
## Min-Shik Kim

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/10527364/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



MIN-SHIK KIM

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Implicit learning of a response-contingent task. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2022, 84, 540-552.	1.3	1
2	Context affects implicit learning of spatial bias depending on task relevance. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2020, 82, 1728-1743.	1.3	4
3	Simple action planning can affect attentional allocation in subsequent visual search. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2020, 27, 1014-1024.	2.8	Ο
4	Neural representation of unconsciously predicted visual information in the visual cortex Journal of Vision, 2020, 20, 961.	0.3	0
5	The role of attention in the action effect. Journal of Vision, 2019, 19, 140b.	0.3	1
6	Reduction of attentional bias through gradual signal change. Journal of Vision, 2019, 19, 232b.	0.3	0
7	Task relevance affects the context-dependency of implicit learning. Journal of Vision, 2018, 18, 643.	0.3	0
8	Gamma-Band Activities in Mouse Frontal and Visual Cortex Induced by Coherent Dot Motion. Scientific Reports, 2017, 7, 43780.	3.3	13
9	Independent operation of implicit working memory under cognitive load. Consciousness and Cognition, 2017, 55, 214-222.	1.5	1
10	Social Contagion in Competitors Versus Cooperators. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2016, 30, 305-313.	1.6	4
11	Implicit learning of a speed-contingent target feature. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2016, 23, 803-808.	2.8	3
12	Attentional effects of the sex of faces in biased sex-ratio context. Korean Journal of Cognitive and Biological Psychology, 2014, 26, 21-40.	0.0	0
13	The Effects of Task-irrelevant Color Uniformity in Attentional Blink. Korean Journal of Cognitive and Biological Psychology, 2012, 24, 281-293.	0.0	0
14	Predictive spatial working memory content guides visual search. Visual Cognition, 2010, 18, 574-590.	1.6	5
15	Do the contents of working memory capture attention? Yes, but cognitive control matters Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2009, 35, 1292-1302.	0.9	110
16	The Effect of Content Familiarity on Memory-Based Attention Allocation. Korean Journal of Cognitive and Biological Psychology, 2009, 21, 129-145.	0.0	0
17	Spatial working memory load impairs signal enhancement, but not attentional orienting. Perception & Psychophysics, 2008, 70, 916-923.	2.3	6
18	Concurrent working memory load can facilitate selective attention: Evidence for specialized load Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2007, 33, 1062-1075.	0.9	83

Μιν-Shik Kim

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	The FeatureGate Model of Visual Selection. , 2005, , 547-552.		2
20	Concurrent working memory load can reduce distraction. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2005, 102, 16524-16529.	7.1	167
21	Visual Search Does Not Remain Efficient When Executive Working Memory Is Working. Psychological Science, 2004, 15, 623-628.	3.3	102
22	The role of spatial working memory in visual search efficiency. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2004, 11, 275-281.	2.8	174
23	Perceptual grouping via spatial selection in a focused-attention task. Vision Research, 2001, 41, 611-624.	1.4	65
24	Implicit Representations of Space after Bilateral Parietal Lobe Damage. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 2001, 13, 1080-1087.	2.3	53
25	Effects of Perceived Space on Spatial Attention. Psychological Science, 1999, 10, 76-79.	3.3	32
26	Top-down and bottom-up attentional control: On the nature of interference from a salient distractor. Perception & Psychophysics, 1999, 61, 1009-1023.	2.3	166
27	Grouping Effects on Spatial Attention in Visual Search. Journal of General Psychology, 1999, 126, 326-352.	2.8	33
28	Spatial selection via feature-driven inhibition of distractor locations. Perception & Psychophysics, 1998, 60, 727-746.	2.3	119
29	Spatial Attention in Visual Search for Features and Feature Conjunctions. Psychological Science, 1995, 6, 376-380.	3.3	198