Benjamin M Craig

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/10773234/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



RENIAMIN M CDAIC

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Incidence of the myelodysplastic syndromes using a novel claims-based algorithm: high number of uncaptured cases by cancer registries. Blood, 2011, 117, 7121-7125.	0.6	191
2	Cost-effectiveness of gastric bypass for severe obesity. American Journal of Medicine, 2002, 113, 491-498.	0.6	150
3	Deriving a Preference-Based Measure for Cancer Using the EORTC QLQ-C30. Value in Health, 2011, 14, 721-731.	0.1	132
4	Handling Data Quality Issues to Estimate the Spanish EQ-5D-5L Value Set Using a Hybrid Interval Regression Approach. Value in Health, 2018, 21, 596-604.	0.1	129
5	US Valuation of Health Outcomes Measured Using the PROMIS-29. Value in Health, 2014, 17, 846-853.	0.1	117
6	Reporting Formative Qualitative Research to Support the Development of Quantitative Preference Study Protocols and Corresponding Survey Instruments: Guidelines for Authors and Reviewers. Patient, 2020, 13, 121-136.	1.1	106
7	Comparison of US Panel Vendors for Online Surveys. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2013, 15, e260.	2.1	96
8	Underreporting of Myeloid Malignancies by United States Cancer Registries. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2012, 21, 474-481.	1.1	66
9	Modeling Ranking, Time Trade-Off, and Visual Analog Scale Values for EQ-5D Health States. Medical Care, 2009, 47, 634-641.	1.1	63
10	US Valuation of the SF-6D. Medical Decision Making, 2013, 33, 793-803.	1.2	60
11	Health problems are more common, but less severe when measured using newer EQ-5D versions. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2014, 67, 93-99.	2.4	57
12	Keep it simple: Ranking health states yields values similar to cardinal measurement approaches. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2009, 62, 296-305.	2.4	43
13	Choice Defines QALYs. Medical Care, 2018, 56, 529-536.	1.1	41
14	Health Preference Research: An Overview. Patient, 2017, 10, 507-510.	1.1	37
15	The episodic random utility model unifies time trade-off and discrete choice approaches in health state valuation. Population Health Metrics, 2009, 7, 3.	1.3	36
16	Valuation of Child Healthâ€Related Quality of Life in the United States. Health Economics (United) Tj ETQq0 0 0	rgBT/Ove	rlo <u>gk</u> 10 Tf 50

17	Demographic Differences in Health Preferences in the United States. Medical Care, 2014, 52, 307-313.	1.1	30
18	A Generation of Childless Women: Lessons from the United States. Women's Health Issues, 2014, 24, e21-e27.	0.9	29

BENJAMIN M CRAIG

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Peruvian Valuation of the EQ-5D-5L: A Direct Comparison of Time Trade-Off and Discrete Choice Experiments. Value in Health, 2020, 23, 880-888.	0.1	25
20	Extended Self-Help for Smoking Cessation. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 2016, 51, 54-62.	1.6	24
21	Do Seniors Get The Medicines Prescribed For Them? Evidence From The 1996–1999 Medicare Current Beneficiary Survey. Health Affairs, 2003, 22, 175-182.	2.5	22
22	Quality-Adjusted Life-Years without Constant Proportionality. Value in Health, 2018, 21, 1124-1131.	0.1	22
23	Choice Defines Value: A Predictive Modeling Competition in Health Preference Research. Value in Health, 2018, 21, 229-238.	0.1	20
24	From a different angle: A novel approach to health valuation. Social Science and Medicine, 2010, 70, 169-174.	1.8	19
25	The duration effect: a link between TTO and VAS values. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2009, 18, 217-225.	0.8	17
26	Learning and Satisficing: An Analysis of Sequence Effects in Health Valuation. Value in Health, 2015, 18, 217-223.	0.1	17
27	Examining the Value of Menopausal Symptom Relief Among US Women. Value in Health, 2016, 19, 158-166.	0.1	17
28	QALYs for COVID-19: A Comparison of US EQ-5D-5L Value Sets. Patient, 2021, 14, 339-345.	1.1	17
29	Comparing and transforming PROMIS utility values to the EQ-5D. Quality of Life Research, 2018, 27, 725-733.	1.5	16
30	Toward a more universal approach in health valuation. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2011, 20, 864-875.	0.8	15
31	Revisiting United States valuation of EQ-5D states. Journal of Health Economics, 2011, 30, 1057-1063.	1.3	14
32	Relative risk of a shuffled deck: a generalizable logical consistency criterion for sample selection in health state valuation studies. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2006, 15, 835-848.	0.8	13
33	Out-of-Pocket Prices of Opioid Analgesics in the United States, 1999–2004. Pain Medicine, 2010, 11, 240-247.	0.9	13
34	Do health preferences contradict ordering of EQ-5D labels?. Quality of Life Research, 2015, 24, 1759-1765.	1.5	13
35	Diagnostic testing, treatment, cost of care, and survival among registered and non-registered patients with myelodysplastic syndromes. Leukemia Research, 2011, 35, 1453-1456.	0.4	11
36	Further evidence on EQ-5D-5L preference inversion: a Brazil/U.S. collaboration. Quality of Life Research, 2017, 26, 2489-2496.	1.5	11

Benjamin M Craig

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	The Value Adults Place on Child Health and Functional Status. Value in Health, 2015, 18, 449-456.	0.1	10
38	Birth desires and intentions of women diagnosed with a meningioma. Journal of Neurosurgery, 2015, 122, 1151-1156.	0.9	10
39	Prevalence and Losses in Quality-Adjusted Life Years of Child Health Conditions: A Burden of Disease Analysis. Maternal and Child Health Journal, 2016, 20, 862-869.	0.7	10
40	Valuation of Child Behavioral Problems from the Perspective of US Adults. Medical Decision Making, 2016, 36, 199-209.	1.2	10
41	The Impact of a Revised EQ-5D Population Scoring on Preference-Based Utility Scores in an Inflammatory Arthritis Cohort. Value in Health, 2011, 14, 921-927.	0.1	6
42	Unchained melody: revisiting the estimation of SF-6D values. European Journal of Health Economics, 2016, 17, 865-873.	1.4	5
43	Does Device or Connection Type Affect Health Preferences in Online Surveys?. Patient, 2019, 12, 639-650.	1.1	5
44	A randomized clinical trial of self-help intervention for smoking cessation: Research design, interventions, and baseline data. Contemporary Clinical Trials, 2014, 38, 284-290.	0.8	4
45	Simulating the contribution of a biospecimen and clinical data repository in a phase II clinical trial: A value of information analysis. Statistical Methods in Medical Research, 2016, 25, 1303-1312.	0.7	4
46	Health Valuation: Demonstrating the Value of Health and Lifespan. Patient, 2017, 10, 515-517.	1.1	4
47	Exploring the importance of controlling heteroskedasticity and heterogeneity in health valuation: a case study on Dutch EQ-5D-5L. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2022, 20, .	1.0	4
48	Examining the Association Between Maternal Smoking During Pregnancy and Child Behavior Problems Using Quality-Adjusted Life Years. Maternal and Child Health Journal, 2018, 22, 1780-1788.	0.7	3
49	The Value Employees Place on Health Insurance Plans: A Discrete-Choice Experiment. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2019, 17, 817-825.	1.0	2
50	Does Controlling for Scale Heterogeneity Better Explain Respondents' Preference Segmentation in Discrete Choice Experiments? A Case Study of US Health Insurance Demand. Medical Decision Making, 2021, 41, 573-583.	1.2	2
51	Using stated-preferences methods to develop a summary metric to determine successful treatment of children with a surgical condition: a study protocol. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e062833.	0.8	2