

Dylan J Edwards

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1123148/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

93
papers

5,272
citations

117453

34
h-index

91712

69
g-index

94
all docs

94
docs citations

94
times ranked

6022
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Clinical research with transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS): Challenges and future directions. <i>Brain Stimulation</i> , 2012, 5, 175-195.	0.7	1,122
2	Physiological and modeling evidence for focal transcranial electrical brain stimulation in humans: A basis for high-definition tDCS. <i>NeuroImage</i> , 2013, 74, 266-275.	2.1	381
3	Efficacy of Home-Based Telerehabilitation vs In-Clinic Therapy for Adults After Stroke. <i>JAMA Neurology</i> , 2019, 76, 1079.	4.5	213
4	Safety of Theta Burst Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation: A Systematic Review of the Literature. <i>Journal of Clinical Neurophysiology</i> , 2011, 28, 67-74.	0.9	195
5	Classification of methods in transcranial Electrical Stimulation (tES) and evolving strategy from historical approaches to contemporary innovations. <i>Journal of Neuroscience Methods</i> , 2013, 219, 297-311.	1.3	186
6	Cerebellar Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation (ctDCS). <i>Neuroscientist</i> , 2016, 22, 83-97.	2.6	177
7	Rigor and reproducibility in research with transcranial electrical stimulation: An NIMH-sponsored workshop. <i>Brain Stimulation</i> , 2018, 11, 465-480.	0.7	144
8	Repetitive paired-pulse TMS at I-wave periodicity markedly increases corticospinal excitability: A new technique for modulating synaptic plasticity. <i>Clinical Neurophysiology</i> , 2006, 117, 61-66.	0.7	135
9	The sensory side of post-stroke motor rehabilitation. <i>Restorative Neurology and Neuroscience</i> , 2016, 34, 571-586.	0.4	128
10	Randomized Sham-Controlled Trial of Navigated Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for Motor Recovery in Stroke. <i>Stroke</i> , 2018, 49, 2138-2146.	1.0	113
11	Raised corticomotor excitability of M1 forearm area following anodal tDCS is sustained during robotic wrist therapy in chronic stroke. <i>Restorative Neurology and Neuroscience</i> , 2009, 27, 199-207.	0.4	112
12	Reduction of Spasticity With Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation in Patients With Spinal Cord Injury. <i>Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair</i> , 2010, 24, 435-441.	1.4	107
13	Robotic Devices as Therapeutic and Diagnostic Tools for Stroke Recovery. <i>Archives of Neurology</i> , 2009, 66, 1086-90.	4.9	104
14	The reliability of repeated TMS measures in older adults and in patients with subacute and chronic stroke. <i>Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience</i> , 2015, 9, 335.	1.8	104
15	Transcranial DC Stimulation Coupled With TENS for the Treatment of Chronic Pain. <i>Clinical Journal of Pain</i> , 2009, 25, 691-695.	0.8	100
16	Improvement in Aerobic Capacity After an Exercise Program in Sporadic Inclusion Body Myositis. <i>Journal of Clinical Neuromuscular Disease</i> , 2009, 10, 178-184.	0.3	85
17	Transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) and robotic practice in chronic stroke: The dimension of timing. <i>NeuroRehabilitation</i> , 2013, 33, 49-56.	0.5	84
18	Transcranial electrical stimulation nomenclature. <i>Brain Stimulation</i> , 2019, 12, 1349-1366.	0.7	84

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Neuronavigation Increases the Physiologic and Behavioral Effects of Low-Frequency rTMS of Primary Motor Cortex in Healthy Subjects. <i>Brain Topography</i> , 2011, 24, 54-64.	0.8	75
20	Eccentric Utilization Ratio: Effect of Sport and Phase of Training. <i>Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research</i> , 2006, 20, 992.	1.0	73
21	SIRRACT. <i>Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair</i> , 2015, 29, 407-415.	1.4	70
22	Spinal associative stimulation: A non-invasive stimulation paradigm to modulate spinal excitability. <i>Clinical Neurophysiology</i> , 2011, 122, 2254-2259.	0.7	64
23	Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation and Sports Performance. <i>Frontiers in Human Neuroscience</i> , 2017, 11, 243.	1.0	62
24	Gait Training in Human Spinal Cord Injury Using Electromechanical Systems: Effect of Device Type and Patient Characteristics. <i>Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation</i> , 2012, 93, 404-412.	0.5	56
25	Effects of experience in a dynamic environment on postural control. <i>British Journal of Sports Medicine</i> , 2007, 42, 16-21.	3.1	54
26	Intensity Dependent Effects of Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation on Corticospinal Excitability in Chronic Spinal Cord Injury. <i>Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation</i> , 2015, 96, S114-S121.	0.5	53
27	Transcranial Brain Stimulation: Clinical Applications and Future Directions. <i>Neurosurgery Clinics of North America</i> , 2011, 22, 233-251.	0.8	50
28	Blinded placebo crossover study of gabapentin in primary orthostatic tremor. <i>Movement Disorders</i> , 2006, 21, 900-905.	2.2	45
29	Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation as an Investigative Tool for Motor Dysfunction and Recovery in Stroke: An Overview for Neurorehabilitation Clinicians. <i>Neuromodulation</i> , 2012, 15, 316-325.	0.4	44
30	Machine Learning Methods Predict Individual Upper-Limb Motor Impairment Following Therapy in Chronic Stroke. <i>Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair</i> , 2020, 34, 428-439.	1.4	43
31	Gabapentin can improve postural stability and quality of life in primary orthostatic tremor. <i>Movement Disorders</i> , 2005, 20, 865-870.	2.2	40
32	Corticomotor excitability of wrist flexor and extensor muscles during active and passive movement. <i>Human Movement Science</i> , 2010, 29, 494-501.	0.6	40
33	The Effectiveness of an Individualized, Home-Based Functional Exercise Program for Patients With Sporadic Inclusion Body Myositis. <i>Journal of Clinical Neuromuscular Disease</i> , 2007, 8, 187-194.	0.3	38
34	Transcranial devices are not playthings. <i>Nature</i> , 2013, 501, 167-167.	13.7	38
35	Opportunities for Guided Multichannel Non-invasive Transcranial Current Stimulation in Poststroke Rehabilitation. <i>Frontiers in Neurology</i> , 2016, 7, 21.	1.1	38
36	Clinical improvement with intensive robot-assisted arm training in chronic stroke is unchanged by supplementary tDCS. <i>Restorative Neurology and Neuroscience</i> , 2019, 37, 167-180.	0.4	38

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Training in the practice of noninvasive brain stimulation: Recommendations from an IFCN committee. <i>Clinical Neurophysiology</i> , 2021, 132, 819-837.	0.7	38
38	Improved motor performance in chronic spinal cord injury following upper-limb robotic training. <i>NeuroRehabilitation</i> , 2013, 33, 57-65.	0.5	36
39	An observational report of intensive robotic and manual gait training in sub-acute stroke. <i>Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation</i> , 2012, 9, 13.	2.4	31
40	Using tDCS to facilitate motor learning in speech production: The role of timing. <i>Cortex</i> , 2019, 111, 274-285.	1.1	31
41	Improved grasp function with transcranial direct current stimulation in chronic spinal cord injury. <i>NeuroRehabilitation</i> , 2017, 41, 51-59.	0.5	30
42	Preserved corticospinal conduction without voluntary movement after spinal cord injury. <i>Spinal Cord</i> , 2013, 51, 765-767.	0.9	28
43	A Framework for Combining rTMS with Behavioral Therapy. <i>Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience</i> , 2016, 10, 82.	1.2	26
44	Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation in Poststroke Aphasia Recovery. <i>Stroke</i> , 2017, 48, 820-826.	1.0	25
45	Spared Primary Motor Cortex and The Presence of MEP in Cerebral Palsy Dictate the Responsiveness to tDCS during Gait Training. <i>Frontiers in Human Neuroscience</i> , 2016, 10, 361.	1.0	24
46	The Effects of Vestibular Stimulation and Fatigue on Postural Control in Classical Ballet Dancers. <i>Journal of Dance Medicine and Science</i> , 2014, 18, 67-73.	0.2	23
47	Stroke subtype and motor impairment influence contralesional excitability. <i>Neurology</i> , 2015, 85, 517-520.	1.5	22
48	Using noise for the better: The effects of transcranial random noise stimulation on the brain and behavior. <i>Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews</i> , 2022, 138, 104702.	2.9	21
49	Moving Forward by Stimulating the Brain: Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation in Post-Stroke Hemiparesis. <i>Frontiers in Human Neuroscience</i> , 2016, 10, 394.	1.0	20
50	Normative data for human postural vertical: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2018, 13, e0204122.	1.1	20
51	A soft robotic exo-sheath using fabric EMG sensing for hand rehabilitation and assistance. , 2018, , .		20
52	Effects of innovative hip-knee-ankle interlimb coordinated robot training on ambulation, cardiopulmonary function, depression, and fall confidence in acute hemiplegia. <i>NeuroRehabilitation</i> , 2020, 46, 577-587.	0.5	20
53	Polarity-Dependent Misperception of Subjective Visual Vertical during and after Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation (tDCS). <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2016, 11, e0152331.	1.1	19
54	The Epigenetics of Stroke Recovery and Rehabilitation: From Polycomb to Histone Deacetylases. <i>Neurotherapeutics</i> , 2013, 10, 808-816.	2.1	18

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Manipulation of Human Verticality Using High-Definition Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation. <i>Frontiers in Neurology</i> , 2018, 9, 825.	1.1	17
56	On the understanding and development of modern physical neurorehabilitation methods: robotics and non-invasive brain stimulation. <i>Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation</i> , 2009, 6, 3.	2.4	16
57	Walking improvement in chronic incomplete spinal cord injury with exoskeleton robotic training (WISE): a randomized controlled trial. <i>Spinal Cord</i> , 2022, 60, 522-532.	0.9	16
58	Transcranial Random Noise Stimulation Modulates Neural Processing of Sensory and Motor Circuits, from Potential Cellular Mechanisms to Behavior: A Scoping Review. <i>ENeuro</i> , 2022, 9, ENEURO.0248-21.2021.	0.9	16
59	Modulating the healthy and affected motor cortex with repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in stroke: Development of new strategies for neurorehabilitation. <i>NeuroRehabilitation</i> , 2008, 23, 3-14.	0.5	15
60	Enhanced motor function and its neurophysiological correlates after navigated low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation over the contralesional motor cortex in stroke. <i>Restorative Neurology and Neuroscience</i> , 2016, 34, 677-689.	0.4	15
61	Center of Pressure Speed Changes with tDCS Versus GVS in Patients with Lateropulsion after Stroke. <i>Brain Stimulation</i> , 2016, 9, 796-798.	0.7	15
62	Non-invasive brain stimulation as add-on therapy for subacute post-stroke aphasia: a randomized trial (NORTHSTAR). <i>European Stroke Journal</i> , 2020, 5, 402-413.	2.7	15
63	Reduced corticomotor excitability with cyclic passive movement: A study using Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation. <i>Human Movement Science</i> , 2002, 21, 533-540.	0.6	14
64	Movement-generated afference paired with transcranial magnetic stimulation: an associative stimulation paradigm. <i>Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation</i> , 2014, 11, 31.	2.4	14
65	The corticomotor projection to liminally-contractable forearm muscles in chronic spinal cord injury: a transcranial magnetic stimulation study. <i>Spinal Cord</i> , 2017, 55, 362-366.	0.9	14
66	Reversal of TMS-induced motor twitch by training is associated with a reduction in excitability of the antagonist muscle. <i>Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation</i> , 2011, 8, 46.	2.4	13
67	Combined transcranial direct current stimulation and robotic upper limb therapy improves upper limb function in an adult with cerebral palsy. <i>NeuroRehabilitation</i> , 2017, 41, 41-50.	0.5	12
68	Paired Associative Stimulation as a Tool to Assess Plasticity Enhancers in Chronic Stroke. <i>Frontiers in Neuroscience</i> , 2019, 13, 792.	1.4	11
69	Differential Effects of Speech and Language Therapy and rTMS in Chronic Versus Subacute Post-stroke Aphasia: Results of the NORTHSTAR-CA Trial. <i>Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair</i> , 2022, 36, 306-316.	1.4	11
70	Sinusoidal Transcranial Direct Current Versus Galvanic Vestibular Stimulation for Treatment of Lateropulsion Poststroke. <i>Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases</i> , 2018, 27, 3621-3625.	0.7	10
71	Robotic Arm Rehabilitation in Chronic Stroke Patients With Aphasia May Promote Speech and Language Recovery (but Effect Is Not Enhanced by Supplementary tDCS). <i>Frontiers in Neurology</i> , 2018, 9, 853.	1.1	9
72	Fractional Anisotropy of Thalamic Nuclei Is Associated With Verticality Misperception After Extra-Thalamic Stroke. <i>Frontiers in Neurology</i> , 2019, 10, 697.	1.1	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Modulating the healthy and affected motor cortex with repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in stroke: development of new strategies for neurorehabilitation. <i>NeuroRehabilitation</i> , 2008, 23, 3-14.	0.5	9
74	Temporal aspects of passive movement-related corticomotor inhibition. <i>Human Movement Science</i> , 2004, 23, 379-387.	0.6	8
75	Poststroke Aphasia Rehabilitation: Why All Talk and No Action?. <i>Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair</i> , 2019, 33, 235-244.	1.4	8
76	Entropy Analysis of High-Definition Transcranial Electric Stimulation Effects on EEG Dynamics. <i>Brain Sciences</i> , 2019, 9, 208.	1.1	7
77	Dose and staffing comparison study of upper limb device-assisted therapy. <i>NeuroRehabilitation</i> , 2020, 46, 287-297.	0.5	7
78	Long-Term Distributed Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for Tinnitus: A Feasibility Study. <i>Neuromodulation</i> , 2016, 19, 249-253.	0.4	6
79	Transcranial magnetic stimulation to assess motor neurophysiology after acute stroke in the United States: Feasibility, lessons learned, and values for future research. <i>Brain Stimulation</i> , 2022, 15, 179-181.	0.7	6
80	Robotic biomarkers in RETT Syndrome: Evaluating stiffness. , 2016, , .		5
81	Dynamic time series smoothing for symbolic interval data applied to neuroscience. <i>Information Sciences</i> , 2020, 517, 415-426.	4.0	5
82	Robotic Kinematic measures of the arm in chronic Stroke: part 1 " Motor Recovery patterns from tDCS preceding intensive training. <i>Bioelectronic Medicine</i> , 2021, 7, 20.	1.0	5
83	Robotic Kinematic measures of the arm in chronic Stroke: part 2 " strong correlation with clinical outcome measures. <i>Bioelectronic Medicine</i> , 2021, 7, 21.	1.0	5
84	Long-term repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation therapy: new research questions arising from one tinnitus case?. <i>BMJ Case Reports</i> , 2014, 2014, bcr2014207203-bcr2014207203.	0.2	3
85	NeuroMeasure: A Software Package for Quantification of Cortical Motor Maps Using Frameless Stereotaxic Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation. <i>Frontiers in Neuroinformatics</i> , 2019, 13, 23.	1.3	2
86	BrainWave Nets: Are Sparse Dynamic Models Susceptible to Brain Manipulation Experimentation?. <i>Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience</i> , 2020, 14, 527757.	1.2	2
87	The Outlook for Non-invasive Electrical Brain Stimulation. <i>Brain Stimulation</i> , 2014, 7, 771-772.	0.7	1
88	Forging Mens et Manus: The MIT Experience in Upper Extremity Robotic Therapy. , 2016, , 333-350.		1
89	Non-invasive Brain Stimulation in Human Stroke Survivors. , 2020, , 501-535.		1
90	High Definition tDCS Effect on Postural Control in Healthy Individuals: Entropy Analysis of a Crossover Clinical Trial. <i>Applied Sciences (Switzerland)</i> , 2022, 12, 2703.	1.3	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	Middle cerebral artery blood flow stability in response to high-definition transcranial electrical stimulation: A randomized sham-controlled clinical trial. <i>Clinical Neurology and Neurosurgery</i> , 2022, 220, 107345.	0.6	1
92	Motor Enhancement with Speech Therapy Primed by rTMS: A Case Report of Oral/Pharyngeal Dystonia. <i>Brain Stimulation</i> , 2017, 10, e3-e4.	0.7	0
93	Critically appraised paper: Non-invasive brain stimulation does not enhance the effect of robotic-assisted upper limb training on arm motor recovery after stroke [commentary]. <i>Journal of Physiotherapy</i> , 2021, 67, 218.	0.7	0