
List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/108046/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The challenges with managing polycystic ovary syndrome: A qualitative study of women's and clinicians' experiences. Patient Education and Counseling, 2022, 105, 719-725.	1.0	19
2	"lt's about our bodies… we have the right to know this stuff― A qualitative focus group study on Australian women's perspectives on breast density. Patient Education and Counseling, 2022, 105, 632-640.	1.0	6
3	Perceptions of Conflicting Breast Cancer Screening Recommendations Among Racially/Ethnically Diverse Women: a Multimethod Study. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2022, 37, 1145-1154.	1.3	3
4	Australian Women's Intentions and Psychological Outcomes Related to Breast Density Notification and Information. JAMA Network Open, 2022, 5, e2216784.	2.8	5
5	Effects of awareness of breast cancer overdiagnosis among women with screen-detected or incidentally found breast cancer: a qualitative interview study. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e061211.	0.8	6
6	Experiences of Patient-Led Surveillance, Including Patient-Performed Teledermoscopy, in the MEL-SELF Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial: Qualitative Interview Study. JMIR Dermatology, 2022, 5, e35916.	0.4	3
7	Breast density notification: evidence on whether benefit outweighs harm is required to inform future screening practice. BMJ Evidence-Based Medicine, 2021, 26, 309-311.	1.7	14
8	"l would not go to him― Focus groups exploring community responses to a public health campaign aimed at reducing unnecessary diagnostic imaging of low back pain. Health Expectations, 2021, 24, 648-658.	1.1	12
9	Psychosocial and clinical predictors of continued cancer screening in older adults. Patient Education and Counseling, 2021, 104, 3093-3096.	1.0	10
10	Polycystic ovary syndrome: why widening the diagnostic criteria may be harming women. BMJ, The, 2021, 373, n700.	3.0	2
11	Informing Women About Overdetection in Breast Cancer Screening: Two-Year Outcomes From a Randomized Trial. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2021, 113, 1523-1530.	3.0	15
12	General practitioners' (GPs) understanding and views on breast density in Australia: a qualitative interview study. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e047513.	0.8	8
13	Factors involved in treatment decision making for women diagnosed with ductal carcinoma in situ: A qualitative study. Breast, 2021, 60, 123-130.	0.9	2
14	Preferences for More or Less Health Care and Association With Health Literacy of Men Eligible for Prostate-Specific Antigen Screening in Australia. JAMA Network Open, 2021, 4, e2128380.	2.8	5
15	Women's Acceptance of Overdetection in Breast Cancer Screening: Can We Assess Harm-Benefit Tradeoffs?. Medical Decision Making, 2020, 40, 42-51.	1.2	13
16	Authors' response. Medical Decision Making, 2020, 40, 715-717.	1.2	0
17	Views of healthcare professionals about the role of active monitoring in the management of ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS): Qualitative interview study. Breast, 2020, 54, 99-105.	0.9	9
18	Mammography screening for breast cancer—the UK Age trial. Lancet Oncology, The, 2020, 21, e504.	5.1	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Effect of different communication strategies about stopping cancer screening on screening intention and cancer anxiety: a randomised online trial of older adults in Australia. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e034061.	0.8	11
20	Clinicians' perspectives on diagnosing polycystic ovary syndrome in Australia: a qualitative study. Human Reproduction, 2020, 35, 660-668.	0.4	18
21	Evaluating two decision aids for Australian men supporting informed decisions about prostate cancer screening: A randomised controlled trial. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0227304.	1.1	6
22	Impact of a diagnosis of polycystic ovary syndrome on diet, physical activity and contraceptive use in young women: findings from the Australian Longitudinal Study of Women's Health. Human Reproduction, 2020, 35, 394-403.	0.4	13
23	The benefits and harms of receiving a polycystic ovary syndrome diagnosis: a qualitative study of women's experiences. Human Reproduction Open, 2019, 2019, hoz026.	2.3	28
24	Patients' Views About Skin Self-examination After Treatment for Localized Melanoma. JAMA Dermatology, 2019, 155, 914.	2.0	22
25	Examining the information needed for acceptance of deintensified screening programmes: qualitative focus groups about cervical screening in Australia. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e029319.	0.8	15
26	Resisting recommended treatment for prostate cancer: a qualitative analysis of the lived experience of possible overdiagnosis. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e026960.	0.8	12
27	Could disease labelling have positive effects? An experimental study exploring the effect of the chronic fatigue syndrome label on intended social support. Patient Education and Counseling, 2019, 102, 486-493.	1.0	5
28	15â€The effect of different communication strategies about stopping cancer screening on inyention to screen and cancer anxiety: a randomised online study in older adults. , 2019, , .		0
29	Decision-making about mammographic screening: pursuing informed choice. Climacteric, 2018, 21, 209-213.	1.1	18
30	Using a decision aid may prompt some younger women (38–50 years) to rethink breast cancer screening plans. Evidence-based Nursing, 2018, 21, 10-11.	0.1	1
31	Should women aged 70–74 be invited to participate in screening mammography? A report on two Australian community juries. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e021174.	0.8	21
32	Participant experiences of two successful habit-based weight-loss interventions in Australia: a qualitative study. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e020146.	0.8	15
33	Impact of extending screening mammography to older women Information to support informed choices. International Journal of Cancer, 2017, 141, 1540-1550.	2.3	17
34	Communicating about overdiagnosis: Learning from community focus groups on osteoporosis. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0170142.	1.1	16
35	Improving communication about cancer screening: moving towards informed decision making. Public Health Research and Practice, 2017, 27, .	0.7	32
36	How information about overdetection changes breast cancer screening decisions: a mediation analysis within a randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e016246.	0.8	7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Information on 'Overdiagnosis' in Breast Cancer Screening on Prominent United Kingdom- and Australia-Oriented Health Websites. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0152279.	1.1	8
38	The differential effects of presenting uncertainty around benefits and harms on treatment decision making. Patient Education and Counseling, 2016, 99, 974-980.	1.0	12
39	The influence of the â€~cancer effect' on young women's responses to overdiagnosis in cervical screening. Patient Education and Counseling, 2016, 99, 1568-1575.	1.0	9
40	Public preferences for communicating personal genomic risk information: a focus group study. Health Expectations, 2016, 19, 1203-1214.	1.1	28
41	The importance of enabling informed decision making for women considering breast cancer screening. Journal of Medical Screening, 2016, 23, 55-55.	1.1	3
42	Walking the tightrope: communicating overdiagnosis in modern healthcare. BMJ, The, 2016, 352, i348.	3.0	69
43	Informed and Shared Decision Making in Breast Screening. , 2016, , 403-420.		2
44	Exploring the Potential Emotional and Behavioural Impact of Providing Personalised Genomic Risk Information to the Public: A Focus Group Study. Public Health Genomics, 2015, 18, 309-317.	0.6	15
45	Public Opinions about Overdiagnosis: A National Community Survey. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0125165.	1.1	48
46	How different terminology for ductal carcinoma in situ impacts women's concern and treatment preferences: a randomised comparison within a national community survey. BMJ Open, 2015, 5, e008094.	0.8	46
47	Use of a decision aid including information on overdetection to support informed choice about breast cancer screening: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet, The, 2015, 385, 1642-1652.	6.3	235
48	What do you think overdiagnosis means? A qualitative analysis of responses from a national community survey of Australians. BMJ Open, 2015, 5, e007436-e007436.	0.8	31
49	How different terminology for ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) impacts women's concern and management preferences: A qualitative study. Breast, 2015, 24, 673-679.	0.9	21
50	Overdetection in breast cancer screening: development and preliminary evaluation of a decision aid. BMJ Open, 2014, 4, e006016-e006016.	0.8	31
51	The effect of information about overdetection of breast cancer on women's decision-making about mammography screening: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open, 2014, 4, e004990.	0.8	35
52	Women's views on overdiagnosis in breast cancer screening: a qualitative study. BMJ, The, 2013, 346, f158-f158.	3.0	135
53	Lexical Quality and Reading Skill: Bottom-Up and Top-Down Contributions to Sentence Processing. Scientific Studies of Reading, 2012, 16, 240-262.	1.3	44
54	How do we achieve informed choice for women considering breast screening?. Preventive Medicine, 2011, 53, 144-146.	1.6	36

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Reply to Braillon and Nicot. Preventive Medicine, 2011, 53, 438.	1.6	0
56	Neurocognitive deficits and psychiatric disorders in a NSW prison population. International Journal of Law and Psychiatry, 2010, 33, 20-26.	0.5	28
57	Lexical precision in skilled readers: Individual differences in masked neighbor priming Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 2010, 139, 299-318.	1.5	138
58	Psychosocial interventions and quality of life in gynaecological cancer patients: a systematic review. Psycho-Oncology, 2009, 18, 795-810.	1.0	96
59	Information About Overdetection Improves Knowledge Without Affecting Participation in Breast Cancer Screening: Two-Year Outcomes of a Randomised Controlled Trial. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, ,	0.4	0