

Timothy R Levine

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/11874590/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

76
papers

4,667
citations

159585

30
h-index

106344

65
g-index

81
all docs

81
docs citations

81
times ranked

3382
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Unpacking variation in lie prevalence: Prolific liars, bad lie days, or both?. <i>Communication Monographs</i> , 2022, 89, 307-331.	2.7	16
2	Truth-default theory and the psychology of lying and deception detection. <i>Current Opinion in Psychology</i> , 2022, 47, 101380.	4.9	10
3	It's the Situation and Your Disposition: A Test of Two Honesty Hypotheses. <i>Social Psychological and Personality Science</i> , 2021, 12, 213-224.	3.9	20
4	The Truth-Default and Video Clips: Testing the Limits of Credulity. <i>Communication Studies</i> , 2021, 72, 133-145.	1.2	7
5	A few prolific liars in Japan: Replication and the effects of Dark Triad personality traits. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2021, 16, e0249815.	2.5	16
6	In search of Korean Outliers: "a few prolific liars" in South Korea. <i>Communication Research Reports</i> , 2021, 38, 206-215.	1.8	10
7	Teaching Students About Sender and Receiver Variability in Lie Detection. <i>Teaching of Psychology</i> , 2020, 47, 84-91.	1.2	6
8	Five Reasons Why I Am Skeptical That Indirect or Unconscious Lie Detection Is Superior to Direct Deception Detection. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2019, 10, 1354.	2.1	2
9	An Overview of Detecting Deceptive Communication. , 2019, , 289-301.		3
10	Documenting the Truth-Default: The Low Frequency of Spontaneous Unprompted Veracity Assessments in Deception Detection. <i>Human Communication Research</i> , 2019, 45, 286-308.	3.4	28
11	How Custom Agents Really Detect Lies. <i>Communication Research Reports</i> , 2019, 36, 84-92.	1.8	7
12	Differences and Similarities Between Koreans and Americans in Lying and Truth-Telling. <i>Journal of Language and Social Psychology</i> , 2018, 37, 562-577.	2.3	3
13	The Role of Consistency in Detecting Deception: The Superiority of Correspondence over Coherence. <i>Communication Studies</i> , 2018, 69, 483-498.	1.2	14
14	Ecological Validity and Deception Detection Research Design. <i>Communication Methods and Measures</i> , 2018, 12, 45-54.	4.7	36
15	The Intertwined Model of Reactance for Resistance and Persuasive Boomerang. <i>Communication Research</i> , 2017, 44, 931-951.	5.9	32
16	Strong Diagnostic Questioning Effects on Deception Detection Accuracy With U.S. Customs Agents. <i>Communication Research Reports</i> , 2017, 34, 84-87.	1.8	3
17	The effects of truth "lie base-rates on deception detection accuracy in Korea. <i>Asian Journal of Communication</i> , 2017, 27, 554-562.	1.0	8
18	Examining Sender and Judge Variability in Honesty Assessments and Deception Detection Accuracy: Evidence for a Transparent Liar but No Evidence of Deception-General Ability. <i>Communication Research Reports</i> , 2016, 33, 188-194.	1.8	19

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Toward a Pan-cultural Typology of Deception Motives. <i>Journal of Intercultural Communication Research</i> , 2016, 45, 1-12.	0.9	39
20	Base Rates, Deception Detection, and Deception Theory: A Reply to Burgoon (2015). <i>Human Communication Research</i> , 2015, 41, 350-366.	3.4	7
21	Producing deception detection expertise. <i>Policing</i> , 2015, 38, 71-85.	1.2	4
22	New and improved accuracy findings in deception detection research. <i>Current Opinion in Psychology</i> , 2015, 6, 1-5.	4.9	31
23	A Few Prolific Liars. <i>Journal of Language and Social Psychology</i> , 2015, 34, 138-157.	2.3	93
24	Active Deception Detection. <i>Policy Insights From the Behavioral and Brain Sciences</i> , 2014, 1, 122-128.	2.4	22
25	Diagnostic Utility: Experimental Demonstrations and Replications of Powerful Question Effects in High-Stakes Deception Detection. <i>Human Communication Research</i> , 2014, 40, 262-289.	3.4	38
26	The Effects of Truth-Lie Base Rate on Interactive Deception Detection Accuracy. <i>Human Communication Research</i> , 2014, 40, 350-372.	3.4	21
27	Direct and Indirect Measures of Lie Detection Tell the Same Story. <i>Psychological Science</i> , 2014, 25, 1960-1961.	3.3	31
28	Truth-Default Theory (TDT). <i>Journal of Language and Social Psychology</i> , 2014, 33, 378-392.	2.3	335
29	Theorizing About Deception. <i>Journal of Language and Social Psychology</i> , 2014, 33, 431-440.	2.3	20
30	An Introduction to Advances in Deception Theory. <i>Journal of Language and Social Psychology</i> , 2014, 33, 345-347.	2.3	1
31	Teenagers Lie a Lot: A Further Investigation into the Prevalence of Lying. <i>Communication Research Reports</i> , 2013, 30, 211-220.	1.8	21
32	A Defense of Publishing Nonsignificant (<i>ns</i>) Results. <i>Communication Research Reports</i> , 2013, 30, 270-274.	1.8	27
33	Sharing Good and Bad News with Friends and Strangers: Reasons for and Communication Behaviors Associated with the MUM Effect. <i>Communication Studies</i> , 2013, 64, 431-452.	1.2	23
34	The gap between reality and research. <i>Policing</i> , 2012, 35, 723-740.	1.2	9
35	The Problems With Some Means: Cautionary Advice Regarding Reporting and Interpreting Averages in Communication Research. <i>Communication Research Reports</i> , 2012, 29, 80-85.	1.8	2
36	The Unidimensional Relationship Closeness Scale (URCS): Reliability and validity evidence for a new measure of relationship closeness.. <i>Psychological Assessment</i> , 2012, 24, 565-572.	1.5	142

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Individual and cultural variations in direct communication style. <i>International Journal of Intercultural Relations</i> , 2012, 36, 179-187.	2.0	24
38	Sender Demeanor: Individual Differences in Sender Believability Have a Powerful Impact on Deception Detection Judgments. <i>Human Communication Research</i> , 2011, 37, 377-403.	3.4	104
39	Statistical Conclusions Validity Basics: Probability and How Type 1 and Type 2 Errors Obscure the Interpretation of Findings in Communication Research Literatures. <i>Communication Research Reports</i> , 2011, 28, 115-119.	1.8	3
40	The Effect of Suspicion on Deception Detection Accuracy: Optimal Level or Opposing Effects?. <i>Communication Reports</i> , 2011, 24, 51-62.	1.0	32
41	A Few Transparent Liars Explaining 54% Accuracy in Deception Detection Experiments. <i>Annals of the International Communication Association</i> , 2010, 34, 41-61.	4.6	20
42	The Prevalence of Lying in America: Three Studies of Self-Reported Lies. <i>Human Communication Research</i> , 2010, 36, 2-25.	3.4	245
43	(In)accuracy at Detecting True and False Confessions and Denials: An Initial Test of a Projected Motive Model of Veracity Judgments. <i>Human Communication Research</i> , 2010, 36, 82-102.	3.4	63
44	Increasing Deception Detection Accuracy with Strategic Questioning. <i>Human Communication Research</i> , 2010, 36, 216-231.	3.4	61
45	Online Language: The Role of Culture in Self-Expression and Self-Construal on Facebook. <i>Journal of Language and Social Psychology</i> , 2010, 29, 425-442.	2.3	56
46	The Impact of <i>Lie to Me</i> on Viewers'™ Actual Ability to Detect Deception. <i>Communication Research</i> , 2010, 37, 847-856.	5.9	31
47	People Lie for a Reason: Three Experiments Documenting the Principle of Veracity. <i>Communication Research Reports</i> , 2010, 27, 271-285.	1.8	88
48	Sample Sizes and Effect Sizes are Negatively Correlated in Meta-Analyses: Evidence and Implications of a Publication Bias Against NonSignificant Findings. <i>Communication Monographs</i> , 2009, 76, 286-302.	2.7	124
49	A Critical Assessment of Null Hypothesis Significance Testing in Quantitative Communication Research. <i>Human Communication Research</i> , 2008, 34, 171-187.	3.4	110
50	A Communication Researchers'™ Guide to Null Hypothesis Significance Testing and Alternatives. <i>Human Communication Research</i> , 2008, 34, 188-209.	3.4	82
51	Some Conceptual and Theoretical Challenges for Cross-Cultural Communication Research in the 21st Century. <i>Journal of Intercultural Communication Research</i> , 2007, 36, 205-221.	0.9	43
52	French Abstract. <i>Human Communication Research</i> , 2007, 33, 81-102.	3.4	69
53	Deception Detection Accuracy is a Predictable Linear Function of Message Veracity Base-Rate: A Formal Test of Park and Levine's Probability Model. <i>Communication Monographs</i> , 2006, 73, 243-260.	2.7	99
54	A Multimethod Multitrait Validity Assessment of Self-Construal in Japan, Korea, and the United States. <i>Human Communication Research</i> , 2005, 31, 33-59.	3.4	55

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Confirmatory Factor Analysis and Scale Validation in Communication Research. <i>Communication Research Reports</i> , 2005, 22, 335-338.	1.8	125
56	The accurate reporting of software-generated values: A cautionary research note. <i>Communication Research Reports</i> , 2004, 21, 324-327.	1.8	1
57	The dimensionality of the verbal aggressiveness scale. <i>Communication Monographs</i> , 2004, 71, 245-268.	2.7	66
58	Self-Construal Scales Lack Validity. <i>Human Communication Research</i> , 2003, 29, 210-252.	3.4	188
59	The (In)validity of Self-Construal Scales Revisited. <i>Human Communication Research</i> , 2003, 29, 291-308.	3.4	26
60	The High Cost of Complexity in Experimental Design and Data Analysis: Type I and Type II Error Rates in Multiway ANOVA. <i>Human Communication Research</i> , 2002, 28, 515-530.	3.4	57
61	Eta Squared, Partial Eta Squared, and Misreporting of Effect Size in Communication Research. <i>Human Communication Research</i> , 2002, 28, 612-625.	3.4	749
62	Norms, expectations, and deception: A norm violation model of veracity judgments. <i>Communication Monographs</i> , 2000, 67, 123-137.	2.7	75
63	Culture and information manipulation theory: The effects of self-construal and locus of benefit on information manipulation. <i>Communication Studies</i> , 2000, 51, 55-73.	1.2	22
64	The theory of reasoned action and self-construal: Evidence from three cultures. <i>Communication Monographs</i> , 1999, 66, 199-218.	2.7	94
65	Accuracy in detecting truths and lies: Documenting the "veracity effect". <i>Communication Monographs</i> , 1999, 66, 125-144.	2.7	311
66	The theory of reasoned action and self-construals: Understanding recycling in Hawai'i. <i>Communication Studies</i> , 1998, 49, 196-208.	1.2	26
67	Can Behavioral Adaptation Explain the Probing Effect? Rejoinder to Buller et al.. <i>Human Communication Research</i> , 1996, 22, 604-613.	3.4	10
68	The influence of perceived source reward value on attributions of deception. <i>Communication Research Reports</i> , 1993, 10, 15-27.	1.8	10
69	Sex differences in emotional reactions to discovered deception. <i>Communication Quarterly</i> , 1992, 40, 289-296.	1.3	26
70	Linking Love and Lies: A Formal Test of the Mccornack and Parks Model of Deception Detection. <i>Journal of Social and Personal Relationships</i> , 1992, 9, 143-154.	2.3	110
71	When lies are uncovered: Emotional and relational outcomes of discovered deception. <i>Communication Monographs</i> , 1990, 57, 119-138.	2.7	123
72	When lovers become leery: The relationship between suspicion and accuracy in detecting deception. <i>Communication Monographs</i> , 1990, 57, 219-230.	2.7	116

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Content in Context Improves Deception Detection Accuracy. Human Communication Research, 0, 36, 423-442.	3.4	103
74	Lying and Deception in Close Relationships. , 0, , 329-340.		0
75	Judgments of honest and deceptive communication in art forgery controversies: two field studies testing truth-default theory's projected motive model in Korea. Asian Journal of Communication, 0, , 1-14.	1.0	1
76	Sender and Receiver Lie Frequencies and Motives: Testing Predictions from Truth-Default Theory. Southern Communication Journal, The, 0, , 1-15.	0.5	1