Massimo Calabrese

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1091998/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

257357 233338 2,207 62 24 45 citations g-index h-index papers 63 63 63 2497 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Multicenter Surveillance of Women at High Genetic Breast Cancer Risk Using Mammography, Ultrasonography, and Contrast-Enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging (the High Breast Cancer Risk) Tj ETQq1 1	1 037\$431	4 r g8 9 /Overld
2	Rapid review: radiomics and breast cancer. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2018, 169, 217-229.	1.1	190
3	Adjunct Screening With Tomosynthesis or Ultrasound in Women With Mammography-Negative Dense Breasts: Interim Report of a Prospective Comparative Trial. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2016, 34, 1882-1888.	0.8	173
4	A first evaluation of breast radiological density assessment by QUANTRA software as compared to visual classification. Breast, 2012, 21, 503-506.	0.9	106
5	Diagnostic performance of contrast-enhanced spectral mammography: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Breast, 2016, 28, 13-19.	0.9	105
6	One-to-one comparison between digital spot compression view and digital breast tomosynthesis. European Radiology, 2012, 22, 539-544.	2.3	91
7	Mammographic density estimation: Comparison among BI-RADS categories, a semi-automated software and a fully automated one. Breast, 2009, 18, 35-40.	0.9	83
8	Characterisation of microcalcification clusters on 2D digital mammography (FFDM) and digital breast tomosynthesis (DBT): does DBT underestimate microcalcification clusters? Results of a multicentre study. European Radiology, 2015, 25, 9-14.	2.3	81
9	A prospective comparative trial of adjunct screening with tomosynthesis or ultrasound in women with mammography-negative dense breasts (ASTOUND-2). European Journal of Cancer, 2018, 104, 39-46.	1.3	80
10	Brachial plexus MR imaging: accuracy and reproducibility of DTI-derived measurements and fibre tractography at 3.0-T. European Radiology, 2011, 21, 1764-1771.	2.3	68
11	Upgrade Rate of Percutaneously Diagnosed Pure Atypical Ductal Hyperplasia: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of 6458 Lesions. Radiology, 2020, 294, 76-86.	3.6	60
12	Mammographic density estimation: one-to-one comparison of digital mammography and digital breast tomosynthesis using fully automated software. European Radiology, 2012, 22, 1265-1270.	2.3	51
13	MR imaging of the brachial plexus: comparison between 1.5-T and 3-T MR imaging: preliminary experience. Skeletal Radiology, 2011, 40, 717-724.	1.2	47
14	Comparative estimation of percentage breast tissue density for digital mammography, digital breast tomosynthesis, and magnetic resonance imaging. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2013, 138, 311-317.	1.1	45
15	An exploratory radiomics analysis on digital breast tomosynthesis in women with mammographically negative dense breasts. Breast, 2018, 40, 92-96.	0.9	44
16	Breast imaging and cancer diagnosis during the COVID-19 pandemic: recommendations from the Italian College of Breast Radiologists by SIRM. Radiologia Medica, 2020, 125, 926-930.	4.7	38
17	Atypical Ductal Hyperplasia Diagnosed at 11-Gauge Vacuum-Assisted Breast Biopsy Performed on Suspicious Clustered Microcalcifications: Could Patients Without Residual Microcalcifications Be Managed Conservatively?. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2011, 197, 1012-1018.	1.0	34
18	Evaluation of background parenchymal enhancement on breast MRI: a systematic review. British Journal of Radiology, 2017, 90, 20160542.	1.0	34

#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
19	Breast cancer Ki-67 expression prediction by digital breast tomosynthesis radiomics features. European Radiology Experimental, 2019, 3, 36.	1.7	33
20	Magnetic resonance imaging before breast cancer surgery: results of an observational multicenter international prospective analysisÂ(MIPA). European Radiology, 2022, 32, 1611-1623.	2.3	30
21	Breast Density Assessment Using a 3T MRI System: Comparison among Different Sequences. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e99027.	1.1	28
22	Role of Preoperative Breast MRI in Ductal Carcinomaln Situfor Prediction of the Presence and Assessment of the Extent of Occult Invasive Component. Breast Journal, 2014, 20, 243-248.	0.4	27
23	Breast MRI Using a High-Relaxivity Contrast Agent: An Overview. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2011, 196, 942-955.	1.0	26
24	Flat Epithelial Atypia: Comparison Between 9-Gauge and 11-Gauge Devices. Clinical Breast Cancer, 2013, 13, 450-454.	1.1	26
25	Accuracy and reading time for six strategies using digital breast tomosynthesis in women with mammographically negative dense breasts. European Radiology, 2017, 27, 5179-5184.	2.3	25
26	Vacuum assisted breast biopsy (VAB) excision of subcentimeter microcalcifications as an alternative to open biopsy for atypical ductal hyperplasia. British Journal of Radiology, 2018, 91, 20180003.	1.0	23
27	Recommendations for breast imaging follow-up of women with a previous history of breast cancer: position paper from the Italian Group for Mammography Screening (GISMa) and the Italian College of Breast Radiologists (ICBR) by SIRM. Radiologia Medica, 2016, 121, 891-896.	4.7	22
28	Inclusion of Platinum Agents in Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Regimens for Triple-Negative Breast Cancer Patients: Development of GRADE (Grades of Recommendation, Assessment, Development and) Tj ETQq0 1137.	0 0 rgBT /	Overlock 10 1
29	Structured reporting of x-ray mammography in the first diagnosis of breast cancer: a Delphi consensus proposal. Radiologia Medica, 2022, 127, 471-483.	4.7	21
30	Mammography and MRI for screening women who underwent chest radiation therapy (lymphoma) Tj ETQq0 0 0 SIRM. Radiologia Medica, 2016, 121, 834-837.	rgBT /Ove 4.7	rlock 10 Tf 50 20
31	Quantitative evaluation of background parenchymal enhancement (BPE) on breast MRI. A feasibility study with a semi-automatic and automatic software compared to observer-based scores. British Journal of Radiology, 2015, 88, 20150417.	1.0	18
32	Digital breast tomosynthesis (DBT): recommendations from the Italian College of Breast Radiologists (ICBR) by the Italian Society of Medical Radiology (SIRM) and the Italian Group for Mammography Screening (GISMa). Radiologia Medica, 2017, 122, 723-730.	4.7	18
33	Solving the preoperative breast MRI conundrum: design and protocol of the MIPA study. European Radiology, 2020, 30, 5427-5436.	2.3	18
34	Muscle mass estimation on breast magnetic resonance imaging in breast cancer patients: comparison between psoas muscle area on computer tomography and pectoralis muscle area on MRI. European Radiology, 2019, 29, 494-500.	2.3	17
35	Ultrasound-guided percutaneous injection of triamcinolone acetonide for treating capsular contracture in patients with augmented and reconstructed breast. European Radiology, 2011, 21, 575-581.	2.3	16
36	'In vivo' average glandular dose evaluation: one-to-one comparison between digital breast tomosynthesis and full-field digital mammography. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2013, 157, 53-61.	0.4	16

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Follow-up of recurrences of limb soft tissue sarcomas in patients with localized disease: performance of ultrasound. European Radiology, 2015, 25, 2764-2770.	2.3	16
38	Primary and Secondary Breast Lymphoma: Focus on Epidemiology and Imaging Features. Pathology and Oncology Research, 2020, 26, 1483-1488.	0.9	16
39	Lesions of uncertain malignant potential of the breast (B3) on vacuum-assisted biopsy for microcalcifications: Predictors of malignancy. European Journal of Radiology, 2020, 130, 109194.	1.2	13
40	The value of coronal view as a stand-alone assessment in women undergoing automated breast ultrasound. Radiologia Medica, 2021, 126, 206-213.	4.7	12
41	Increased mammographic breast density in acromegaly: quantitative and qualitative assessment. European Journal of Endocrinology, 2011, 164, 335-340.	1.9	11
42	Pilomatrixoma of the breast, a rare lesion simulating breast cancer: a case report. Journal of Radiology Case Reports, 2013, 7, 43-50.	0.2	11
43	Flat epithelial atypia: conservative management of patients without residual microcalcifications post-vacuum-assisted breast biopsy. British Journal of Radiology, 2018, 91, 20170484.	1.0	11
44	Breast ultrasound: automated or hand-held? Exploring patients' experience and preference. European Radiology Experimental, 2020, 4, 12.	1.7	11
45	Quantitative Real Time PCR assessment of hormonal receptors and HER2 status on fine-needle aspiration pre-operatory specimens from a prospectively accrued cohort of women with suspect breast malignant lesions. Gynecologic Oncology, 2014, 132, 389-396.	0.6	9
46	Comparison between execution and reading time of 3D ABUS versus HHUS. Radiologia Medica, 2020, 125, 1243-1248.	4.7	9
47	MR Imaging of total hip arthroplasty: comparison among sequences to study the sciatic nerve at 1.5 T. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2010, 28, 1319-1326.	1.0	8
48	Effects on short-term quality of life of vacuum-assisted breast biopsy: comparison between digital breast tomosynthesis and digital mammography. British Journal of Radiology, 2015, 88, 20150593.	1.0	7
49	Background parenchymal enhancement assessment: Inter- and intra-rater reliability across breast MRI sequences. European Journal of Radiology, 2019, 114, 57-61.	1.2	6
50	Provision of follow-up care for women with a history of breast cancer following the 2016 position paper by the Italian Group for Mammographic Screening and the Italian College of Breast Radiologists by SIRM: a survey of Senonetwork Italian breast centres. Radiologia Medica, 2022, 127, 484-489.	4.7	6
51	Muscle mass loss after neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer: estimation on breast magnetic resonance imaging using pectoralis muscle area. European Radiology, 2020, 30, 4234-4241.	2.3	5
52	A non-invasive approach to monitor chronic lymphocytic leukemia engraftment in a xenograft mouse model using ultra-small superparamagnetic iron oxide-magnetic resonance imaging (USPIO-MRI). Clinical Immunology, 2016, 172, 52-60.	1.4	4
53	Freehand 3T MR-guided vacuum-assisted breast biopsy (VAB): a five-year experience. Acta Radiologica, 2018, 59, 540-545.	0.5	4
54	Influence of Tumor Subtype, Radiological Sign and Prognostic Factors on Tumor Size Discrepancies Between Digital Breast Tomosynthesis and Final Histology. Cureus, 2019, 11, e6046.	0.2	4

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Axillary adenopathy after COVID-19 vaccine in patients undergoing breast ultrasound. Journal of Ultrasonography: Official Publication of Polish Ultrasound Society / Red Nacz Iwona SudoÅ,-SzopiÅ,,ska, 2021, 21, 361-364.	0.7	4
56	A very rare case of mycobacterium gordonae infection of the breast. Breast Journal, 2020, 26, 2229-2232.	0.4	3
57	MRI versus Mammography plus Ultrasound in Women at Intermediate Breast Cancer Risk: Study Design and Protocol of the MRIB Multicenter, Randomized, Controlled Trial. Diagnostics, 2021, 11, 1635.	1.3	3
58	Breast Metastases: Updates on Epidemiology and Radiologic Findings. Cureus, 2020, 12, e12258.	0.2	2
59	Is 9 G DBT-Guided VABB Sufficient to Completely Remove T1 Breast Cancers (below 20 mm)? Analysis of 146 Patients with Histology as Reference Standard. Breast Care, 0, , .	0.8	2
60	Development of a hoRizontal data intEgration classifier for NOn-invasive early diAgnosis of breasT cancEr: the RENOVATE study protocol. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e054256.	0.8	2
61	A rare occupational disease of hairdressers: A case of breast pilonidal abscess and a review of the literature. Breast Journal, 2020, 26, 1828-1830.	0.4	1
62	Influence of breast density on patient's compliance during ultrasound examination: Conventional handheld breast ultrasound compared to automated breast ultrasound. Journal of Medical Ultrasound, 2020, 28, 230-234.	0.2	1