Jessica Coon

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/11904048/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

18	467	12	18
papers	citations	h-index	g-index
20	20	20	115
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Feature Gluttony. Linguistic Inquiry, 2021, 52, 655-710.	0.9	18
2	Building verbs in Chuj: Consequences forÂtheÂnatureÂof roots. Journal of Linguistics, 2019, 55, 35-81.	0.6	9
3	Classifiers, partitions, and measurements: Exploring the syntax and semantics of sortal classifiers. Glossa, 2019, 4, .	0.5	5
4	Adverbs and variability in Kaqchikel Agent Focus. Natural Language and Linguistic Theory, 2018, 36, 149-173.	1.0	9
5	Deriving verb-initial word order in Mayan. Language, 2018, 94, 237-280.	0.6	22
6	Littleâ€v ⁰ Agreement and Templatic Morphology in Ch'ol. Syntax, 2017, 20, 101-137.	0.6	18
7	Nominalizations and the structure of progressives in Chuj Mayan. Glossa, 2017, 2, .	0.5	9
8	Introduction to Mayan Linguistics. Language and Linguistics Compass, 2016, 10, 455-468.	2.3	15
9	Mayan Morphosyntax. Language and Linguistics Compass, 2016, 10, 515-550.	2.3	24
10	Ergativity and the complexity of extraction: a view from Mayan. Natural Language and Linguistic Theory, 2015, 33, 417-467.	1.0	19
11	Classifiers Are for Numerals, Not for Nouns: Consequences for the Mass/Count Distinction. Linguistic Inquiry, 2014, 45, 695-707.	0.9	49
12	The role of case in A-bar extraction asymmetries. Linguistic Variation, 2014, 14, 179-242.	0.4	50
13	TAM Split Ergativity, Part I. Language and Linguistics Compass, 2013, 7, 171-190.	2.3	18
14	Split ergativity and transitivity in Chol. Lingua, 2012, 122, 241-256.	1.0	12
15	Rethinking Split Ergativity In Chol. International Journal of American Linguistics, 2010, 76, 207-253.	0.1	21
16	VOS as predicate fronting in Chol. Lingua, 2010, 120, 354-378.	1.0	35
17	Interrogative Possessors and the Problem with Pied-Piping in Chol. Linguistic Inquiry, 2009, 40, 165-175.	0.9	40
18	Comments on Austronesian nominalism: A Mayan perspective. Theoretical Linguistics, 2009, 35, .	0.2	2