

Andr s J nosi

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/10716221/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

20
papers

3,301
citations

840119

11
h-index

713013

21
g-index

23
all docs

23
docs citations

23
times ranked

3723
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Rosuvastatin in Older Patients with Systolic Heart Failure. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2007, 357, 2248-2261.	13.9	1,330
2	Effects of Controlled-Release Metoprolol on Total Mortality, Hospitalizations, and Well-being in Patients With Heart Failure. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2000, 283, 1295.	3.8	1,193
3	International application of a new probability algorithm for the diagnosis of coronary artery disease. <i>American Journal of Cardiology</i> , 1989, 64, 304-310.	0.7	392
4	Factors affecting sensitivity and specificity of a diagnostic test: the exercise thallium scintigram. <i>American Journal of Medicine</i> , 1988, 84, 699-710.	0.6	117
5	Metoprolol CR/XL in postmyocardial infarction patients with chronic heart failure: experiences from MERIT-HF. <i>American Heart Journal</i> , 2003, 146, 721-728.	1.2	60
6	Usefulness of exercise-induced ST-segment depression in the inferior leads during exercise testing as a marker for coronary artery disease. <i>American Journal of Cardiology</i> , 1992, 69, 303-307.	0.7	52
7	Computer probability estimates of angiographic coronary artery disease: Transportability and comparison with cardiologists' estimates. <i>Journal of Biomedical Informatics</i> , 1992, 25, 468-485.	0.7	28
8	Comparing machine learning and regression models for mortality prediction based on the Hungarian Myocardial Infarction Registry. <i>Knowledge-Based Systems</i> , 2019, 179, 1-7.	4.0	26
9	An economic evaluation of rosuvastatin treatment in systolic heart failure: evidence from the CORONA trial. <i>European Journal of Heart Failure</i> , 2010, 12, 66-74.	2.9	16
10	Can computerization of the exercise test replace the cardiologist?. <i>American Heart Journal</i> , 1998, 136, 543-552.	1.2	13
11	Comparison of Platelet Function Guided Versus Unguided Treatment With P2Y12 Inhibitors in Patients With Acute Myocardial Infarction (from the Hungarian Myocardial Infarction Registry). <i>American Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2018, 121, 1129-1137.	0.7	11
12	Reliability of bayesian probability analysis for predicting coronary artery disease in a veterans hospital. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 1988, 41, 599-605.	2.4	10
13	Underuse of coronary intervention and its impact on mortality in the elderly with myocardial infarction. A propensity-matched analysis from the Hungarian Myocardial Infarction Registry. <i>International Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2016, 214, 485-490.	0.8	9
14	The Reliability of Probability Analysis in the Prediction of Coronary Artery Disease in Two Hospitals. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 1989, 9, 181-189.	1.2	8
15	Does Gender Have Prognostic Value Among Patients with Myocardial Infarction? Analysis of the Data from the Hungarian Myocardial Infarction Registry. <i>Journal of Women's Health</i> , 2018, 27, 1491-1498.	1.5	5
16	Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in patients treated for ST-elevation acute myocardial infarction: Incidence, clinical features, and prognosis based on population-level data from Hungary. <i>Resuscitation Plus</i> , 2021, 6, 100113.	0.6	3
17	Arrhythmias of a sudden traumatic death. <i>Journal of Electrocardiology</i> , 2004, 37, 227-230.	0.4	1
18	Use of drug-eluting stents in elderly patients with acute myocardial infarction. <i>International Journal of Clinical Practice</i> , 2021, 75, e13652.	0.8	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Oral anticoagulation and outcomes in patients with acute myocardial infarction: Insights from the Hungarian Myocardial Infarction Registry. International Journal of Clinical Practice, 2021, 75, e14179.	0.8	1
20	Incidence, pre-hospital delay and prognosis of acute myocardial infarction in big regions of Hungary: Population data from the Hungarian myocardial infarction registry. International Journal of Clinical Practice, 2021, 75, e14831.	0.8	1