

Fernando Zegers-Hochschild

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/10488397/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

53
papers

3,731
citations

377584

21
h-index

223390

49
g-index

54
all docs

54
docs citations

54
times ranked

3444
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	ASSISTED REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN LATIN AMERICA: THE LATIN AMERICAN REGISTRY, 2019. Reproductive BioMedicine Online, 2022, , .	1.1	6
2	Celebrating 30 years of ART in Latin America; and the 2018 report. Reproductive BioMedicine Online, 2021, 43, 475-490.	1.1	5
3	International Committee for Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technologies (ICMART): world report on assisted reproductive technologies, 2013. Fertility and Sterility, 2021, 116, 741-756.	0.5	27
4	International Committee for Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technologies world report: assisted reproductive technology, 2014. Human Reproduction, 2021, 36, 2921-2934.	0.4	114
5	Celebrating 30 years of ART in Latin America; and the 2018 report. Jornal Brasileiro De Reproducao Assistida, 2021, 25, 617-639.	0.3	0
6	Celebrating 30 years of ART in Latin America; and the 2018 report. Jornal Brasileiro De Reproducao Assistida, 2021, 25, 617-639.	0.3	2
7	Identifying suitable indicators of access to infertility care – a discussion. Reproductive BioMedicine Online, 2020, 41, 1158.	1.1	2
8	International Committee for Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technologies world report: assisted reproductive technology 2012. Human Reproduction, 2020, 35, 1900-1913.	0.4	107
9	ART utilization: an indicator of access to infertility care. Reproductive BioMedicine Online, 2020, 41, 6-9.	1.1	21
10	Assisted reproductive technology in Latin America: the Latin American Registry, 2017. Reproductive BioMedicine Online, 2020, 41, 44-54.	1.1	16
11	Assisted reproductive techniques in Latin America: The Latin American Registry, 2017. Jornal Brasileiro De Reproducao Assistida, 2020, 24, 362-378.	0.3	8
12	Assisted reproductive techniques in Latin America: the Latin American Registry 2016. Reproductive BioMedicine Online, 2019, 39, 452-460.	1.1	7
13	“Nobody left behind”: the role of data registries in assisted reproductive technology. Global Reproductive Health, 2019, 4, e26-e26.	0.3	2
14	Assisted reproductive techniques in Latin America: The Latin American registry, 2016. Jornal Brasileiro De Reproducao Assistida, 2019, 23, 255-267.	0.3	8
15	International Committee for Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technology: world report on assisted reproductive technology, 2011. Fertility and Sterility, 2018, 110, 1067-1080.	0.5	255
16	Assisted reproductive techniques in Latin America: the Latin American Registry, 2015. Reproductive BioMedicine Online, 2018, 37, 685-692.	1.1	10
17	Endometriosis does not affect live birth rates of patients submitted to assisted reproduction techniques: analysis of the Latin American Network Registry database from 1995 to 2011. Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics, 2018, 35, 1395-1399.	1.2	15
18	Monitoring Art Outcomes: The Registries. , 2018, , 324-330.		0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Percepciones y creencias sobre criopreservación embrionaria en mujeres y hombres que se realizan técnicas de reproducción asistida en Santiago, Chile. Revista Chilena De Obstetricia Y Ginecologia, 2018, 83, 27-44.	0.1	2
20	The impact of endometriosis on the outcome of Assisted Reproductive Technology. Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology, 2017, 15, 8.	1.4	47
21	The International Glossary on Infertility and Fertility Care, 2017. Fertility and Sterility, 2017, 108, 393-406.	0.5	736
22	The International Glossary on Infertility and Fertility Care, 2017. Human Reproduction, 2017, 32, 1786-1801.	0.4	776
23	Assisted reproduction techniques in Latin America: the Latin American Registry, 2014. Reproductive BioMedicine Online, 2017, 35, 287-295.	1.1	10
24	Outcome of assisted reproductive technology in overweight and obese women. Jornal Brasileiro De Reproducao Assistida, 2017, 21, 79-83.	0.3	29
25	Assisted reproductive techniques in Latin America: The Latin American Registry, 2014. Jornal Brasileiro De Reproducao Assistida, 2017, 21, 164-175.	0.3	9
26	Addition of neither recombinant nor urinary luteinizing hormone was associated with an improvement in the outcome of autologous in vitro fertilization/intracytoplasmic sperm injection cycles under regular clinical settings: a multicenter observational analysis. Fertility and Sterility, 2016, 106, 1714-1717.e1.	0.5	8
27	Assisted reproductive techniques in Latin America: the Latin American Registry, 2013. Reproductive BioMedicine Online, 2016, 32, 614-625.	1.1	16
28	Assisted reproductive techniques in Latin America: The Latin American Registry, 2013. Jornal Brasileiro De Reproducao Assistida, 2016, 20, 49-58.	0.3	18
29	Assisted reproductive technologies in Latin America: the Latin American Registry, 2012. Reproductive BioMedicine Online, 2015, 30, 43-51.	1.1	30
30	Effect of embryo freezing on perinatal outcome after assisted reproduction techniques: lessons from the Latin American Registry of Assisted Reproduction. Reproductive BioMedicine Online, 2015, 31, 39-43.	1.1	18
31	International Committee for Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technologies: World Report on Assisted Reproductive Technologies, 2007. Fertility and Sterility, 2015, 103, 402-413.e11.	0.5	66
32	International Committee for Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technology: world report on assisted reproductive technology, 2005. Fertility and Sterility, 2014, 101, 366-378.e14.	0.5	168
33	The impact of consumer affordability on access to assisted reproductive technologies and embryo transfer practices: an international analysis. Fertility and Sterility, 2014, 101, 191-198.e4.	0.5	122
34	International Committee for Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technologies world report: Assisted Reproductive Technology 2006. Human Reproduction, 2014, 29, 1536-1551.	0.4	112
35	Human rights to in vitro fertilization. International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 2013, 123, 86-89.	1.0	25
36	Assisted reproductive technologies (ART) in Latin America: The Latin American Registry, 2011. Jornal Brasileiro De Reproducao Assistida, 2013, 17, .	0.3	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	The impact of legislation and socioeconomic factors in the access to and global practice of assisted reproduction. , 2012, , 441-450.		2
38	International Committee for Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technology (ICMART) world report: assisted reproductive technology 2003. Fertility and Sterility, 2011, 95, 2209-2222.e17.	0.5	90
39	Reproductive performance in oocyte donors and their recipients: comparative analysis from implantation to birth and lactation. Fertility and Sterility, 2010, 93, 2210-2215.	0.5	19
40	Cross-border fertility careâ€”International Committee Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technologies global survey: 2006 data and estimates. Fertility and Sterility, 2010, 94, e4-e10.	0.5	58
41	World Collaborative Report on Assisted Reproductive Technology, 2002. Human Reproduction, 2009, 24, 2310-2320.	0.4	143
42	The impact of legislation and socioeconomic factors in the access to and global practice of ART. , 2008, , 885-893.		0
43	World collaborative report on in vitro fertilization, 2000. Fertility and Sterility, 2006, 85, 1586-1622.	0.5	151
44	The International Committee Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technologies (ICMART) glossary on ART terminology. Fertility and Sterility, 2006, 86, 16-19.	0.5	74
45	The ICMART glossary on ART terminology. Human Reproduction, 2006, 21, 1968-1970.	0.4	80
46	The Cesarean Delivery Scar Pouch. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine, 2003, 22, 695-700.	0.8	168
47	A simple headstone or just eliminate the chads?. Fertility and Sterility, 2001, 76, 1284-1285.	0.5	8
48	Preliminary results on the role of embryonic human chorionic gonadotrophin in corpus luteum rescue during early pregnancy and the relationship to abortion and ectopic pregnancy. Human Reproduction, 1999, 14, 2375-2378.	0.4	10
49	Comparison of ultrasonography and hysteroscopy in the diagnosis of intrauterine lesions in infertile women. Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology, 1998, 5, 375-378.	1.4	46
50	Luteal estrogen is not required for the establishment of pregnancy in the human. Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics, 1995, 12, 224-228.	1.2	41
51	High potassium concentration and the cumulus corona oocyte complex stimulate the fertilizing capacity of human spermatozoa. Fertility and Sterility, 1990, 54, 328-332.	0.5	16
52	High potassium concentration improves the rate of acrosome reaction in human spermatozoa. Fertility and Sterility, 1988, 49, 676-679.	0.5	14
53	International regulation and cross-country comparisons. , 0, , 39-59.		4