## Andreas Stolcke

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/10508238/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

840119 1199166 1,571 13 11 12 citations h-index g-index papers 14 14 14 788 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Improving Fairness in Speaker Verification via Group-Adapted Fusion Network. , 2022, , .		5
2	The CALO Meeting Assistant System. IEEE Transactions on Audio Speech and Language Processing, 2010, 18, 1601-1611.	3.8	63
3	Morph-based speech recognition and modeling of out-of-vocabulary words across languages. ACM Transactions on Speech and Language Processing, 2007, 5, 1-29.	0.9	71
4	Speaker Recognition With Session Variability Normalization Based on MLLR Adaptation Transforms. IEEE Transactions on Audio Speech and Language Processing, 2007, 15, 1987-1998.	3.8	50
5	A study in machine learning from imbalanced data for sentence boundary detection in speech. Computer Speech and Language, 2006, 20, 468-494.	2.9	87
6	Morphology-based language modeling for conversational Arabic speech recognition. Computer Speech and Language, 2006, 20, 589-608.	2.9	77
7	Toward Joint Segmentation and Classification of Dialog Acts in Multiparty Meetings. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2006, , 187-193.	1.0	18
8	Text Based Dialog Act Classification for Multiparty Meetings. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2006, , 190-199.	1.0	4
9	"TalkPrinting― Improving Speaker Recognition by Modeling Stylistic Features. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2003, , 350-354.	1.0	0
10	Integrating Prosodic and Lexical Cues for Automatic Topic Segmentation. Computational Linguistics, 2001, 27, 31-57.	2.5	68
11	Dialogue Act Modeling for Automatic Tagging and Recognition of Conversational Speech. Computational Linguistics, 2000, 26, 339-373.	2.5	629
12	Prosody-based automatic segmentation of speech into sentences and topics. Speech Communication, 2000, 32, 127-154.	1.6	306
13	Can Prosody Aid the Automatic Classification of Dialog Acts in Conversational Speech?. Language and Speech, 1998, 41, 443-492.	0.6	148