Electromagnetic guided bedside or endoscopic placement of nasoenteral feeding tubes in surgical patients (CORE trial): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials, 2015, 16, 119.	1.6	7
100	Systematic review on bedside electromagnetic-guided, endoscopic, and fluoroscopic placement of nasoenteral feeding tubes. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2015, 81, 836-847.e2.	1.0	40
101	Same-admission versus interval cholecystectomy for mild gallstone pancreatitis (PONCHO): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. Lancet, The, 2015, 386, 1261-1268.	13.7	276
102	Treatment strategies in colorectal cancer patients with initially unresectable liver-only metastases, a study protocol of the randomised phase 3 CAIRO5 study of the Dutch Colorectal Cancer Group (DCCG). BMC Cancer, 2015, 15, 365.	2.6	59
103	Feeding patients with preoperative symptoms of gastric outlet obstruction after pancreatoduodenectomy: Early oral or routine nasojejunal tube feeding?. Pancreatology, 2015, 15, 548-553.	1.1	10
104	Treatment strategies in colorectal cancer patients with initially unresectable liver-only metastases: The randomized phase III CAIRO5 study of the Dutch Colorectal Cancer Group Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2015, 33, TPS3622-TPS3622.	1.6	2
105	Feeding Routes After Pancreatoduodenectomy. , 2015, , 575-592.		0
106	Feeding Routes After Pancreatoduodenectomy. , 2014, , 1-22.		0
107	Hepatic Radioembolization as a Bridge to Liver Surgery. Frontiers in Oncology, 2014, 4, 199.	2.8	23
108	Diagnostic accuracy of CT in assessing extra-regional lymphadenopathy in pancreatic and peri-ampullary cancer: AÂsystematic review and meta-analysis. Surgical Oncology, 2014, 23, 229-235.	1.6	40

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	The role of routine preoperative EUS when performed after contrast enhanced CT in the diagnostic work-up in patients suspected of pancreatic or periampullary cancer. Pancreatology, 2014, 14, 125-130.	1.1	7
110	Preoperative Characteristics of Patients with Presumed Pancreatic Cancer but Ultimately Benign Disease: A Multicenter Series of 344 Pancreatoduodenectomies. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2014, 21, 3999-4006.	1.5	47
111	Early oral feeding after pancreatoduodenectomy enhances recovery without increasing morbidity. Hpb, 2014, 16, 656-664.	0.3	51
112	Robotâ€assisted pancreatic surgery: a systematic review of the literature. Hpb, 2013, 15, 1-10.	0.3	71
113	Radiofrequency ablation of the pancreas with and without intraluminal duodenal cooling in a porcine model. Journal of Surgical Research, 2013, 184, 867-872.	1.6	29
114	Fibrin Sealant for Prevention of Resection Surface-Related Complications After Liver Resection. Annals of Surgery, 2012, 256, 229-234.	4.2	47
115	Pancreatitis of biliary origin, optimal timing of cholecystectomy (PONCHO trial): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials, 2012, 13, 225.	1.6	38
116	Efficacy and Complications of Nasojejunal, Jejunostomy and Parenteral Feeding After Pancreaticoduodenectomy. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2012, 16, 1144-1151.	1.7	81
117	Radiofrequency ablation of colorectal liver metastases induces an inflammatory response in distant hepatic metastases but not in local accelerated outgrowth. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2010, 101, 551-556.	1.7	30
118	Topical haemostatic agents in liver surgery: do we need them?. Hpb, 2009, 11, 306-310.	0.3	56
119	Accelerated Perinecrotic Outgrowth of Colorectal Liver Metastases Following Radiofrequency Ablation is a Hypoxia-Driven Phenomenon. Annals of Surgery, 2009, 249, 814-823.	4.2	91
120	Impact of Blood Loss on Outcome after Liver Resection. Digestive Surgery, 2007, 24, 259-264.	1.2	133
121	Aprotinin and Nafamostat Mesilate in Liver Surgery: Effect on Blood Loss. Digestive Surgery, 2007, 24, 282-287.	1.2	19
122	Minimizing Blood Loss in Liver Transplantation: Progress through Research and Evolution of Techniques. Digestive Surgery, 2005, 22, 265-275.	1.2	143
123	Plasma MMP–2 and MMP–9 and their inhibitors TIMP-1 and TIMP-2 during human orthotopic liver transplantation. Thrombosis and Haemostasis, 2004, 91, 506-513.	3.4	47
124	Aprotinin and Thromboembolism in Liver Transplantation: Is There Really a Causal Effect?. Anesthesia and Analgesia, 2002, 94, 1367-1368.	2.2	18
125	Reduced Need for Vasopressors in Patients Receiving Aprotinin during Orthotopic Liver Transplantation. Anesthesiology, 2001, 94, 433-438.	2.5	52
126	THE EFFECT OF APROTININ ON RENAL FUNCTION IN ORTHOTOPIC LIVER TRANSPLANTATION1. Transplantation, 2001, 71, 247-252.	1.0	42

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
127	Aprotinin in orthotopic liver transplantation: Evidence for a prohemostatic, but not a prothrombotic, effect. Liver Transplantation, 2001, 7, 896-903.	2.4	40
128	Aprotinin and transfusion requirements in orthotopic liver transplantation: a multicentre randomised double-blind study. Lancet, The, 2000, 355, 1303-1309.	13.7	309
129	Aprotinin in liver transplantation. Hepatology, 1998, 27, 1169a-1169.	7.3	5
130	ASO Author Reflections: Nationwide Reflection of Clinical Outcomes in Elderly Patients after Resection of Pancreatic Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 0, , .	1.5	0