

Matthew Carmona

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1111361/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

65
papers

1,947
citations

361045

20
h-index

288905

40
g-index

69
all docs

69
docs citations

69
times ranked

975
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The Place-shaping Continuum: A Theory of Urban Design Process. Journal of Urban Design, 2014, 19, 2-36.	0.6	193
2	Contemporary Public Space, Part Two: Classification. Journal of Urban Design, 2010, 15, 157-173.	0.6	189
3	Contemporary Public Space: Critique and Classification, Part One: Critique. Journal of Urban Design, 2010, 15, 123-148.	0.6	174
4	Place value: place quality and its impact on health, social, economic and environmental outcomes. Journal of Urban Design, 2019, 24, 1-48.	0.6	160
5	Principles for public space design, planning to do better. Urban Design International, 2019, 24, 47-59.	1.3	131
6	Re-theorising contemporary public space: a new narrative and a new normative. Journal of Urbanism, 2015, 8, 373-405.	0.6	107
7	London's local high streets: The problems, potential and complexities of mixed street corridors. Progress in Planning, 2015, 100, 1-84.	2.3	78
8	The formal and informal tools of design governance. Journal of Urban Design, 2017, 22, 1-36.	0.6	62
9	Dimensions and models of contemporary public space management in England. Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, 2009, 52, 111-129.	2.4	56
10	Stakeholder Views on Value and Urban Design. Journal of Urban Design, 2002, 7, 145-169.	0.6	55
11	Design Coding and the Creative, Market and Regulatory Tyrannies of Practice. Urban Studies, 2009, 46, 2643-2667.	2.2	51
12	Measuring Quality in Planning. , 0, , .		49
13	Design governance: theorizing an urban design sub-field. Journal of Urban Design, 2016, 21, 705-730.	0.6	43
14	Street appeal: The value of street improvements. Progress in Planning, 2018, 126, 1-51.	2.3	39
15	Design codes: their use and potential. Progress in Planning, 2006, 65, 209-289.	2.3	38
16	Performance Measurement in Planningâ€”Towards a Holistic View. Environment and Planning C: Urban Analytics and City Science, 2008, 26, 428-454.	1.5	36
17	Public Space Management: Present and Potential. Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, 2006, 49, 75-99.	2.4	33
18	Controlling urban designâ€”part 1: A possible renaissance?. Journal of Urban Design, 1996, 1, 47-73.	0.6	31

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Sustainable urban design: principles to practice. International Journal of Sustainable Development, 2009, 12, 48.	0.1	31
20	Innovations in the Management of Public Space: Reshaping and Refocusing Governance. Planning Theory and Practice, 2006, 7, 289-303.	0.8	25
21	The existential crisis of traditional shopping streets: the sun model and the place attraction paradigm. Journal of Urban Design, 2022, 27, 1-35.	0.6	25
22	Capital Spaces. , 0, , .		24
23	The Isle of Dogs: Four development waves, five planning models, twelve plans, thirty-five years, and a renaissance â€” of sorts. Progress in Planning, 2009, 71, 87-151.	2.3	23
24	Performance Measurement Innovation in English Planning Authorities. Planning Theory and Practice, 2005, 6, 303-333.	0.8	20
25	Public space in an age of austerity. Urban Design International, 2019, 24, 241-259.	1.3	19
26	What value urban design?. Urban Design International, 2002, 7, 63-81.	1.3	17
27	Design control â€” bridging the professional divide, part 1: A new framework. Journal of Urban Design, 1998, 3, 175-200.	0.6	16
28	An International Perspective on Measuring Quality in Planning. Built Environment, 2003, 29, 281-287.	0.4	12
29	Local environmental quality: establishing acceptable standards in England. Town Planning Review, 2009, 80, 517-548.	0.9	11
30	Marketizing the governance of design: design review in England. Journal of Urban Design, 2019, 24, 523-555.	0.6	11
31	The â€œpublic-isationâ€ of private space â€” towards a charter of public space rights and responsibilities. Journal of Urbanism, 2022, 15, 133-164.	0.6	11
32	Controlling urban designâ€”part 2: Realizing the potential. Journal of Urban Design, 1996, 1, 179-200.	0.6	10
33	Residential Design Policy and Guidance: Content, Analytical Basis, Prescription and Regional Emphasis. Planning Practice and Research, 1999, 14, 17-38.	0.8	10
34	The design content of development plans. Planning Practice and Research, 1994, 9, 199-220.	0.8	9
35	Design policies in local plans: recommendations for good practice. Town Planning Review, 1997, 68, 165.	0.9	9
36	Design controlâ€”bridging the professional divide, part 2: A new consensus. Journal of Urban Design, 1998, 3, 331-358.	0.6	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Designing Mega-projects in Hong Kong: Reflections from an Academic Accomplish. Journal of Urban Design, 2006, 11, 105-124.	0.6	9
38	Planning for housing: Unravelling the frictions in local practice. Planning Practice and Research, 2004, 19, 123-146.	0.8	8
39	The Royal Fine Art Commission and 75 years of English design review: the first 60 years, 1924â€“1984. Planning Perspectives, 2018, 33, 53-73.	0.2	8
40	Controlling the design of private sector residential development: an agenda for improving practice. Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design, 1999, 26, 807-833.	1.7	7
41	Designing the Japanese city â€“ An individual aesthetic and a collective neglect. Urban Design International, 2014, 19, 186-198.	1.3	7
42	Implementing urban renaissanceâ€”problems, possibilities and plans in South East England. Progress in Planning, 2001, 56, 169-250.	2.3	6
43	The Royal Fine Art Commission and 75 years of English design review: the final 15 years, 1984â€“1999. Planning Perspectives, 2017, 32, 577-599.	0.2	6
44	Design policies in English local plans: the search for substantive principles and appropriate procedures. Urban Design International, 1996, 1, 125-143.	1.3	5
45	Urban design policies in English local plans: content and prescriptions. Urban Design International, 1996, 1, 201-234.	1.3	5
46	Innovation in the control of residential design: what lessons for wider practice?. Town Planning Review, 1999, 70, 501.	0.9	5
47	Planning and house building: A step change from the bottom up?. Town Planning Review, 2004, 75, 95-122.	0.9	5
48	The London Way: The Politics of London's Strategic Design. Architectural Design, 2012, 82, 36-43.	0.1	5
49	Design governance the CABE way, its effectiveness and legitimacy. Journal of Urbanism, 2018, 11, 1-23.	0.6	5
50	Residential Design Policy and Guidance: Prevalence, Hierarchy and Currency. Planning Practice and Research, 1998, 13, 407-419.	0.8	4
51	Planning Indicators in England: A Top-Down Evolutionary Tale. Built Environment, 2003, 29, 355-374.	0.4	4
52	The Groundscraper: Exploring the Contemporary Reinterpretation. Journal of Urban Design, 2005, 10, 309-330.	0.6	4
53	Urban design policies in English local plans: content and prescriptions. Urban Design International, 1996, 1, 201-234.	1.3	4
54	Cosmetics or Critical Constraints? The Role of Landscape in Design Policies in English Development Plans. Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, 1997, 40, 173-198.	2.4	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	To truly "live"™, urban design needs accessible interdisciplinary research. Journal of Urban Design, 2020, 25, 5-9.	0.6	3
56	World class places or decent local spaces for all?. Urban Design International, 2009, 14, 189-191.	1.3	2
57	Design Governance. , 0, , .		2
58	Urban design, a call for inter-disciplinarity. Journal of Urban Design, 2016, 21, 548-550.	0.6	2
59	Sustainable urban place-shaping. Journal of Urban Design, 2016, 21, 31-35.	0.6	2
60	Design review in the UK. Journal of Urban Design, 2019, 24, 519-522.	0.6	2
61	Explorations in Urban Design. , 0, , .		2
62	Public space -- Asian Pacific style. City, 2002, 6, 403-405.	0.9	1
63	English Design Policies: How Have They Fared?. Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design, 2003, 30, 911-931.	1.7	1
64	Remembering Steve Tiesdell, 1964-2011. Journal of Urban Design, 2012, 17, 151-152.	0.6	1
65	Extraordinary Ordinarity. , 2016, , 223-241.		0