

Charles Oppenheim

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/11336870/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

107
papers

3,249
citations

185998

28
h-index

182168

51
g-index

116
all docs

116
docs citations

116
times ranked

1772
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Comparing alternatives to the Web of Science for coverage of the social sciences™ literature. Journal of Informetrics, 2007, 1, 161-169.	1.4	410
2	The Access/Impact Problem and the Green and Gold Roads to Open Access. Serials Review, 2004, 30, 310-314.	0.4	213
3	The Access/Impact Problem and the Green and Gold Roads to Open Access. , 0, .		158
4	Do citations matter?. Journal of Information Science, 1994, 20, 2-15.	2.0	137
5	The citation advantage of open access articles. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2008, 59, 1963-1972.	2.6	137
6	Highly cited old papers and the reasons why they continue to be cited. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 1978, 29, 225-231.	1.2	127
7	The correlation between citation counts and the 1992 research assessment exercise ratings for British research in genetics, anatomy and archaeology. Journal of Documentation, 1997, 53, 477-487.	0.9	115
8	Using the h-index to rank influential British researchers in information science and librarianship. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2007, 58, 297-301.	2.6	105
9	THE CORRELATION BETWEEN CITATION COUNTS AND THE 1992 RESEARCH ASSESSMENT EXERCISE RATINGS FOR BRITISH LIBRARY AND INFORMATION SCIENCE UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENTS. Journal of Documentation, 1995, 51, 18-27.	0.9	96
10	Citation counts and the Research Assessment Exercise V. Journal of Documentation, 2003, 59, 709-730.	0.9	79
11	The h-index: a broad review of a new bibliometric indicator. Journal of Documentation, 2010, 66, 681-705.	0.9	79
12	National Information Policy developments worldwide I: electronic government. Journal of Information Science, 2002, 28, 173-186.	2.0	76
13	The Evolution of the UK's Research Assessment Exercise: Publications, Performance and Perceptions. Journal of Educational Administration and History, 2005, 37, 137-155.	1.4	69
14	What is the hybrid library?. Journal of Information Science, 1999, 25, 97-112.	2.0	63
15	Authors' Awareness and Attitudes Toward Open Access Repositories. New Review of Academic Librarianship, 2010, 16, 145-161.	1.2	63
16	Ranking of library and information science researchers: Comparison of data sources for correlating citation data, and expert judgments. Journal of Informetrics, 2010, 4, 554-563.	1.4	58
17	The economic implications of alternative publishing models. Prometheus, 2010, 28, .	0.2	55
18	RoMEO studies 1: the impact of copyright ownership on academic author self-archiving. Journal of Documentation, 2003, 59, 243-277.	0.9	51

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	The Influence of Peer Review on the Research Assessment Exercise. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 2004, 30, 347-368.	2.0	50
20	The role of academic journal publications in the UK Research Assessment Exercise. <i>Learned Publishing</i> , 2004, 17, 53-68.	0.8	41
21	How socially connected are citers to those that they cite?. <i>Journal of Documentation</i> , 2007, 63, 609-637.	0.9	40
22	Highly cited old papers and the reasons why they continue to be cited. Part II., The 1953 Watson and Crick article on the structure of DNA. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2004, 61, 147-156.	1.6	37
23	Electronic scholarly publishing and open access. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 2008, 34, 577-590.	2.0	36
24	Variations between subjects in the extent to which the social sciences have become more interdisciplinary. <i>Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2011, 62, 1118-1129.	2.6	35
25	Do Citations Count? Citation Indexing and the Research Assessment Exercise (RAE). <i>Serials</i> , 1996, 9, 155-161.	0.5	35
26	Peer review and the h-index: Two studies. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2010, 4, 221-232.	1.4	33
27	Student citation practices in an Information Science Department. <i>Education for Information</i> , 2001, 19, 299-323.	0.2	31
28	RoMEO Studies 2: How Academics Want to Protect their Open-Access Research Papers. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 2003, 29, 333-356.	2.0	31
29	RoMEO studies 4: an analysis of journal publishers' copyright agreements. <i>Learned Publishing</i> , 2003, 16, 293-308.	0.8	31
30	The future of scholarly journal publishing. <i>Journal of Documentation</i> , 2000, 56, 361-398.	0.9	30
31	Access to information on the World Wide Web for blind and visually impaired people. <i>ASLIB Proceedings</i> , 1999, 51, 335-345.	1.2	29
32	A user-centred design and evaluation of IR interfaces. <i>Journal of Librarianship and Information Science</i> , 2006, 38, 157-172.	1.6	28
33	Privacy Impact Assessments: International experience as a basis for UK Guidance. <i>Computer Law and Security Review</i> , 2008, 24, 233-242.	1.3	27
34	EVALUATIONS OF ELECTRONIC DATABASES, PART I: CRITERIA FOR TESTING CDROM PRODUCTS. <i>Online and CDROM Review</i> , 1993, 17, 211-222.	0.3	25
35	The effect of gender on research staff success in life sciences in the Spanish National Research Council. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2008, 17, 213-225.	1.3	25
36	Ethics in information science. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 1979, 1, 277-283.	2.0	24

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Evaluation of web sites for B2C e-commerce. ASLIB Proceedings, 2006, 58, 237-260.	1.2	23
38	Barriers to the use of patent information in UK small and medium-sized enterprises. Part I: Questionnaire survey. Journal of Information Science, 1999, 25, 335-350.	2.0	21
39	Information science in 2010: A Loughborough University view. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 1999, 50, 1153-1162.	1.2	20
40	Web intelligence analyses of digital libraries. Journal of Documentation, 2007, 63, 558-589.	0.9	20
41	The overlap of U.S. and Canadian patent literature with journal literature literature with journal literature. World Patent Information, 1979, 1, 77-80.	0.7	19
42	Virtual reality and the virtual library. Information Services and Use, 1993, 13, 215-227.	0.1	19
43	Professional associations and ethical issues in LIS. Journal of Librarianship and Information Science, 2000, 32, 187-203.	1.6	19
44	Developing a model for e-prints and open access journal content in UK further and higher education. Learned Publishing, 2005, 18, 25-40.	0.8	19
45	Attitudes to the rights and rewards for author contributions to repositories for teaching and learning. Research in Learning Technology, 2007, 15, 67-82.	0.5	19
46	A study of users' performance and satisfaction with the Web of Science IR interface. Journal of Information Science, 2004, 30, 459-468.	2.0	18
47	Citation behaviour of information science students II: Postgraduate students. Education for Information, 2006, 24, 1-30.	0.2	17
48	Patenting, profitability and marketing characteristics of the pharmaceutical industry. World Patent Information, 1980, 2, 169-176.	0.7	16
49	Barriers to the use of patent information in UK small and medium-sized enterprises. Part 2 (1): Results of in-depth interviews. Journal of Information Science, 2000, 26, 87-99.	2.0	16
50	National Information Policy developments worldwide II: universal access - addressing the digital divide. Journal of Information Science, 2002, 28, 263-273.	2.0	15
51	Legal aspects of the web. Annual Review of Information Science & Technology, 2005, 38, 483-548.	2.6	13
52	Censorship in libraries. Information Services and Use, 2005, 24, 159-170.	0.1	13
53	Out With the Old and in With the New: the RAE, Bibliometrics and the New REF. Journal of Librarianship and Information Science, 2008, 40, 147-149.	1.6	12
54	A study of learning and retention with a Web-based IR interface. Journal of Librarianship and Information Science, 2005, 37, 7-16.	1.6	11

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	A review of research on human-computer interfaces for online information retrieval systems. <i>Electronic Library</i> , 2009, 27, 96-116.	0.8	11
56	THE PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE OF THE PATENTS SERVICES OF DERWENT PUBLICATIONS LTD.. <i>Science and Technology Libraries</i> , 1981, 2, 23-31.	0.8	10
57	RoMEO studies 6: rights metadata for open archiving. <i>Data Technologies and Applications</i> , 2004, 38, 5-14.	0.8	10
58	What do UK academics cite? An analysis of references cited in UK scholarly outputs. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2011, 86, 613-627.	1.6	10
59	Pricing strategies and models for the provision of digitized texts in higher education. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 2002, 28, 97-110.	2.0	9
60	A comparison of academics' attitudes towards the rights protection of their research and teaching materials. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 2007, 33, 686-701.	2.0	9
61	RoMEO Studies 8: self-archiving. <i>Data Technologies and Applications</i> , 2007, 41, 124-133.	0.8	9
62	RoMEO studies 5: IPR issues facing OAI data and service providers. <i>Electronic Library</i> , 2004, 22, 121-138.	0.8	8
63	Ethics in information science. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 1981, 3, 45-47.	2.0	7
64	An agenda for action to achieve the information society in the UK. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 1996, 22, 407-421.	2.0	7
65	Legal issues for information professionals, Part V: Freedom of information. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 1999, 25, 249-264.	2.0	7
66	Studies on the Metallurgical Patent Literature. II. Case Study on GALVALUME. <i>Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences</i> , 1978, 18, 126-129.	2.8	6
67	Some proposals regarding copyright clearance and digitisation in higher education. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 1997, 23, 393-405.	2.0	6
68	The ILS professional in the City of London. <i>Journal of Librarianship and Information Science</i> , 2001, 33, 145-156.	1.6	6
69	National Information Policy developments worldwide IV: copyright, Freedom of Information and data protection. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 2002, 28, 467-481.	2.0	6
70	Glass ceiling issues in the UK library profession. <i>Journal of Librarianship and Information Science</i> , 2002, 34, 103-115.	1.6	6
71	Economic implications of alternative publishing models: authors' response. <i>Prometheus</i> , 2010, 28, 203-205.	0.2	6
72	Legal issues for information professionals: some recent developments. <i>Information Services and Use</i> , 1991, 11, 73-85.	0.1	5

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Electrocopying, the Publishers Association and academic libraries. <i>Journal of Librarianship and Information Science</i> , 1993, 25, 175-186.	1.6	5
74	Legal issues for information professionals, Part III: Data protection and the media—background to the Data Protection Act 1984 and the EC Draft Directive on Data Protection. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 1993, 19, 85-97.	2.0	5
75	Are National Information Plans Useful?. <i>Alexandria</i> , 1994, 6, 133-143.	0.3	5
76	Citation Ootprint Analysis Part I: UK and US Chemical Engineering Academics. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2000, 49, 289-305.	1.6	5
77	Comparison and evaluation of some economic models of digital—only journals. <i>Journal of Documentation</i> , 2000, 56, 660-673.	0.9	5
78	PELICAN: a pricing mechanism for the electronic distribution of materials to the higher education community. <i>Learned Publishing</i> , 2001, 14, 93-96.	0.8	5
79	Research on university presses: An overview of UK university presses. <i>Publishing Research Quarterly</i> , 2004, 20, 18-31.	0.4	5
80	Analysis of academic attitudes and existing processes to inform the design of teaching and learning material repositories. <i>Active Learning in Higher Education</i> , 2008, 9, 103-121.	3.5	5
81	Recent developments in Derwent publications' patent information services: A review with some predictions for the future. <i>World Patent Information</i> , 1982, 4, 60-65.	0.7	4
82	Information about Microorganisms Contained in Patent Specifications. <i>Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences</i> , 1979, 19, 123-125.	2.8	3
83	Patent novelty; proposals for change and their possible impact on information scientists. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 1985, 10, 181-186.	2.0	3
84	Legal issues for information professionals, Part IV: Attitudes to data protection amongst UK media librarians. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 1993, 19, 99-117.	2.0	3
85	China's intellectual property rights and scientific and technical information services. <i>ASLIB Proceedings</i> , 1993, 45, 261-266.	1.2	3
86	The legal responsibilities of the health-care librarian. <i>Health Libraries Review</i> , 1995, 12, 91-99.	0.3	3
87	Development of a National Information Policy in Greece. <i>Information Development</i> , 1999, 15, 32-43.	1.4	3
88	RECENT CHANGES IN PATENT LAW AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS FOR INFORMATION SERVICES AND INFORMATION SCIENTISTS. <i>Journal of Documentation</i> , 1978, 34, 217-229.	0.9	2
89	Legal issues for information professionals VI: copyright issues in digitisation and the hybrid library. <i>Information Services and Use</i> , 2000, 20, 203-209.	0.1	2
90	National Information Policy developments worldwide III: e-commerce. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 2002, 28, 357-373.	2.0	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	RoMEO studies 7: creation of a controlled vocabulary to analyse copyright transfer agreements. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 2008, 34, 290-307.	2.0	2
92	Patent coverage by abstracting services. 4. Coverage of microbiological patents. <i>Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences</i> , 1981, 21, 124-127.	2.8	1
93	Use of the Pergamon InfoLine get command for bibliometric analysis of patent information. <i>World Patent Information</i> , 1986, 8, 98-103.	0.7	1
94	Legal issues for information professionals. Part II. The new European Draft Directive on Database Copyright*. <i>Information Services and Use</i> , 1992, 12, 275-282.	0.1	1
95	Lislex. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 1993, 19, 67-69.	2.0	1
96	Does machine-readable documentation on online hosts and CD-ROMs have a role or future?. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 1996, 22, 247-258.	2.0	1
97	Delivery, Management and Access Model for E_prints and Open Access Journals. <i>Serials Review</i> , 2004, 30, 298-303.	0.4	1
98	An evaluation of UK credit ratings services. <i>Business Information Review</i> , 2006, 23, 162-174.	0.4	1
99	Privacy Impact Assessments: The UK Experience. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 2009, , .	0.4	1
100	An evaluation of the Patent View CD-ROM database. <i>World Patent Information</i> , 1995, 17, 9-20.	0.7	0
101	Who needs a National Information Policy?. <i>Information Services and Use</i> , 1999, 19, 143-146.	0.1	0
102	Use of numerical taxonomy and journal impact factors in the evaluation of chemical engineering academics'™ publications. <i>Journal of Information Science</i> , 2001, 27, 371-375.	2.0	0
103	The UK market for travel E-books. <i>Publishing Research Quarterly</i> , 2002, 18, 14-37.	0.4	0
104	A return to volume 1 of World Patent Information. <i>World Patent Information</i> , 2004, 26, 25-27.	0.7	0
105	Making the DREAM reality: A SSM based model for the communication of design research. <i>Information Services and Use</i> , 2006, 26, 223-240.	0.1	0
106	Preliminary findings that can be used when assessing the advantages and limitations of using bibliometric data in the assessment of Economics research. <i>Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology</i> , 2009, 46, 1-7.	0.2	0
107	Nick Moore, his information policy matrix, with a bibliometric analysis. <i>Library Management</i> , 2017, 38, 394-403.	0.6	0