## Toshihiko Kasahara

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1012669/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Test battery with the human cell line activation test, direct peptide reactivity assay and DEREK based on a 139 chemical data set for predicting skin sensitizing potential and potency of chemicals. Journal of Applied Toxicology, 2015, 35, 1318-1332.	2.8	93
2	Development of a prediction method for skin sensitization using novel cysteine and lysine derivatives. Journal of Pharmacological and Toxicological Methods, 2014, 70, 94-105.	0.7	44
3	A novel <i>in chemico</i> method to detect skin sensitizers in highly diluted reaction conditions. Journal of Applied Toxicology, 2015, 35, 1348-1360.	2.8	43
4	Cause of and countermeasures for oxidation of the cysteineâ€derived reagent used in the amino acid derivative reactivity assay. Journal of Applied Toxicology, 2019, 39, 191-208.	2.8	26
5	Evaluation of high-throughput screening for in vitro micronucleus test using fluorescence-based cell imaging. Mutagenesis, 2011, 26, 709-719.	2.6	25
6	Expanding the applicability of the amino acid derivative reactivity assay: Determining a weight for preparation of test chemical solutions that yield a predictive capacity identical to the conventional method using molar concentration and demonstrating the capacity to detect sensitizers in liquid mixtures. Journal of Pharmacological and Toxicological Methods, 2019, 97, 67-79.	0.7	21
7	A newly developed means of HPLC-fluorescence analysis for predicting the skin sensitization potential of multi-constituent substances using ADRA. Toxicology in Vitro, 2019, 59, 161-178.	2.4	19
8	High-content image analysis (HCIA) assay has the highest correlation with direct counting cell suspension compared to the ATP, WST-8 and Alamar blue assays for measurement of cytotoxicity. Journal of Pharmacological and Toxicological Methods, 2017, 88, 92-99.	0.7	14
9	The underlying factors that explain why nucleophilic reagents rarely co-elute with test chemicals in the ADRA. Journal of Pharmacological and Toxicological Methods, 2019, 96, 95-105.	0.7	14
10	Precipitation of test chemicals in reaction solutions used in the amino acid derivative reactivity assay and the direct peptide reactivity assay. Journal of Pharmacological and Toxicological Methods, 2019, 100, 106624.	0.7	13
11	The amino acid derivative reactivity assay with fluorescence detection and its application to multi-constituent substances. Journal of Toxicological Sciences, 2019, 44, 821-832.	1.5	11
12	The within―and betweenâ€laboratory reproducibility and predictive capacity of the in chemico amino acid derivative reactivity assay: Results of validation study implemented in four participating laboratories. Journal of Applied Toxicology, 2019, 39, 1492-1505.	2.8	9
13	Improving predictive capacity of the Amino acid Derivative Reactivity Assay test method for skin sensitization potential with an optimal molar concentration of test chemical solution. Journal of Applied Toxicology, 2021, 41, 303-329.	2.8	8
14	Applicability of amino acid derivative reactivity assay for prediction of skin sensitization by combining multiple alternative methods to evaluate key events. Journal of Toxicological Sciences, 2019, 44, 585-600.	1.5	7
15	Development of photoâ€amino acid derivative reactivity assay: a novel in chemico alternative method for predicting photoallergy. Journal of Applied Toxicology, 2020, 40, 655-678.	2.8	7
16	Simultaneous and absolute quantification of nucleoside triphosphates using liquid chromatography–triple quadrupole tandem mass spectrometry. Genes and Environment, 2018, 40, 13.	2.1	5
17	Oxidation of a cysteineâ€derived nucleophilic reagent by dimethyl sulfoxide in the amino acid derivative reactivity assay. Journal of Applied Toxicology, 2020, 40, 843-854.	2.8	5
18	Chemically induced strong cellular hypertrophy often reduces the accuracy of cytotoxicity measurements obtained using the ATP assay. Journal of Toxicological Sciences, 2017, 42, 205-221.	1.5	3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Amino acid derivative reactivity assay–organic solvent reaction system: A novel alternative test for skin sensitization capable of assessing highly hydrophobic substances. Journal of Applied Toxicology, 2021, 41, 1634-1648.	2.8	3
20	Cause Clarification of Cysteine Oxidation by Active Species Generated during the Oxidation Process of Cinnamaldehyde and Impact on an In Chemico Alternative Method for Skin Sensitization Using a Nucleophilic Reagent Containing Cysteine. Chemical Research in Toxicology, 2021, 34, 1749-1758.	3.3	3
21	The within―and betweenâ€ŀaboratories reproducibility and predictive capacity of Amino acid Derivative Reactivity Assay using 4 mM test chemical solution: Results of ring study implemented at five participating laboratories. Journal of Applied Toxicology, 2022, 42, 318-333.	2.8	2
22	Quantitative analysis of γH2AX reveals distinct responses in multiple mouse organs after administration of mitomycin C or ethyl methanesulfonate. Mutagenesis, 2018, 33, 371-378.	2.6	1
23	Within―and betweenâ€laboratory reproducibility and predictive capacity of amino acid derivative reactivity assay (ADRA) using a 0.5 mg/mL test chemical solution: Results of the study for reproducibility confirmation implemented in five participating laboratories. Journal of Applied Toxicology, 2022,	2.8	1
24	Applicability of amino acid derivative reactivity assay (4ÂmM) for the prediction of skin sensitization by combining multiple alternative methods to evaluate key events. Journal of Applied Toxicology, 2022, , .	2.8	1