

Silvia Knobloch-Westerwick

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/11357376/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

39
papers

2,998
citations

304368

22
h-index

395343

33
g-index

41
all docs

41
docs citations

41
times ranked

2015
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Looking the Other Way. <i>Communication Research</i> , 2009, 36, 426-448.	3.9	379
2	The Matilda Effect in Science Communication. <i>Science Communication</i> , 2013, 35, 603-625.	1.8	348
3	Preelection Selective Exposure. <i>Communication Research</i> , 2012, 39, 170-193.	3.9	211
4	News cues: Information scent and cognitive heuristics. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2007, 58, 366-378.	2.6	193
5	Confirmation Bias, Ingroup Bias, and Negativity Bias in Selective Exposure to Political Information. <i>Communication Research</i> , 2020, 47, 104-124.	3.9	174
6	Impact of Popularity Indications on Readers' Selective Exposure to Online News. <i>Journal of Broadcasting and Electronic Media</i> , 2005, 49, 296-313.	0.8	165
7	Reinforcement of the Political Self Through Selective Exposure to Political Messages. <i>Journal of Communication</i> , 2011, 61, 349-368.	2.1	163
8	The Selective Exposure Self- and Affect-Management (SESAM) Model. <i>Communication Research</i> , 2015, 42, 959-985.	3.9	119
9	Confirmation Bias in Online Searches: Impacts of Selective Exposure Before an Election on Political Attitude Strength and Shifts. <i>Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication</i> , 2015, 20, 171-187.	1.7	104
10	The Matilda Effect – Role Congruity Effects on Scholarly Communication. <i>Communication Research</i> , 2013, 40, 3-26.	3.9	94
11	Selective Exposure and Reinforcement of Attitudes and Partisanship Before a Presidential Election. <i>Journal of Communication</i> , 2012, 62, 628-642.	2.1	92
12	Glancing up or down: Mood management and selective social comparisons on social networking sites. <i>Computers in Human Behavior</i> , 2014, 41, 33-39.	5.1	87
13	Please Your Self: Social Identity Effects on Selective Exposure to News About In- and Out-Groups. <i>Journal of Communication</i> , 0, 60, 515-535.	2.1	86
14	Selective Exposure Effects for Positive and Negative News: Testing the Robustness of the Informational Utility Model. <i>Journalism and Mass Communication Quarterly</i> , 2005, 82, 181-195.	1.4	76
15	Political Online Information Searching in Germany and the United States: Confirmation Bias, Source Credibility, and Attitude Impacts. <i>Journal of Communication</i> , 2015, 65, 489-511.	2.1	71
16	Ingroup Favoritism and Outgroup Derogation: Effects of News Valence, Character Race, and Recipient Race on Selective News Reading. <i>Journal of Communication</i> , 2013, 63, 517-534.	2.1	66
17	Selective Exposure for Better or Worse: Its Mediating Role for Online News' Impact on Political Participation. <i>Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication</i> , 2014, 19, 184-196.	1.7	62
18	Social Comparisons With News Personae. <i>Communication Research</i> , 2006, 33, 262-284.	3.9	58

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Mood Adjustment to Social Situations Through Mass Media Use: How Men Ruminates and Women Dissipate Angry Moods. <i>Human Communication Research</i> , 2006, 32, 58-73.	1.9	57
20	Turn a Blind Eye If You Care: Impacts of Attitude Consistency, Importance, and Credibility on Seeking of Political Information and Implications for Attitudes. <i>Journal of Communication</i> , 2013, 63, 432-453.	2.1	50
21	The Gender News Use Divide: Americans'™ Sex-Typed Selective Exposure to Online News Topics. <i>Journal of Communication</i> , 0, 57, 739-758.	2.1	44
22	Coping or Escaping?. <i>Communication Research</i> , 2009, 36, 207-228.	3.9	42
23	Science Exemplars in the Eye of the Beholder. <i>Science Communication</i> , 2015, 37, 575-601.	1.8	35
24	Selecting Serious or Satirical, Supporting or Stirring News? Selective Exposure to Partisan versus Mockery News Online Videos. <i>Journal of Communication</i> , 2017, 67, 54-81.	2.1	32
25	When Misery Avoids Company: Selective Social Comparisons to Photographic Online Profiles. <i>Human Communication Research</i> , 2017, 43, 54-75.	1.9	23
26	To Your Health: Self-Regulation of Health Behavior Through Selective Exposure to Online Health Messages. <i>Journal of Communication</i> , 2013, , n/a-n/a.	2.1	22
27	“Pick and choose” opinion climate: How browsing of political messages shapes public opinion perceptions and attitudes. <i>Communication Monographs</i> , 2019, 86, 457-478.	1.9	21
28	Caught in the Act: Measuring Selective Exposure to Experimental Online Stimuli. <i>Communication Methods and Measures</i> , 2013, 7, 94-105.	3.0	17
29	Change Your Ways: Fostering Health Attitudes Toward Change Through Selective Exposure to Online Health Messages. <i>Health Communication</i> , 2017, 32, 639-649.	1.8	14
30	Temporal self impacts on media exposure & effects: A test of the Selective Exposure Self- and Affect-Management (SESAM) model. <i>Media Psychology</i> , 2021, 24, 48-78.	2.1	13
31	Is the Confirmation Bias Bubble Larger Online? Pre-Election Confirmation Bias in Selective Exposure to Online versus Print Political Information. <i>Mass Communication and Society</i> , 2019, 22, 466-486.	1.2	12
32	Peers Versus Pros: Confirmation Bias in Selective Exposure to User-Generated Versus Professional Media Messages and Its Consequences. <i>Mass Communication and Society</i> , 2020, 23, 510-536.	1.2	12
33	Perusing pages and skimming screens: Exploring differing patterns of selective exposure to hard news and professional sources in online and print news. <i>New Media and Society</i> , 2018, 20, 3580-3596.	3.1	10
34	Steer Clear or Get Ready: How Coping Styles Moderate the Effect of Informational Utility. <i>Journal of Broadcasting and Electronic Media</i> , 2017, 61, 332-350.	0.8	6
35	The PFAD-HEC Model: Impacts of News Attributes and Use Motivations on Selective News Exposure. <i>Communication Theory</i> , 2019, 29, 251-271.	2.0	6
36	26. Selection, perception, and processing of political messages. , 0, , .		4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Context Impacts on Confirmation Bias: Evidence From the 2017 Japanese Snap Election Compared with American and German Findings. <i>Human Communication Research</i> , 0, , .	1.9	2
38	Social comparisons at your fingertips. , 2011, , .		1
39	Self-Consistency and Self-Enhancement Motivation Impacts on Selective Exposure to Politics â€” A SESAM Model Application. <i>Mass Communication and Society</i> , 2023, 26, 300-325.	1.2	1