
## Sona A Chikarmane

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1113501/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Atypical Lobular Hyperplasia and Classic Lobular Carcinoma In Situ Can Be Safely Managed Without Surgical Excision. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 1660-1667.	0.7	14
2	ASO Visual Abstract: Atypical Lobular Hyperplasia and Classic Lobular Carcinoma In Situ Can Be Safely Managed Without Surgical Excision. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 1668-1669.	0.7	0
3	Feasibility and accuracy of digital breast tomosynthesis–guided vacuum-assisted breast biopsy for noncalcified mammographic targets. , 2022, 28, 171-178.		2
4	Synthetic Mammography: Review of Benefits and Drawbacks in Clinical Use. Journal of Breast Imaging, 2022, 4, 124-134.	0.5	3
5	Screening Mammography Performance Metrics of 2D Digital Mammography Versus Digital Breast Tomosynthesis in Women With a Personal History of Breast Cancer. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2021, 217, 587-594.	1.0	14
6	Electronic Worklist Improves Timeliness of Screening Mammogram Interpretation in an Urban Underserved Population. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2021, , .	0.6	0
7	Lesion conspicuity on synthetic screening mammography compared to full field digital screening mammography. Clinical Imaging, 2021, 75, 90-96.	0.8	3
8	Multidisciplinary Recommendations Regarding Post-Vaccine Adenopathy and Radiologic Imaging: <i>Radiology</i> Scientific Expert Panel. Radiology, 2021, 300, E323-E327.	3.6	117
9	Impact of Electronic Procedural Protocoling on the Timeliness of Pre-operative Breast Localization Procedures. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2021, , .	0.6	0
10	Lobular neoplasia detected at MRI-guided biopsy: imaging findings and outcomes. Clinical Imaging, 2021, 78, 171-178.	0.8	5
11	Patients characteristics related to screening mammography cancellation and rescheduling rates during the COVID-19 pandemic. Clinical Imaging, 2021, 80, 205-210.	0.8	15
12	Reducing Burnout Among Radiology Trainees: A Novel Residency Retreat Curriculum to Improve Camaraderie and Personal Wellness – 3 Strategies for Success. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2020, 49, 89-95.	0.6	15
13	Conspicuity of Screen-Detected Malignancies on Full Field Digital Mammography vs. Synthetic Mammography. Academic Radiology, 2020, 27, 757-763.	1.3	8
14	Variability in the Use of Simulation for Procedural Training in Radiology Residency: Opportunities for Improvement. Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology, 2019, 48, 241-246.	0.6	1
15	Impact of an Information Technology–Enabled Quality Improvement Initiative on Timeliness of Patient Contact and Scheduling of Screening Mammography Recall. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2019, 213, 880-885.	1.0	0
16	Do benign-concordant breast MRI biopsy results require short interval follow-up imaging? Report of longitudinal study and review of the literature. Clinical Imaging, 2019, 57, 50-55.	0.8	9
17	Hematologic Malignancies of the Breast: A Contemporary Series Investigating Incidence, Presentation, Accuracy of Diagnosis on Core Needle Biopsy, and Hormone Receptor Expression. Breast Cancer: Basic and Clinical Research, 2019, 13, 117822341983098.	0.6	4
18	Screening breast MRI in patients with history of atypia or lobular neoplasia. Breast Journal, 2019, 25, 484-487	0.4	5

Sona A Chikarmane

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Optimizing Success and Avoiding Mishaps in the Most Difficult Image-guided Breast Biopsies. Seminars in Ultrasound, CT and MRI, 2018, 39, 80-97.	0.7	3
20	Troubleshooting to Overcome Technical Challenges in Image-guided Breast Biopsy. Radiographics, 2017, 37, 705-718.	1.4	36
21	Computerâ€aided heterogeneity analysis in breast MR imaging assessment of ductal carcinoma in situ: Correlating histologic grade and receptor status. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2017, 46, 1748-1759.	1.9	22
22	Clinical Utility of Breast MRI in the Diagnosis of Malignancy After Inconclusive or Equivocal Mammographic Diagnostic Evaluation. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2017, 208, 1378-1385.	1.0	36
23	Prevalence and Predictive Value of BI-RADS 3, 4, and 5 Lesions Detected on Breast MRI. Academic Radiology, 2017, 24, 435-441.	1.3	8
24	Revisiting Nonmass Enhancement in Breast MRI: Analysis of Outcomes and Follow-Up Using the Updated BI-RADS Atlas. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2017, 209, 1178-1184.	1.0	22
25	Strengths and Weaknesses of Synthetic Mammography in Screening. Radiographics, 2017, 37, 1913-1927.	1.4	45
26	Characteristics, Malignancy Rate, and Follow-up of BI-RADS Category 3 Lesions Identified at Breast MR Imaging: Implications for MR Image Interpretation and Management. Radiology, 2016, 280, 707-715.	3.6	29
27	Breast MR Imaging for Equivocal Mammographic Findings: Help or Hindrance?. Radiographics, 2016, 36, 943-956.	1.4	17
28	MRI findings of radiationâ€associated angiosarcoma of the breast (RAS). Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2015, 42, 763-770.	1.9	31
29	Screening Breast MRI in Patients Previously Treated for Breast Cancer. Academic Radiology, 2015, 22, 1331-1337.	1.3	51