List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1071303/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	New bibliometric tools for the assessment of national research performance: Database description, overview of indicators and first applications. Scientometrics, 1995, 33, 381-422.	1.6	396
2	Title is missing!. Scientometrics, 2001, 51, 335-346.	1.6	297
3	Towards a new crown indicator: Some theoretical considerations. Journal of Informetrics, 2011, 5, 37-47.	1.4	290
4	The Leiden ranking 2011/2012: Data collection, indicators, and interpretation. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2012, 63, 2419-2432.	2.6	284
5	The application of bibliometric analyses in the evaluation of social science research. Who benefits from it, and why it is still feasible. Scientometrics, 2006, 66, 133-154.	1.6	181
6	Title is missing!. Scientometrics, 2002, 54, 381-397.	1.6	178
7	Towards a new crown indicator: an empirical analysis. Scientometrics, 2011, 87, 467-481.	1.6	175
8	Improving the accuracy of institute for scientific information's journal impact factors. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 1995, 46, 461-467.	1.2	174
9	Comparative analysis of a set of bibliometric indicators and central peer review criteria. Research Policy, 1998, 27, 95-107.	3.3	165
10	The Psychology of Morality: A Review and Analysis of Empirical Studies Published From 1940 Through 2017. Personality and Social Psychology Review, 2019, 23, 332-366.	3.4	164
11	Title is missing!. Scientometrics, 2003, 57, 257-280.	1.6	161
12	Some modifications to the SNIP journal impact indicator. Journal of Informetrics, 2013, 7, 272-285.	1.4	141
13	Self-citations at the meso and individual levels: effects of different calculation methods. Scientometrics, 2010, 82, 517-537.	1.6	105
14	Interdisciplinary dynamics of modern science: analysis of cross-disciplinary citation flows. Research Evaluation, 2000, 9, 183-187.	1.3	104
15	Title is missing!. Scientometrics, 2000, 47, 389-412.	1.6	101
16	Measuring knowledge transfer between fields of science. Scientometrics, 2002, 54, 347-362.	1.6	100
17	Influence of interdisciplinarity on peer-review and bibliometric evaluations in physics research. Research Policy, 2001, 30, 357-361.	3.3	93
18	Citation delay in interdisciplinary knowledge exchange. Scientometrics, 2001, 51, 293-309.	1.6	83

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Assessment of the scientific basis of interdisciplinary, applied research. Research Policy, 2002, 31, 611-632.	3.3	82
20	Approaching the "reward triangleâ€: General analysis of the presence of funding acknowledgments and "peer interactive communicationâ€in scientific publications. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2012, 63, 1647-1661.	2.6	81
21	Towards appropriate indicators of journal impact. Scientometrics, 1999, 46, 575-589.	1.6	79
22	Rivals for the crown: Reply to Opthof and Leydesdorff. Journal of Informetrics, 2010, 4, 431-435.	1.4	79
23	Is scientific literature subject to a â€~Sellâ€Byâ€Date'? A general methodology to analyze the â€~durability' scientific documents. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2010, 61, 329-339.	<sup>™</sup> of 2.6	77
24	Using Google Scholar in research evaluation of humanities and social science programs: A comparison with Web of Science data. Research Evaluation, 2016, 25, 264-270.	1.3	77
25	Development and application of journal impact measures in the Dutch science system. Scientometrics, 2002, 53, 249-266.	1.6	74
26	Evidence of open access of scientific publications in Google Scholar: A large-scale analysis. Journal of Informetrics, 2018, 12, 819-841.	1.4	74
27	Benchmarking university–industry research cooperation worldwide: performance measurements and indicators based on co-authorship data for the world's largest universities. Research Evaluation, 2009, 18, 13-24.	1.3	70
28	A critical analysis of the journal impact factors ofAngewandte Chemie and the journal of the American Chemical Society inaccuracies in published impact factors based on overall citations only. Scientometrics, 1996, 37, 105-116.	1.6	69
29	Severe language effect in university rankings: particularly Germany and France are wronged in citation-based rankings. Scientometrics, 2011, 88, 495-498.	1.6	69
30	Impact measures of interdisciplinary research in physics. Scientometrics, 2002, 53, 241-248.	1.6	60
31	Characteristics of journal impact factors: The effects of uncitedness and citation distribution on the understanding of journal impact factors. Scientometrics, 2005, 63, 357-371.	1.6	58
32	Using altmetrics for contextualised mapping of societal impact: From hits to networks. Science and Public Policy, 2018, 45, 815-826.	1.2	56
33	Scientific output of Dutch medical students. Medical Teacher, 2010, 32, 231-235.	1.0	55
34	A scientometric overview of CORD-19. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0244839.	1.1	51
35	A bibliometric classificatory approach for the study and assessment of research performance at the individual level: The effects of age on productivity and impact. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2010, 61, 1564-1581.	2.6	48
36	Scientific capabilities and technological performance of national innovation systems: An exploration of emerging industrial relevant research domains. Scientometrics, 2006, 66, 295-310.	1.6	44

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	How relevant are local scholarly journals in global science? A case study of South Africa. Research Evaluation, 2006, 15, 163-174.	1.3	43
38	Open Access uptake by universities worldwide. PeerJ, 2020, 8, e9410.	0.9	43
39	Research cooperation within the bio-pharmaceutical industry: Network analyses of co-publications within and between firms. Scientometrics, 2007, 71, 87-99.	1.6	40
40	Book reviews in humanities research evaluations. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2011, 62, 1979-1991.	2.6	40
41	Discussing some basic critique on Journal Impact Factors: revision of earlier comments. Scientometrics, 2012, 92, 443-455.	1.6	39
42	Testing the validity of the Hirsch-index for research assessment purposes. Research Evaluation, 2008, 17, 157-160.	1.3	37
43	First evidence of serious language-bias in the use of citation analysis for the evaluation of national science systems. Research Evaluation, 2000, 9, 155-156.	1.3	36
44	Bibliometric analysis of output and impact based on CRIS data: a case study on the registered output of a Dutch university. Scientometrics, 2016, 106, 1-16.	1.6	36
45	Scientific activity by medical students: the relationship between academic publishing during medical school and publication careers after graduation. Perspectives on Medical Education, 2022, 8, 223-229.	1.8	36
46	The "Mendel syndrome―in science: durability of scientific literature and its effects on bibliometric analysis of individual scientists. Scientometrics, 2011, 89, 177-205.	1.6	35
47	Redefining the field of economics: Improving field normalization for the application of bibliometric techniques in the field of economics. Research Evaluation, 2012, 21, 61-70.	1.3	35
48	Highly cited non-journal publications in political science, economics and psychology: a first exploration. Scientometrics, 2010, 83, 363-374.	1.6	34
49	Modelling of bibliometric approaches and importance of output verification in research performance assessment. Research Evaluation, 2007, 16, 93-105.	1.3	32
50	Scaling rules in the science system: Influence of fieldâ€specific citation characteristics on the impact of individual researchers. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2009, 60, 740-753.	2.6	31
51	The role of editorial material in bibliometric research performance assessments. Scientometrics, 2013, 95, 817-828.	1.6	31
52	The use of combined bibliometric methods in research funding policy. Research Evaluation, 2001, 10, 195-201.	1.3	30
53	Strength and weakness of national science systems: A bibliometric analysis through cooperation patterns. Scientometrics, 2009, 79, 389-408.	1.6	30
54	Non-English papers decrease rankings. Nature, 2011, 469, 34-34.	13.7	30

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Funding decisions, peer review, and scientific excellence in physical sciences, chemistry, and geosciences. Research Evaluation, 2012, 21, 189-198.	1.3	28
56	The effect of booming countries on changes in the relative specialization index (RSI) on country level. Scientometrics, 2014, 101, 1391-1401.	1.6	28
57	The Ethics Ecosystem: Personal Ethics, Network Governance and Regulating Actors Governing the Use of Social Media Research Data. Minerva, 2019, 57, 317-343.	1.4	28
58	Measuring impacts of academic science on industrial research: A citation-based approach. Scientometrics, 2006, 66, 55-69.	1.6	25
59	Bibliometric Analysis of Psychotherapy Research: Performance Assessment and Position in the Journal Landscape. Psychotherapy Research, 2003, 13, 511-528.	1.1	23
60	Referencing patterns of individual researchers: Do top scientists rely on more extensive information sources?. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2012, 63, 2433-2450.	2.6	22
61	On the correlation between bibliometric indicators and peer review: reply to Opthof and Leydesdorff. Scientometrics, 2011, 88, 1017-1022.	1.6	19
62	On generalising scientometric journal mapping beyond ISI's journal and citation databases. Scientometrics, 1995, 33, 93-116.	1.6	18
63	Scientific publication activity of industry in the Netherlands. Research Evaluation, 1996, 6, 105-119.	1.3	16
64	Development and Application of New Journal Impact Measures. Cortex, 2001, 37, 607-610.	1.1	13
65	Publication trends in social psychology journals: A longâ€ŧerm bibliometric analysis. European Journal of Social Psychology, 2013, 43, 9-11.	1.5	13
66	Analysing robustness and uncertainty levels of bibliometric performance statistics supporting science policy. A case study evaluating Danish postdoctoral funding. Research Evaluation, 2014, 23, 285-297.	1.3	13
67	Exploring possibilities to use bibliometric data to monitor gold open access publishing at the national level. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2018, 69, 1161-1173.	1.5	13
68	Effects of the durability of scientific literature at the group level: Case study of chemistry research groups in the Netherlands. Research Policy, 2013, 42, 886-894.	3.3	12
69	Assessing multidisciplinary areas of science and technology: A synthetic bibliometric study of Dutch nuclear energy research. Scientometrics, 1993, 26, 115-133.	1.6	11
70	Analysis of Publications on Journal Impact Factor Over Time. Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics, 2017, 2, .	0.9	11
71	UK Doubles Its "World-Leading―Research in Life Sciences and Medicine in Six Years: Testing the Claim?. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0132990.	1.1	11
72	Classification of 'research letters' in general medical journals and its consequences in bibliometric research evaluation processes. Research Evaluation, 2007, 16, 59-63.	1.3	10

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	A Longitudinal Analysis of Publications on Maternal Mortality. Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology, 2015, 29, 481-489.	0.8	10
74	Measuring the productivity of national R&D systems: Challenges in cross-national comparisons of R&D input and publication output indicators. Science and Public Policy, 0, , scw058.	1.2	10
75	Calibration of bibliometric indicators in space exploration research: a comparison of citation impact measurement of the space and ground-based life and physical sciences. Research Evaluation, 2012, 21, 79-85.	1.3	9
76	Trends in publication output and impact of universities in the Netherlands. Research Evaluation, 1999, 8, 60-67.	1.3	8
77	Assessing publication rates from medical students' mandatory research projects in the Netherlands: a follow-up study of 10 cohorts of medical students. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e056053.	0.8	7
78	Integrating metrics to measure research performance in social sciences and humanities: The case of the Spanish CSIC. Research Evaluation, 0, , rvw018.	1.3	6
79	Evaluative Inquiry: Engaging research evaluation analytically and strategically. , 2019, , .		6
80	Measuring Open Access Uptake: Data Sources, Expectations, and Misconceptions. Scholarly Assessment Reports, 2020, 2, .	1.8	6
81	Is the randomized controlled drug trial in Europe lagging behind the USA?. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, 2008, 66, 774-780.	1.1	5
82	Using bibliometrics to support the procurement of NIHR biomedical research centres in England. Research Evaluation, 2009, 18, 71-82.	1.3	5
83	In vitro studies of osteosarcoma: A researcher's perspectiveÂof quantity and quality. Journal of Bone Oncology, 2017, 7, 29-31.	1.0	5
84	An empirical investigation of the tribes and their territories: Are research specialisms rural and urban?. Journal of Informetrics, 2019, 13, 105-117.	1.4	5
85	Knowledge production at industrial research institutes: Institutional logics and struggles for relevance in the Swedish Institute for Surface Chemistry, 1980–2005. Research Evaluation, 2017, 26, 337-348.	1.3	3
86	Exploring the relevance of ORCID as a source of study of data sharing activities at the individual-level: a methodological discussion. Scientometrics, 2021, 126, 7149-7165.	1.6	2
87	Using Almetrics for Contextualised Mapping of Societal Impact: From Hits to Networks. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	1
88	On the Social Uses of Scientometrics: The Quantification of Academic Evaluation and the Rise of Numerocracy in Higher Education. , 2019, , 89-119.		1
89	Performance of European Science: Research Networks and Profiles of EU Countries in a Global Perspective. , 2009, , .		0
90	The joint cardiovascular research profile of the university medical centres in the Netherlands. Netherlands Heart Journal, 2016, 24, 308-316.	0.3	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	Examining national citation impact by comparing developments in a fixed and a dynamic journal set. Scientometrics, 2019, 119, 973-985.	1.6	0
92	Freeloading in biomedical research. Scientometrics, 2020, 122, 47-55.	1.6	0
93	Bibliometrics Monitoring Emerging Fields. A Bibliometric Methodology for Exploring Interdisciplinary, 'Unorthodox' Fields of Science. A Case Study of Environmental Medicine. Sozialtheorie, 2001, , 85-122.	0.0	0
94	QRiH: Towards a fitting system for humanities research evaluation. , 2019, , .		0