

Jesper Tijmstra

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1056607/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

18
papers

358
citations

1163117

8
h-index

839539

18
g-index

18
all docs

18
docs citations

18
times ranked

194
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Scale length does matter: Recommendations for measurement invariance testing with categorical factor analysis and item response theory approaches. <i>Behavior Research Methods</i> , 2022, 54, 2114-2145.	4.0	11
2	Improving the Precision of Ability Estimates Using Time-On-Task Variables: Insights From the PISA 2012 Computer-Based Assessment of Mathematics. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2021, 12, 579128.	2.1	9
3	Accounting for individual differences in speed in the discretized signed residual time model. <i>British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology</i> , 2021, 74, 176-198.	1.4	1
4	Sensitivity of the RMSD for Detecting Item-Level Misfit in Low-Performing Countries. <i>Journal of Educational Measurement</i> , 2020, 57, 566-583.	1.2	19
5	Psychometric Modelling of Longitudinal Genetically Informative Twin Data. <i>Frontiers in Genetics</i> , 2019, 10, 837.	2.3	5
6	Modeling Differences Between Response Times of Correct and Incorrect Responses. <i>Psychometrika</i> , 2019, 84, 1018-1046.	2.1	3
7	Bayes Factors for Evaluating Latent Monotonicity in Polytomous Item Response Theory Models. <i>Psychometrika</i> , 2019, 84, 846-869.	2.1	4
8	Why checking model assumptions using null hypothesis significance tests does not suffice: A plea for plausibility. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2018, 25, 548-559.	2.8	9
9	General mixture item response models with different item response structures: Exposition with an application to Likert scales. <i>Behavior Research Methods</i> , 2018, 50, 2325-2344.	4.0	25
10	Improving precision of ability estimation: Getting more from response times. <i>British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology</i> , 2018, 71, 13-38.	1.4	39
11	Item-Score Reliability as a Selection Tool in Test Construction. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2018, 9, 2298.	2.1	72
12	Modelling Conditional Dependence Between Response Time and Accuracy. <i>Psychometrika</i> , 2017, 82, 1126-1148.	2.1	59
13	Response moderation models for conditional dependence between response time and response accuracy. <i>British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology</i> , 2017, 70, 257-279.	1.4	34
14	Conditional Dependence between Response Time and Accuracy: An Overview of its Possible Sources and Directions for Distinguishing between Them. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2017, 8, 202.	2.1	30
15	Posterior Predictive Checks for Conditional Independence Between Response Time and Accuracy. <i>Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics</i> , 2016, 41, 123-145.	1.7	18
16	Can Response Speed Be Fixed Experimentally, and Does This Lead to Unconfounded Measurement of Ability?. <i>Measurement</i> , 2015, 13, 165-168.	0.2	3
17	Evaluating Manifest Monotonicity Using Bayes Factors. <i>Psychometrika</i> , 2015, 80, 880-896.	2.1	9
18	Testing Manifest Monotonicity Using Order-Constrained Statistical Inference. <i>Psychometrika</i> , 2013, 78, 83-97.	2.1	8