

Thomas A Farmer

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/11013180/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

19
papers

1,306
citations

840776

11
h-index

839539

18
g-index

19
all docs

19
docs citations

19
times ranked

1091
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The effects of age and verbal ability on word predictability in reading.. Psychology and Aging, 2021, 36, 531-542.	1.6	3
2	Individual Differences in Verb Bias Sensitivity in Children and Adults With Developmental Language Disorder. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 2019, 13, 402.	2.0	4
3	Lexical prediction in the aging brain: The effects of predictiveness and congruency on the N400 ERP component. Aging, Neuropsychology, and Cognition, 2019, 26, 781-806.	1.3	9
4	Deficits in the Use of Verb Bias Information in Real-Time Processing by College Students With Developmental Language Disorder. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 2019, 62, 337-355.	1.6	9
5	Dynamic competition account of men's perceptions of women's sexual interest. Cognition, 2018, 174, 43-54.	2.2	5
6	Individual and Developmental Differences in Distributional Learning. Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools, 2018, 49, 694-709.	1.6	7
7	Reading Span Task Performance, Linguistic Experience, and the Processing of Unexpected Syntactic Events. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2017, 70, 413-433.	1.1	45
8	THE FINE-TUNING OF LINGUISTIC EXPECTATIONS OVER THE COURSE OF L2 LEARNING. Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 2017, 39, 493-525.	2.6	29
9	Coordinating action and language. Advances in Consciousness Research, 2016, , 323-356.	0.2	0
10	Form-to-expectation matching effects on first-pass eye movement measures during reading.. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2015, 41, 958-976.	0.9	10
11	Prediction, explanation, and the role of generative models in language processing. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 2013, 36, 211-212.	0.7	45
12	Rapid Expectation Adaptation during Syntactic Comprehension. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e77661.	2.5	267
13	Phonological typicality influences sentence processing in predictive contexts: Reply to Staub, Grant, Clifton, and Rayner (2009).. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2011, 37, 1318-1325.	0.9	24
14	Hand in Motion Reveals Mind in Motion. Frontiers in Psychology, 2011, 2, 59.	2.1	253
15	Early Occipital Sensitivity to Syntactic Category Is Based on Form Typicality. Psychological Science, 2010, 21, 629-634.	3.3	229
16	Measures of phonological typicality. Mental Lexicon, 2010, 5, 281-299.	0.5	13
17	Gradiency and visual context in syntactic garden-paths. Journal of Memory and Language, 2007, 57, 570-595.	2.1	60
18	Tracking the Continuity of Language Comprehension: Computer Mouse Trajectories Suggest Parallel Syntactic Processing. Cognitive Science, 2007, 31, 889-909.	1.7	71

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Phonological typicality influences on-line sentence comprehension. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2006, 103, 12203-12208.	7.1	223