## Timothy N Welsh

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/11821681/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

257450 330143 1,905 106 24 37 citations g-index h-index papers 106 106 106 1177 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	No one knows what attention is. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2019, 81, 2288-2303.	1.3	149
2	Movement Trajectories in the Presence of a Distracting Stimulus: Evidence for a Response Activation Model of Selective Reaching. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section A: Human Experimental Psychology, 2004, 57, 1031-1057.	2.3	120
3	Does Joe influence Fred's action?. Neuroscience Letters, 2005, 385, 99-104.	2.1	85
4	Catching Eyes. Psychological Science, 2014, 25, 720-727.	3.3	67
5	Seeing vs. believing: Is believing sufficient to activate the processes of response co-representation?. Human Movement Science, 2007, 26, 853-866.	1.4	64
6	Are there age-related differences in learning to optimize speed, accuracy, and energy expenditure?. Human Movement Science, 2007, 26, 892-912.	1.4	57
7	Within- and between-nervous-system inhibition of return: Observation is as good as performance. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2007, 14, 950-956.	2.8	49
8	Response Selection During a Joint Action Task. Journal of Motor Behavior, 2011, 43, 329-332.	0.9	49
9	The effects of response priming on the planning and execution of goal-directed movements in the presence of a distracting stimulus. Acta Psychologica, 2005, 119, 123-142.	1.5	43
10	I before U: Temporal order judgements reveal bias for self-owned objects. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2019, 72, 589-598.	1.1	41
11	The effect of the Mýller-Lyer illusion on the planning and control of manual aiming movements Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2006, 32, 413-422.	0.9	40
12	Gender differences in a dichotic listening and movement task: lateralization or strategy?. Neuropsychologia, 2001, 39, 25-35.	1.6	36
13	Activity of human motor system during action observation is modulated by object presence. Experimental Brain Research, 2011, 209, 85-93.	1.5	34
14	Saccadic Trajectories Receive Online Correction: Evidence for a Feedback-Based System of Oculomotor Control. Journal of Motor Behavior, 2009, 41, 117-127.	0.9	32
15	The relationship between attentional capture and deviations in movement trajectories in a selective reaching task. Acta Psychologica, 2011, 137, 300-308.	1.5	32
16	The Visual Regulation of Goal-Directed Reaching Movements in Adults with Williams Syndrome, Down Syndrome, and Other Developmental Delays. Motor Control, 2006, 10, 34-54.	0.6	31
17	When 1+1=1: The unification of independent actors revealed through joint Simon effects in crossed and uncrossed effector conditions. Human Movement Science, 2009, 28, 726-737.	1.4	31
18	Does Joe influence Fred's action? Not if Fred has autism spectrum disorder. Brain Research, 2009, 1248, 141-148.	2.2	30

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	The processes of facilitation and inhibition in a cue–target paradigm: Insight from movement trajectory deviations. Acta Psychologica, 2012, 139, 159-165.	1.5	30
20	Inhibition of return in cue–target and target–target tasks. Experimental Brain Research, 2006, 174, 167-175.	1.5	28
21	Joint Simon Effects in Extrapersonal Space. Journal of Motor Behavior, 2013, 45, 1-5.	0.9	28
22	On the relationship between the execution, perception, and imagination of action. Behavioural Brain Research, 2013, 257, 242-252.	2.2	27
23	The Processing Speed of Visual and Verbal Movement Information by Adults with and Without Down Syndrome. Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly, 2001, 18, 156-167.	0.8	26
24	Effects of Response Priming and Inhibition on Movement Planning and Execution. Journal of Motor Behavior, 2004, 36, 200-211.	0.9	25
25	The performance and observation of action shape future behaviour. Brain and Cognition, 2009, 71, 64-71.	1.8	25
26	Vector inversion diminishes the online control of antisaccades. Experimental Brain Research, 2011, 209, 117-127.	1.5	23
27	Inverting the joint Simon effect by intention. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2012, 19, 914-920.	2.8	23
28	Between-trial inhibition and facilitation in goal-directed aiming: manual and spatial asymmetries. Experimental Brain Research, 2005, 160, 79-88.	1.5	22
29	Actions modulate attentional capture. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2008, 61, 968-976.	1.1	22
30	Reach across the boundary. , 2013, , .		22
31	Starting with the "right―foot minimizes sprint start time. Acta Psychologica, 2008, 127, 495-500.	1.5	21
32	The relationship between the motor system activation during action observation and adaptation in the motor system following repeated action observation. Human Movement Science, 2013, 32, 400-411.	1.4	21
33	Optimal weighting of costs and probabilities in a risky motor decision-making task requires experience Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2013, 39, 638-645.	0.9	19
34	Eyes only? Perceiving eye contact is neither sufficient nor necessary for attentional capture by face direction. Acta Psychologica, 2015, 160, 134-140.	1.5	19
35	An optimal velocity for online limb-target regulation processes?. Experimental Brain Research, 2017, 235, 29-40.	1.5	19
36	Mental attribution is not sufficient or necessary to trigger attentional orienting to gaze. Cognition, 2019, 189, 35-40.	2.2	18

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	The personification of animals: Coding of human and nonhuman body parts based on posture and function. Cognition, 2014, 132, 398-415.	2.2	16
38	The limb-specific embodiment of a tool following experience. Experimental Brain Research, 2015, 233, 2685-2694.	1.5	16
39	Motor system activation during motor imagery is positively related to the magnitude of cortical plastic changes following motor imagery training. Behavioural Brain Research, 2020, 390, 112685.	2.2	16
40	Speech Production Errors in Adults With and Without Down Syndrome Following Verbal, Written, and Pictorial Cues. Developmental Neuropsychology, 2002, 21, 157-172.	1.4	15
41	Cerebral specialization and verbal-motor integration in adults with and without Down syndrome. Brain and Language, 2003, 84, 152-169.	1.6	15
42	Rapid motor cortical plasticity can be induced by motor imagery training. Neuropsychologia, 2019, 134, 107206.	1.6	15
43	Effect of task-specific execution on accuracy of imagined aiming movements. Neuroscience Letters, 2015, 585, 72-76.	2.1	14
44	Ownership Status Influences the Degree of Joint Facilitatory Behavior. Psychological Science, 2016, 27, 1371-1378.	3.3	14
45	Factors that affect action possibility judgements: Recent experience with the action and the current body state. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2012, 65, 976-993.	1.1	13
46	How one breaks Fitts's Law and gets away with it: Moving further and faster involves more efficient online control. Human Movement Science, 2015, 39, 163-176.	1.4	13
47	Refining the time course of facilitation and inhibition in attention and action. Neuroscience Letters, 2013, 554, 6-10.	2.1	12
48	The modulation of motor contagion by intrapersonal sensorimotor experience. Neuroscience Letters, 2016, 624, 42-46.	2.1	12
49	The association between gender role stereotypes, resistance training motivation, and participation. Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 2017, 33, 123-130.	2.1	12
50	Relative Processing Demands Influence Cerebral Laterality for Verbal-Motor Integration in Persons with Down Syndrome. Cortex, 2005, 41, 61-66.	2.4	10
51	Fitts's Law in a Selective Reaching Task: The Proximity-to-Hand Effect of Action-Centered Attention Revisited. Motor Control, 2009, 13, 100-112.	0.6	10
52	People are better at maximizing expected gain in a manual aiming task with rapidly changing probabilities than with rapidly changing payoffs. Journal of Neurophysiology, 2014, 111, 1016-1026.	1.8	10
53	Responses of the human motor system to observing actions across species: A transcranial magnetic stimulation study. Brain and Cognition, 2014, 92, 11-18.	1.8	10
54	Hand position influences perceptual grouping. Experimental Brain Research, 2015, 233, 2627-2634.	1.5	10

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Index of difficulty and side of space are accommodated during the selection and planning of a joint action. Human Movement Science, 2017, 54, 197-209.	1.4	10
56	Barbie's new look: Exploring cognitive body representation among female children and adolescents. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0218315.	2.5	10
57	Factors that affect action possibility judgments: The assumed abilities of other people. Acta Psychologica, 2013, 143, 235-244.	1.5	9
58	Knowledge of response location alone is not sufficient to generate social inhibition of return. Acta Psychologica, 2014, 153, 153-159.	1.5	9
59	Do you see what I see? Co-actor posture modulates visual processing in joint tasks. Visual Cognition, 2015, 23, 699-719.	1.6	9
60	Eye movements may cause motor contagion effects. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2017, 24, 835-841.	2.8	9
61	It is not in the details: Self-related shapes are rapidly classified but their features are not better remembered. Memory and Cognition, 2019, 47, 1145-1157.	1.6	9
62	Dichotic ear advantages in adults with Down's syndrome predict speech production errors Neuropsychology, 2003, 17, 32-38.	1.3	8
63	Experience and Net Worth Affects Optimality in a Motor Decision Task. Motor Control, 2015, 19, 75-89.	0.6	8
64	A role of goals for social inhibition of return?. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2016, 69, 2402-2418.	1.1	8
65	Examining the equivalence between imagery and execution $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{C}$ Do imagined and executed movements code relative environmental features?. Behavioural Brain Research, 2019, 370, 111951.	2.2	8
66	Increased preparation time reduces, but does not abolish, action history bias of saccadic eye movements. Journal of Neurophysiology, 2019, 121, 1478-1490.	1.8	8
67	A comparative analysis of lumbar spine mechanics during barbell- and crate-lifting: implications for occupational lifting task assessments. International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics, 2020, 26, 1-8.	1.9	8
68	The action-specific effect of execution on imagination of reciprocal aiming movements. Human Movement Science, 2017, 54, 51-62.	1.4	7
69	Body-part compatibility effects are modulated by the tendency for women to experience negative social comparative emotions and the body-type of the model. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0179552.	2.5	7
70	"Two Minds Don't Blink Alike― The Attentional Blink Does Not Occur in a Joint Context. Frontiers in Psychology, 2018, 9, 1714.	2.1	7
71	Are goal states represented during kinematic imitation?. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2018, 44, 226-242.	0.9	7
72	Action Possibility Judgments of People with Varying Motor Abilities Due to Spinal Cord Injury. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e110250.	2.5	7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	The violation of Fitts' Law: an examination of displacement biases and corrective submovements. Experimental Brain Research, 2016, 234, 2151-2163.	1.5	6
74	The processing of visual and auditory information for reaching movements. Psychological Research, 2016, 80, 757-773.	1.7	6
75	A comparison of augmented feedback and didactic training approaches to reduce spine motion during occupational lifting tasks. Applied Ergonomics, 2022, 99, 103612.	3.1	6
76	Behavioural indexes of movement imagery ability are associated with the magnitude of corticospinal adaptation following movement imagery training. Brain Research, 2022, 1777, 147764.	2.2	6
77	The effect of postural stability and spatial orientation of the upper limbs on interlimb coordination. Experimental Brain Research, 2005, 161, 265-275.	1.5	5
78	On Mechanisms, Methods, and Measures: A Response toÂGuagnano, Rusconi, and UmiltÃ. Journal of Motor Behavior, 2013, 45, 9-14.	0.9	5
79	Abnormal surround inhibition does not affect asymptomatic limbs in people with cervical dystonia. Neuroscience Letters, 2015, 604, 7-11.	2.1	5
80	Embodying animals: Body-part compatibility in mammalian, reptile and aves classes. Acta Psychologica, 2015, 160, 117-126.	1.5	5
81	Corrections in saccade endpoints scale to the amplitude of target displacements in a double-step paradigm. Neuroscience Letters, 2016, 611, 46-50.	2.1	5
82	Response-specific effects in a joint action task: social inhibition of return effects do not emerge when observed and executed actions are different. Psychological Research, 2017, 81, 1059-1071.	1.7	5
83	Body schema activation for self-other matching in youth. Cognitive Development, 2018, 48, 155-166.	1.3	5
84	Probing the time course of facilitation and inhibition in gaze cueing of attention in an upper-limb reaching task. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2019, 81, 2410-2423.	1.3	5
85	The role of transients in action observation. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2019, 81, 2177-2191.	1.3	5
86	Multimodal Inhibition of Return Effects in Adults With and Without Down Syndrome. Developmental Neuropsychology, 2004, 25, 281-297.	1.4	4
87	Trajectory deviations in spatial compatibility tasks with peripheral and central stimuli. Psychological Research, 2015, 79, 650-657.	1.7	4
88	The preference of probability over negative values in action selection. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2015, 68, 261-283.	1.1	4
89	Hand, but not foot, cues generate increases in salience at the pointed-at location. Acta Psychologica, 2020, 210, 103165.	1.5	4
90	Susceptibility to the fusion illusion is modulated during both action execution and action observation. Acta Psychologica, 2020, 204, 103028.	1.5	4

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	Does high state anxiety exacerbate distractor interference?. Human Movement Science, 2021, 76, 102773.	1.4	4
92	Negative Priming in a Joint Selection Task. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e42963.	2.5	4
93	The influence of environmental context in interpersonal observation–execution. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2017, 70, 154-162.	1.1	3
94	The influence of intrapersonal sensorimotor experiences on the corticospinal responses during action–observation. Social Neuroscience, 2018, 13, 246-256.	1.3	3
95	Multiple Frames of Reference Are Used During the Selection and Planning of a Sequential Joint Action. Frontiers in Psychology, 2018, 9, 542.	2.1	3
96	The impact of athletic clothing style and body awareness on motor performance in women. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2020, 27, 1025-1035.	2.8	2
97	Using visual aids to influence manual lifting techniques: acute effects of viewing static images on spine motion. International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics, 2021, 27, 605-612.	1.9	2
98	IOR Effects in a Social Free-Choice Task. Journal of Motor Behavior, 2013, 45, 307-311.	0.9	1
99	Distractor Interference during a Choice Limb Reaching Task. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e85961.	2.5	1
100	Editorial: What's Shared in Sharing Tasks and Actions? Processes and Representations Underlying Joint Performance. Frontiers in Psychology, 2019, 10, 659.	2.1	1
101	Choices in a key press decision-making task are more optimal after gaining both aiming and reward experience. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2020, 73, 2197-2216.	1.1	1
102	Body Image and Voluntary Gaze Behaviors towards Physique-Salient Images. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2021, 18, 2549.	2.6	1
103	Detecting Endpoint Error of an Ongoing Reaching Movement: the Role of Vision, Proprioception, and Efference. Journal of Motor Behavior, 2021, , 1-9.	0.9	1
104	A fast ventral stream or early dorsal-ventral interactions?. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 2002, 25, 105-105.	0.7	0
105	Response to Visual Stimuli by Adults with Developmental Disabilities. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 2003, 96, 867-874.	1.3	0
106	Independent Development of Imagination and Perception of Fitts' Law in Late Childhood and Adolescence. Journal of Motor Behavior, 2018, 50, 166-176.	0.9	0