Belinda M Craig

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1086890/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

1040056 888059 26 344 9 17 citations g-index h-index papers 26 26 26 283 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	In search of the emotional face: Anger versus happiness superiority in visual search Emotion, 2013, 13, 758-768.	1.8	60
2	Sexual Selection, Agonistic Signaling, and the Effect of Beards on Recognition of Men's Anger Displays. Psychological Science, 2019, 30, 728-738.	3.3	39
3	Different faces in the crowd: A happiness superiority effect for schematic faces in heterogeneous backgrounds Emotion, 2014, 14, 794-803.	1.8	37
4	The effect of poser race on the happy categorization advantage depends on stimulus type, set size, and presentation duration Emotion, 2012, 12, 1303-1314.	1.8	32
5	A Happy Face Advantage With Male Caucasian Faces. Social Psychological and Personality Science, 2015, 6, 109-115.	3.9	23
6	Emotional expressions preferentially elicit implicit evaluations of faces also varying in race or age Emotion, 2014, 14, 865-877.	1.8	15
7	The influence of multiple social categories on emotion perception. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 2018, 75, 27-35.	2.2	14
8	You look pretty happy: Attractiveness moderates emotion perception Emotion, 2019, 19, 1070-1080.	1.8	14
9	Facial age cues and emotional expression interact asymmetrically: age cues moderate emotion categorisation. Cognition and Emotion, 2018, 32, 350-362.	2.0	11
10	Stereotypes and Structure in the Interaction between Facial Emotional Expression and Sex Characteristics. Adaptive Human Behavior and Physiology, 2020, 6, 212-235.	1.1	11
11	Beards Increase the Speed, Accuracy, and Explicit Judgments of Facial Threat. Adaptive Human Behavior and Physiology, 2021, 7, 347-362.	1.1	11
12	The influence of social category cues on the happy categorisation advantage depends on expression valence. Cognition and Emotion, 2017, 31, 1493-1501.	2.0	10
13	Stimulus set size modulates the sex–emotion interaction in face categorization. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2015, 77, 1285-1294.	1.3	8
14	The influence of facial sex cues on emotional expression categorization is not fixed Emotion, 2017, 17, 28-39.	1.8	8
15	Research productivity, quality, and impact metrics of Australian psychology academics. Australian Journal of Psychology, 2021, 73, 144-156.	2.8	7
16	Emotional expressions reduce the own-age bias Emotion, 2019, 19, 1206-1213.	1.8	7
17	Temporal context cues in human fear conditioning: Unreinforced conditional stimuli can segment learning into distinct temporal contexts and drive fear responding. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 2018, 108, 10-17.	3.1	6
18	Social categorization and individuation in the ownâ€age bias. British Journal of Psychology, 2019, 110, 635-651.	2.3	6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Searching for emotion or race: Task-irrelevant facial cues have asymmetrical effects. Cognition and Emotion, 2014, 28, 1100-1109.	2.0	5
20	Facial race and sex cues have a comparable influence on emotion recognition in Chinese and Australian participants. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2017, 79, 2212-2223.	1.3	5
21	2:0 for the good guys: Character information influences emotion perception Emotion, 2019, 19, 1495-1499.	1.8	5
22	Facial hair may slow detection of happy facial expressions in the face in the crowd paradigm. Scientific Reports, 2022, 12, 5911.	3.3	5
23	The relationship between visual search and categorization of own―and otherâ€age faces. British Journal of Psychology, 2018, 109, 736-757.	2.3	3
24	Stable middleâ€aged face recognition: No moderation of the ownâ€age bias across contexts. British Journal of Psychology, 2020, 112, 645-661.	2.3	1
25	Featural vs. Holistic processing and visual sampling in the influence of social category cues on emotion recognition. Cognition and Emotion, 2022, , 1-21.	2.0	1
26	An ownâ€age bias in mixed―and pureâ€list presentations: No evidence for the socialâ€cognitive account. British Journal of Psychology, 2020, 111, 702-722.	2.3	0