

# Jos Kleijnen

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/10835570/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

38  
papers

33,451  
citations

159585

30  
h-index

302126

39  
g-index

40  
all docs

40  
docs citations

40  
times ranked

47454  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The PRISMA Statement for Reporting Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses of Studies That Evaluate Health Care Interventions: Explanation and Elaboration. <i>PLoS Medicine</i> , 2009, 6, e1000100.	8.4	13,772
2	The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2009, 62, e1-e34.	5.0	8,425
3	The development of QUADAS: a tool for the quality assessment of studies of diagnostic accuracy included in systematic reviews. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2003, 3, 25.	3.1	3,036
4	ROBIS: A new tool to assess risk of bias in systematic reviews was developed. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2016, 69, 225-234.	5.0	1,204
5	Influence of context effects on health outcomes: a systematic review. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2001, 357, 757-762.	13.7	1,118
6	PROBAST: A Tool to Assess the Risk of Bias and Applicability of Prediction Model Studies. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2019, 170, 51.	3.9	1,066
7	Five Steps to Conducting a Systematic Review. <i>Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine</i> , 2003, 96, 118-121.	2.0	819
8	PROBAST: A Tool to Assess Risk of Bias and Applicability of Prediction Model Studies: Explanation and Elaboration. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2019, 170, W1.	3.9	696
9	Sources of Variation and Bias in Studies of Diagnostic Accuracy. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2004, 140, 189.	3.9	679
10	Epidemiology of chronic non-cancer pain in Europe: narrative review of prevalence, pain treatments and pain impact. <i>Current Medical Research and Opinion</i> , 2011, 27, 449-462.	1.9	366
11	A systematic approach to searching: an efficient and complete method to develop literature searches. <i>Journal of the Medical Library Association: JMLA</i> , 2018, 106, 531-541.	1.7	299
12	No role for quality scores in systematic reviews of diagnostic accuracy studies. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2005, 5, 19.	3.1	275
13	Differential Effectiveness of Placebo Treatments. <i>JAMA Internal Medicine</i> , 2013, 173, 1941.	5.1	247
14	How to prepare a systematic review of economic evaluations for informing evidence-based healthcare decisions: a five-step approach (part 1/3). <i>Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research</i> , 2016, 16, 689-704.	1.4	134
15	Quality of Cochrane reviews: assessment of sample from 1998. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2001, 323, 829-832.	2.3	133
16	Ultrasonography in screening for developmental dysplasia of the hip in newborns: systematic review. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2005, 330, 1413.	2.3	108
17	Placebo effect in the treatment of duodenal ulcer. <i>British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology</i> , 1999, 48, 853-860.	2.4	105
18	Healthy Aging in Relation to Chronic Pain and Quality of Life in Europe. <i>Pain Practice</i> , 2014, 14, 547-558.	1.9	83

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	The comprehensiveness of Medline and Embase computer searches. Pharmaceutisch Weekblad Scientific Edition, 1992, 14, 316-320.	0.9	80
20	Patient expectations for management of chronic non-cancer pain: A systematic review. Health Expectations, 2017, 20, 1201-1217.	2.6	80
21	How does study quality affect the results of a diagnostic meta-analysis?. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2005, 5, 20.	3.1	72
22	A systematic review finds that diagnostic reviews fail to incorporate quality despite available tools. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2005, 58, 1-12.	5.0	70
23	Systematic review of tapentadol in chronic severe pain. Current Medical Research and Opinion, 2011, 27, 1907-1930.	1.9	70
24	Epidemiology of Chronic Pain in Denmark and Sweden. Pain Research and Treatment, 2012, 2012, 1-30.	1.7	66
25	Vitamin B6 in the treatment of the premenstrual syndrome-a review. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 1990, 97, 847-852.	2.3	64
26	Systematic review of efficacy and safety of buprenorphine versus fentanyl or morphine in patients with chronic moderate to severe pain. Current Medical Research and Opinion, 2012, 28, 833-845.	1.9	63
27	Context Effects. Evaluation and the Health Professions, 2003, 26, 166-179.	1.9	61
28	Niacin and vitamin B6 in mental functioning: A review of controlled trials in humans. Biological Psychiatry, 1991, 29, 931-941.	1.3	49
29	Evaluation of a new method for librarian-mediated literature searches for systematic reviews. Research Synthesis Methods, 2018, 9, 510-520.	8.7	42
30	Informing participants of allocation to placebo at trial closure: postal survey. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2002, 325, 1329-1329.	2.3	34
31	Reactions to treatment debriefing among the participants of a placebo controlled trial. BMC Health Services Research, 2005, 5, 30.	2.2	30
32	A systematic review found no consistent difference in effect between more and less intensive placebo interventions. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2015, 68, 442-451.	5.0	27
33	Searching Embase and MEDLINE by using only major descriptors or title and abstract fields: a prospective exploratory study. Systematic Reviews, 2018, 7, 200.	5.3	24
34	A Systematic Review and Mixed Treatment Comparison of Pharmaceutical Interventions for Multiple Sclerosis. Neurology and Therapy, 2020, 9, 359-374.	3.2	24
35	Systematic review of adverse events of buprenorphine patch versus fentanyl patch in patients with chronic moderate-to-severe pain. Pain Management, 2012, 2, 351-362.	1.5	17
36	The value of predicting restriction of fetal growth and compromise of its wellbeing: Systematic quantitative overviews (meta-analysis) of test accuracy literature. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2007, 7, 3.	2.4	8

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Applicability of diagnostic studies – statistics, bias and estimates of diagnostic accuracy. Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen, 2011, 105, 498-503.	0.9	2
38	Evidence-Based Assessment of PET in Germany. Journal of Nuclear Medicine, 2012, 53, 1166.2-1167.	5.0	0