
Samy Rengasamy

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/10900440/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	New technique to evaluate decontamination methods for filtering facepiece respirators. American Journal of Infection Control, 2021, 49, 416-423.	2.3	4
2	Evaluation of total inward leakage for NIOSH-approved elastomeric half-facepiece, full-facepiece, and powered air-purifying respirators using sodium chloride and corn oil aerosols. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene, 2021, 18, 305-313.	1.0	1
3	A comparison of total inward leakage measured using sodium chloride (NaCl) and corn oil aerosol methods for air-purifying respirators. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene, 2018, 15, 616-627.	1.0	24
4	A comparison of facemask and respirator filtration test methods. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene, 2017, 14, 92-103.	1.0	137
5	Protection Factor for N95 Filtering Facepiece Respirators Exposed to Laboratory Aerosols Containing Different Concentrations of Nanoparticles. Annals of Occupational Hygiene, 2015, 59, 373-81.	1.9	8
6	Respirator Performance against Nanoparticles under Simulated Workplace Activities. Annals of Occupational Hygiene, 2015, 59, 1012-1021.	1.9	20
7	Total Inward Leakage Measurement of Particulates for N95 Filtering Facepiece Respirators—A Comparison Study. Annals of Occupational Hygiene, 2014, 58, 206-16.	1.9	16
8	A Quantitative Assessment of the Total Inward Leakage of NaCl Aerosol Representing Submicron-Size Bioaerosol Through N95 Filtering Facepiece Respirators and Surgical Masks. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene, 2014, 11, 388-396.	1.0	50
9	Nanoparticle Penetration through Filter Media and Leakage through Face Seal Interface of N95 Filtering Facepiece Respirators. Annals of Occupational Hygiene, 2012, 56, 568-80.	1.9	44
10	Evaluation of the Performance of the N95-Companion: Effects of Filter Penetration and Comparison with Other Aerosol Instruments. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene, 2012, 9, 417-426.	1.0	17
11	Nanoparticle Filtration Performance of NIOSH-Certified Particulate Air-Purifying Filtering Facepiece Respirators: Evaluation by Light Scattering Photometric and Particle Number-Based Test Methods. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene, 2012, 9, 99-109.	1.0	17
12	Evaluation of the Filtration Performance of NIOSH-Approved N95 Filtering Facepiece Respirators by Photometric and Number-Based Test Methods. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene, 2011, 8, 23-30.	1.0	44
13	Total Inward Leakage of Nanoparticles Through Filtering Facepiece Respirators. Annals of Occupational Hygiene, 2011, 55, 253-63.	1.9	63
14	Simple Respiratory Protection—Evaluation of the Filtration Performance of Cloth Masks and Common Fabric Materials Against 20–1000 nm Size Particles. Annals of Occupational Hygiene, 2010, 54, 789-98.	1.9	229
15	Evaluation of the survivability of MS2 viral aerosols deposited on filtering face piece respirator samples incorporating antimicrobial technologies. American Journal of Infection Control, 2010, 38, 9-17.	2.3	48
16	Comparison of Nanoparticle Filtration Performance of NIOSH-approved and CE-Marked Particulate Filtering Facepiece Respirators. Annals of Occupational Hygiene, 2009, 53, 117-128.	1.9	137
17	Development of a Test System To Apply Virus-Containing Particles to Filtering Facepiece Respirators for the Evaluation of Decontamination Procedures. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 2009, 75, 1500-1507.	3.1	45
18	Development of a Test System To Evaluate Procedures for Decontamination of Respirators Containing Viral Droplets. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 2009, 75, 7303-7309.	3.1	64

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Respiratory protection against airborne nanoparticles: a review. Journal of Nanoparticle Research, 2009, 11, 1661-1672.	1.9	96
20	Filtration Performance of NIOSH-Approved N95 and P100 Filtering Facepiece Respirators Against 4 to 30 Nanometer-Size Nanoparticles. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene, 2008, 5, 556-564.	1.0	106