

Johanna A A G Damen

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1149663/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

21
papers

3,651
citations

623188

14
h-index

752256

20
g-index

25
all docs

25
docs citations

25
times ranked

9156
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	External Validation of Risk Prediction Models to Improve Selection of Patients for Carotid Endarterectomy. <i>Stroke</i> , 2022, 53, 87-99.	1.0	8
2	Completeness of reporting of clinical prediction models developed using supervised machine learning: a systematic review. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2022, 22, 12.	1.4	45
3	The methodological quality of 176,620 randomized controlled trials published between 1966 and 2018 reveals a positive trend but also an urgent need for improvement. <i>PLoS Biology</i> , 2021, 19, e3001162.	2.6	52
4	Effectiveness of contact tracing apps for SARS-CoV-2: a rapid systematic review. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e050519.	0.8	32
5	Transparent Reporting of Multivariable Prediction Models in Journal and Conference Abstracts: TRIPOD for Abstracts. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2020, 173, 42-47.	2.0	40
6	Protocol for a systematic review on the methodological and reporting quality of prediction model studies using machine learning techniques. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2020, 10, e038832.	0.8	60
7	Prediction models for diagnosis and prognosis of covid-19: systematic review and critical appraisal. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2020, 369, m1328.	3.0	2,134
8	Prediction of cardiovascular risk: it is not only in the details. <i>European Journal of Preventive Cardiology</i> , 2019, 26, 1886-1887.	0.8	2
9	Performance of the Framingham risk models and pooled cohort equations for predicting 10-year risk of cardiovascular disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMC Medicine</i> , 2019, 17, 109.	2.3	126
10	The increasing need for systematic reviews of prognosis studies: strategies to facilitate review production and improve quality of primary research. <i>Diagnostic and Prognostic Research</i> , 2019, 3, 2.	0.8	10
11	Uniformity in measuring adherence to reporting guidelines: the example of TRIPOD for assessing completeness of reporting of prediction model studies. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2019, 9, e025611.	0.8	68
12	Empirical evidence of the impact of study characteristics on the performance of prediction models: a meta-epidemiological study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2019, 9, e026160.	0.8	19
13	Cardiovascular risk prediction models for women in the general population: A systematic review. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2019, 14, e0210329.	1.1	35
14	Contemporary cardiovascular risk prediction. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2018, 391, 1867-1868.	6.3	9
15	Poor reporting of multivariable prediction model studies: towards a targeted implementation strategy of the TRIPOD statement. <i>BMC Medicine</i> , 2018, 16, 120.	2.3	99
16	A guide to systematic review and meta-analysis of prediction model performance. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2017, 356, i6460.	3.0	315
17	Treatment use in prognostic model research: a systematic review of cardiovascular prognostic studies. <i>Diagnostic and Prognostic Research</i> , 2017, 1, 15.	0.8	16
18	Author's reply to Woodward. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2016, 354, i4485.	3.0	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Prediction models for cardiovascular disease risk in the general population: systematic review. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2016, 353, i2416.	3.0	543
20	Cost-effectiveness of non-invasive assessment in the Dutch breast cancer screening program versus usual care: A randomized controlled trial. <i>Canadian Journal of Public Health</i> , 2014, 105, e342-e347.	1.1	4
21	Clinical prediction models for mortality in patients with covid-19: external validation and individual participant data meta-analysis. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 0, , e069881.	3.0	24