Antje Ihlefeld

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1045942/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

758635 642321 25 625 12 23 h-index citations g-index papers 30 30 30 477 times ranked docs citations citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	FORUM: Remote testing for psychological and physiological acoustics. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2022, 151, 3116-3128.	0.5	12
2	Teaching Electronic Circuit Fundamentals via Remote Laboratory Curriculum. Biomedical Engineering Education, 2021, 1, 105-108.	0.6	3
3	Conductive hearing loss during development does not appreciably alter the sharpness of cochlear tuning. Scientific Reports, 2021, 11, 3955.	1.6	5
4	Hemodynamic Responses Link Individual Differences in Informational Masking to the Vicinity of Superior Temporal Gyrus. Frontiers in Neuroscience, 2021, 15, 675326.	1.4	7
5	An auditory-visual tradeoff in susceptibility to clutter. Scientific Reports, 2021, 11, 23540.	1.6	3
6	Remote testing for psychological and physiological acoustics: Initial report of the P&P Task Force on Remote Testing. Proceedings of Meetings on Acoustics, 2020, , .	0.3	5
7	Population rate-coding predicts correctly that human sound localization depends on sound intensity. ELife, 2019, 8, .	2.8	5
8	Spatial Release From Informational Masking: Evidence From Functional Near Infrared Spectroscopy. Trends in Hearing, 2018, 22, 233121651881746.	0.7	11
9	Quantifying Neuronal Information Flow in Response to Frequency and Intensity Changes in the Auditory Cortex., 2018, 2018, 1367-1371.		2
10	Developmental Conductive Hearing Loss Reduces Modulation Masking Release. Trends in Hearing, 2016, 20, 233121651667625.	0.7	18
11	Limitations on Monaural and Binaural Temporal Processing in Bilateral Cochlear Implant Listeners. JARO - Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology, 2015, 16, 641-652.	0.9	36
12	Across-frequency combination of interaural time difference in bilateral cochlear implant listeners. Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience, 2014, 8, 22.	1.2	17
13	Speech Perception in Noise with a Harmonic Complex Excited Vocoder. JARO - Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology, 2014, 15, 265-278.	0.9	12
14	Comodulation masking release in speech identification with real and simulated cochlear-implant hearing. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2012, 131, 1315-1324.	0.5	16
15	Interaural Level Differences Do Not Suffice for Restoring Spatial Release from Masking in Simulated Cochlear Implant Listening. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e45296.	1.1	26
16	Effect of source spectrum on sound localization in an everyday reverberant room. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2011, 130, 324-333.	0.5	45
17	Simulations of cochlear-implant speech perception in modulated and unmodulated noise. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2010, 128, 870-880.	0.5	13
18	The intelligibility of pointillistic speech. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2009, 126, EL196-EL201.	0.5	8

ANTJE IHLEFELD

#	Article	IF	CITATION
19	Accurate Sound Localization in Reverberant Environments Is Mediated by Robust Encoding of Spatial Cues in the Auditory Midbrain. Neuron, 2009, 62, 123-134.	3.8	78
20	Spatial release from energetic and informational masking in a selective speech identification task. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2008, 123, 4369-4379.	0.5	116
21	Spatial release from energetic and informational masking in a divided speech identification task. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2008, 123, 4380-4392.	0.5	45
22	Disentangling the effects of spatial cues on selection and formation of auditory objects. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2008, 124, 2224-2235.	0.5	58
23	Neural and Behavioral Sensitivities to Azimuth Degrade with Distance in Reverberant Environments. , 2007, , 505-516.		2
24	The influence of spatial separation on divided listening. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2006, 120, 1506-1516.	0.5	74
25	Effect of source location and listener location on ILD cues in a reverberant room. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2004, 115, 2598-2598.	0.5	4