Chang-Ming Huang

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1093309/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

69 papers

2,646 citations

361045 20 h-index 205818 48 g-index

80 all docs 80 docs citations

80 times ranked

2319 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Morbidity and Mortality of Laparoscopic Versus Open D2 Distal Gastrectomy for Advanced Gastric Cancer: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2016, 34, 1350-1357.	0.8	557
2	Effect of Laparoscopic vs Open Distal Gastrectomy on 3-Year Disease-Free Survival in Patients With Locally Advanced Gastric Cancer. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2019, 321, 1983.	3.8	477
3	Circular RNA circ-RanGAP1 regulates VEGFA expression by targeting miR-877–3p to facilitate gastric cancer invasion and metastasis. Cancer Letters, 2020, 471, 38-48.	3.2	185
4	Safety and Efficacy of Indocyanine Green Tracer-Guided Lymph Node Dissection During Laparoscopic Radical Gastrectomy in Patients With Gastric Cancer. JAMA Surgery, 2020, 155, 300.	2.2	178
5	Morbidity and Mortality of Laparoscopic vs Open Total Gastrectomy for Clinical Stage I Gastric Cancer. JAMA Oncology, 2020, 6, 1590.	3.4	128
6	Assessment of Robotic Versus Laparoscopic Distal Gastrectomy for Gastric Cancer. Annals of Surgery, 2021, 273, 858-867.	2.1	126
7	The predictive value of the preoperative C-reactive protein–albumin ratio for early recurrence and chemotherapy benefit in patients with gastric cancer after radical gastrectomy: using randomized phase III trial data. Gastric Cancer, 2019, 22, 1016-1028.	2.7	59
8	Circular RNA hsa_circ_0001368 suppresses the progression of gastric cancer by regulating miR-6506–5p/FOXO3 axis. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 2019, 512, 29-33.	1.0	56
9	A Novel Prognostic Scoring System Based on Preoperative Sarcopenia Predicts the Long-Term Outcome for Patients After RO Resection for Gastric Cancer: Experiences of a High-Volume Center. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 24, 1795-1803.	0.7	53
10	The effectiveness of the 8th American Joint Committee on Cancer TNM classification in the prognosis evaluation of gastric cancer patients: A comparative study between the 7th and 8th editions. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 43, 2349-2356.	0.5	45
11	Comparison of 3D laparoscopic gastrectomy with a 2D procedure for gastric cancer: A phase 3 randomized controlled trial. Surgery, 2018, 163, 300-304.	1.0	39
12	Tumor-infiltrating CD8+ T cells combined with tumor-associated CD68+ macrophages predict postoperative prognosis and adjuvant chemotherapy benefit in resected gastric cancer. BMC Cancer, 2019, 19, 920.	1.1	39
13	Evaluation of laparoscopic total gastrectomy for advanced gastric cancer: results of a comparison with laparoscopic distal gastrectomy. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2016, 30, 1988-1998.	1.3	35
14	Huang's three-step maneuver for laparoscopic spleen-preserving No. 10 lymph node dissection for advanced proximal gastric cancer. Chinese Journal of Cancer Research: Official Journal of China Anti-Cancer Association, Beijing Institute for Cancer Research, 2014, 26, 208-10.	0.7	35
15	Laparoscopy-assisted gastrectomy with D2 lymph node dissection for advanced gastric cancer without serosa invasion: a matched cohort study from South China. World Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2013, 11, 4.	0.8	30
16	Laparoscopic Spleen-Preserving No. 10 Lymph Node Dissection for Advanced Proximal Gastric Cancer Using a Left Approach. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2014, 21, 2051-2051.	0.7	30
17	Is the 8th Edition of the AJCC TNM Staging System Sufficiently Reasonable for All Patients with Noncardia Gastric Cancer? A 12,549-Patient International Database Study. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 25, 2002-2011.	0.7	27
18	Clinical implications of Indocyanine Green Fluorescence Imaging-Guided laparoscopic lymphadenectomy for patients with gastric cancer: A cohort study from two randomized, controlled trials using individual patient data. International Journal of Surgery, 2021, 94, 106120.	1.1	27

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Assessment of indocyanine green tracer-guided lymphadenectomy in laparoscopic gastrectomy after neoadjuvant chemotherapy for locally advanced gastric cancer: results from a multicenter analysis based on propensity matching. Gastric Cancer, 2021, 24, 1355-1364.	2.7	25
20	Comparison of submucosal and subserosal approaches toward optimized indocyanine green tracer-guided laparoscopic lymphadenectomy for patients with gastric cancer (FUGES-019): a randomized controlled trial. BMC Medicine, 2021, 19, 276.	2.3	25
21	Incidence and survival trends for gastric neuroendocrine neoplasms: An analysis of 3523 patients in the SEER database. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 44, 1628-1633.	0.5	24
22	Prognostic impact of metastatic lymph node ratio in advanced gastric cancer from cardia and fundus. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2008, 14, 4383.	1.4	24
23	Prognostic impact of dissected lymph node count on patients with node-negative gastric cancer. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2009, 15, 3926.	1.4	22
24	A 346 Case Analysis for Laparoscopic Spleen-Preserving No.10 Lymph Node Dissection for Proximal Gastric Cancer: A Single Center Study. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e108480.	1.1	21
25	Laparoscopic Suprapancreatic Lymph Node Dissection for Advanced Gastric Cancer Using a Left-Sided Approach. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 22, 2351-2351.	0.7	21
26	Association of the age-adjusted Charlson Comorbidity Index and systemic inflammation with survival in gastric cancer patients after radical gastrectomy. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 2465-2472.	0.5	20
27	A novel TNM staging system for gastric cancer based on the metro-ticket paradigm: a comparative study with the AJCC-TNM staging system. Gastric Cancer, 2019, 22, 759-768.	2.7	20
28	Safety and feasibility of laparoscopic spleen-preserving No. 10 lymph node dissection for locally advanced upper third gastric cancer: a prospective, multicenter clinical trial. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2020, 34, 5062-5073.	1.3	19
29	Does Noncompliance in Lymph Node Dissection Affect Oncological Efficacy in Gastric Cancer Patients Undergoing Radical Gastrectomy?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 26, 1759-1771.	0.7	18
30	Prediction of Conditional Probability of Survival After Surgery for Gastric Cancer: A Study Based on Eastern and Western Large Data Sets. Surgery, 2018, 163, 1307-1316.	1.0	17
31	Laparoscopic total gastrectomy for upper-middle advanced gastric cancer: analysis based on lymph node noncompliance. Gastric Cancer, 2020, 23, 184-194.	2.7	15
32	Lymph Node Noncompliance Affects the Long-Term Prognosis of Patients with Gastric Cancer after Laparoscopic Total Gastrectomy. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2020, 24, 540-550.	0.9	14
33	Safety and prognostic impact of prophylactic laparoscopic superior mesenteric vein (No. 14v) lymph node dissection for lower-third gastric cancer: a propensity score-matched case–control study. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 1495-1505.	1.3	13
34	Huang's three-step maneuver shortens the learning curve of laparoscopic spleen-preserving splenic hilar lymphadenectomy. Surgical Oncology, 2017, 26, 389-394.	0.8	12
35	Preoperative lymph node size is helpful to predict the prognosis of patients with stage III gastric cancer after radical resection. Surgical Oncology, 2018, 27, 54-60.	0.8	12
36	Learning Curve of the Application of Huang Three-Step Maneuver in a Laparoscopic Spleen-Preserving Splenic Hilar Lymphadenectomy for Advanced Gastric Cancer. Medicine (United States), 2016, 95, e3252.	0.4	11

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Development and external validation of a nomogram for predicting the conditional probability of survival after D2 lymphadenectomy for gastric cancer: A multicentre study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 1934-1942.	0.5	11
38	Implications for restaging in gastric cancer with peritoneal metastasis based on the 15th Japanese Classification of Gastric Carcinoma: An analysis from a comprehensive center. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 46, 1269-1276.	0.5	11
39	Reappraise role of No. 10 lymphadenectomy for proximal gastric cancer in the era of minimal invasive surgery during total gastrectomy: a pooled analysis of 4 prospective trial. Gastric Cancer, 2021, 24, 245-257.	2.7	11
40	Multi-institutional development and validation of a nomogram to predict recurrence after curative resection of gastric neuroendocrine/mixed adenoneuroendocrine carcinoma. Gastric Cancer, 2021, 24, 503-514.	2.7	11
41	Strategies of laparoscopic spleen-preserving splenic hilar lymph node dissection for advanced proximal gastric cancer. World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2016, 8, 402.	0.8	10
42	Is it necessary to dissect the posterior lymph nodes along the splenic vessels during total gastrectomy with D2 lymphadenectomy for advanced gastric cancer?. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 43, 2357-2365.	0.5	10
43	Which staging system better predicts 10-year survival for gastric cancer? A study using an international multicenter database. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 44, 1205-1211.	0.5	10
44	Intraoperative Surrogate Indicators of Gastric Cancer Patients' Long-Term Prognosis: The Number of Lymph Nodes Examined Relates to the Lymph Node Noncompliance Rate. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 3281-3293.	0.7	10
45	Pathological features and survival analysis of gastric cancer patients with positive surgical margins: A large multicenter cohort study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 2457-2464.	0.5	9
46	Robotic spleen-preserving splenic hilar lymphadenectomy for advanced proximal gastric cancer: A feasible and simplified procedure. Surgical Oncology, 2019, 28, 67-68.	0.8	8
47	Advances in laparoscopic surgery for the treatment of advanced gastric cancer in China. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 46, e7-e13.	0.5	8
48	Body composition parameters predict pathological response and outcomes in locally advanced gastric cancer after neoadjuvant treatment: A multicenter, international study. Clinical Nutrition, 2021, 40, 4980-4987.	2.3	7
49	Prognostic importance of dynamic changes in systemic inflammatory markers for patients with gastric cancer. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 124, 282-292.	0.8	6
50	Is the AJCC TNM staging system still appropriate for gastric cancer patients survival after 5 years?. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 1115-1120.	0.5	5
51	A long-term conditional survival analysis for gastric cancer based on 7th and 8th TNM classification in Eastern and Western populations. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 44, 1949-1954.	0.5	4
52	A novel prognosis prediction model after completion gastrectomy for remnant gastric cancer: Development and validation using international multicenter databases. Surgery, 2019, 166, 314-321.	1.0	4
53	Does three-dimensional surgery affect recurrence patterns in patients with gastric cancer after laparoscopic R0 gastrectomy? Results from a 3-year follow-up phase III trial. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2021, 35, 113-123.	1.3	4
54	Clinical Relevance of Splenic Hilar Lymph Node Dissection for Proximal Gastric Cancer: A Propensity Score-Matching Case-Control Study. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 6649-6662.	0.7	4

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Effect of lymphadenectomy extent on advanced gastric cancer located in the cardia and fundus. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2008, 14, 4216.	1.4	4
56	Postoperative follow-up for gastric cancer needs to be individualized according to age, tumour recurrence pattern, and recurrence time. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 48, 1790-1798.	0.5	4
57	The prognostic value of a Surgical Outcome Risk Tool in patients after radical gastrectomy for gastric cancer and its guiding significance for postoperative chemotherapy. Surgical Oncology, 2019, 28, 128-134.	0.8	3
58	Prognostic value of a new staging system based on the retrieved number and metastatic rate of LNs in gastric cancer with â‰\$5 retrieved LNs. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 46, 2221-2228.	0.5	3
59	Prognostic analysis of patients with intra-abdominal infectious complications after laparoscopic-assisted and open radical gastrectomy for gastric cancer – A propensity score-matching analysis. Surgical Oncology, 2021, 37, 101583.	0.8	3
60	Application of an artificial neural network for predicting the potential chemotherapy benefit of patients with gastric cancer after radical surgery. Surgery, 2021, , .	1.0	3
61	Modified AJCC staging of gastric neuroendocrine carcinoma based on T staging can improve the capacity of prognosis assessment. Journal of Cancer Research and Clinical Oncology, 2018, 144, 2391-2397.	1.2	2
62	Reciprocity between lymphadenectomy quality and adjuvant chemotherapy compliance in gastric cancer: post hoc analysis of two randomized controlled trials. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2022, 36, 8774-8783.	1.3	2
63	Indications for Adjuvant Chemotherapy in Stage II Gastric Cancer After D2 Gastrectomy–A Chinese Multicenter Study. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 8214-8224.	0.7	2
64	A prediction model for potential intraoperative laparoscopic hemostasis in spleen-preserving No. 10 lymphadenectomy for proximal gastric cancer. Asian Journal of Surgery, 2019, 42, 853-862.	0.2	1
65	BMI-adjusted prognosis of signet ring cell carcinoma in patients undergoing radical gastrectomy for gastric adenocarcinoma. Asian Journal of Surgery, 2021, 44, 116-122.	0.2	1
66	A novel hematological classifier predicting chemotherapy benefit and recurrence hazard for locally advanced gastric cancer A multicenter IPTW analysis. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 48, 1768-1777.	0.5	1
67	Assessment of the short-term outcomes of laparoscopic gastrectomy after neoadjuvant chemotherapy for locally advanced gastric cancer: A prospective single-armed clinical trial. Surgery, 2022, , .	1.0	1
68	"Five-step―laparoscopic lymph node dissection for remnant gastric cancer following Billroth-II gastrectomy: A safe and feasible procedure. Surgical Oncology, 2020, 32, 115-116.	0.8	0
69	ASO Author Reflections: Long-Term Efficacy of Splenic Hilar Lymph Node Dissection for Proximal Gastric Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 6663-6664.	0.7	0